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## Nomblex


#### Abstract

The workload control concept is known to robust shop floor control concept. It is especially suited for the dynamic environment of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) within the make to order sector. Currently there are so many small and medium enterprises (SMEs) because in past several years economic global experiences obstacles. In order to gain more profits the SMEs have to efficient and have to reduce unnecessary feedback from the production floor .The objectives of this research reducing number of employee requirement to reduce the labor cost using workload control method by grouping the workstation based on the operation chart $(O P C)$. To make sure the concept is applicable in the SMEs, simulation can be conducted.


Keywords: SMEs, Workload control, costs, labor, OPC, simulation.


## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) have the potential to develop the Indonesian economy. This was proven with the durability of SMEs in the global crisis that occurred some time ago. SMEs is a term that refers to a type of small business that has a net worth of $\operatorname{Rp} 200.000 .000,00$ excluding land and building and a stand-alone business. According to Presidential Decree no. 99 of 1998 Small Business sense is: Economic activity of the people that small-scale businesses that are majority are small businesses and need to be protected to prevent unhealthy competition. One of the potential profitable of SMEs industry is Bamboo Craft. The potential of this craft has reached the export markets like Japan, America, and Germany.

One of the obstacles to SMEs development is in terms of availability of information. So far the feedback information on the SMEs were still very poor, such as, timeliness, accuracy and completeness of information production is still very important. For orders release mechanism functions so far there is no better solution from a variety of literature in overcoming the situation of lack of accurate feedback information. Some opinions stated that with the improvement of information technology to overcome these problems (timeliness, accuracy and completeness of information) but the use of information technology is still experiencing barriers to their implementation in SMEs.

Problems of information above can be solved by the concept of WLC (work load control). WLC is one of the concepts applied to the appropriate type of SMEs make to order in the regulation of the production floor. Use WLC believed to provide the right solution to overcome the problem of workload at the time of the production process to reduce errors in the feedback information for timeliness, accuracy and completeness of the information can be overcome. WLC Thus information problem can be resolve using WLC (work load control).

Several studies of workload include: Determination of Total Labor Workload Analysis Method Based On CV KG Plated Jogjakarta (Rahmawati, 2005), the study only workloads are calculated. Determination of the Optimal Number of Worker Who Work Load Analysis Method Based on Hybrid Simulation (Nurzaman, 2009). Then the results of his research is the number of workers just need to move a worker at another workstation that does not make firing or recruitment. Minimizing the Workload in Manufacturing System using WLC Method by grouping the Machine Capacity conducted by Kurniawan (2010). This research only focused on how to minimizing the workload, not focused the cost that affected after using WLC method.

From the literature review that has been done, it still exist some shortcomings, then it is necessary to develop advanced research. In the development of this research will control the workload to reduce errors in the feedback information for timeliness, accuracy and completeness of the information can be overcome.

In accordance with the research background in the preparation of this proposal hence writer take title: Determining The Number of Employee Requirement Using Workload Control to Reduce the Labor Cost.

### 1.2 Problem Formulation

How to determine the employee requirement number to reduce the labor cost using Workload Control method?

### 1.3 Problem Boundaries

From the background above, this study has limitations in the following issue:

1. The study was conducted in the Muda Kreatif bamboo furniture business.
2. The cost efficiency focus on the labor cost.
3. In the simulation and calculation, some processes are ignored, such as drainage of the bamboos, idle time, and the materials cost.

### 1.4 Research Objectives

The objectives of this research are:
To determine number of employee using Workload Control to decrease labor cost

### 1.5 Research Benefits

This research is expected to provide benefits:

1. Adding a repertoire of knowledge, especially resource planning in production systems.
2. Knowing the work load with WLC method.
3. Optimizing the production by minimizing the workload

### 1.6 Thesis Structure

In order to get a well-structured thesis report, hence this thesis will be continued by containing:

## CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW

This chapter is the backbone to determine the current study from the previous related ones. It contains information about the result of related previous studies and supporting literatures underlying the research.

## CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This chapter describes a detailed series of research object, model development, model analysis, system developed, research design, research procedure, and data collecting, processing and analyzing method.

## CHAPTER IV DATA COLLECTING AND PROCESSING

It explains the data collecting and processing to the final answer, as well its result and analysis, including the supporting figures, tables, and graphics.

## CHAPTER V DISCUSSION

This chapter consists of the data processed result analysis or
research result discussion, in conformity with the background to the study described in previous chapter, problem formulation, objectives, and hypotheses (if any) which pointed to the conclusion of research result.

## CHAPTER VI CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Conclusion gives short and precise statements described from the research result and discussion. Recommendations related to the current study in purpose of the advancement in future study are given with suggestions based on the limitations of the current one. Some suggested improvements for the company might be given also in this chapter.

## APPENDIX
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## CHAPTER II

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Small and Medium Enterprises

Small and medium enterprises (SMEs) are now developing rapidly, one of the roles of SMEs is to create the job vacancies and producing output. On 2001 based on BPS data, the percentage of SMEs compare to total of enterprises in Indonesia is $99,9 \%$, and in the same year SMEs require $99,4 \%$ of total employees. Small and medium enterprise itself has different definition and standard in some country. In Indonesia, some of government institution has the definition of small and medium enterprise. In UU No. 9/1995, an enterprise categorized as SMEs or UKM if only has asset less than IDR 200 million, exclude land and the building. Therefore in small and medium enterprises, the information traffic is not as good as the big company, the information system is very simple because SMEs has low budget for the information traffic.

### 2.2 Workload Control

Workload is one of the problems which may affect the performance of the company in manufacturing products or services. To maximize the production, we must control the workload for the entire production unit, include machines and the workers. Workload Control is a concept that especially suited to small and medium enterprises, where it is elaboration between shop floor control (SFC) and make to order (MTO) environment (Hendry and Kingsman 1989). Workload Control (WLC) is based on principals of input/output control as defined by Plissl and Wight (1973), and heavily depends on the use of 'order review and release' techniques as defined by

Bergamaschi et al (1997). Workload Control will control the input and output of the production process because WLC will provide us the result how to reduce the lead time of the release order. Along WLC can provide us to reduce the lead time of the release order, therefore the inventory time of the materials will reduce. Indirectly, the WLC will reduce the inventory cost and setup cost, so we can maximize the profit. To determine the lead time, the planner requires information from the production units, in order to determine the production units has a lot of work in process or not. If the planner doesn't know the production unit is busy, and the planner release the order, it will give more workload for the production units. From the information before, this technique require a lot of feedback.

The WLC concept is based on the principles of input/output control as defined by Plossl and Wight (1973). The concept heavily depends on the use of 'order review and release' techniques as defined by Bergamaschi et al. (1997), where the arrival of an order does not necessarily involve the release to the shop. Before orders enter the shop floor, they are collected in a so-called 'order pool'. A central planner releases these orders periodically to the shop floor in such a way that the workload in front of the capacity groups on the floor will be balanced. Balancing and restricting the load on the floor leads to a transparent shop floor with predictable throughput times. For a description of the characteristic elements of WLC and their application in SMEs, see Henrich et al. (2004).

The central planner needs various types of information for the order release decision. This information comes from the customer (e.g. due date) or can be derived from the incoming order itself (e.g. process plan). For controlling and balancing the workload on the shop, information on the current shop status (i.e. the actual
distribution of the workload - measured in operation processing time - across the individual capacity groups) is also necessary (Bergamaschi et al. 1997). More precisely, the central planner needs feedback from the shop floor, indicating the detailed status and position of each order.

During the last two decades, much research has been done on the WLC concept. In the early 1980s, simultaneously different WLC approaches were developed. These concepts (Bertrand and Wortmann 1981, Tatsiopoulos 1983, Bechte 1984, Wiendahl 1995) have been extensively discussed (Bechte 1994, Cigolini et al. 1998, Perona and Portioli 1998, Kingsman 2000) and compared (Land and Gaalman 1996, 1998, Oosterman et al. 2000). For a recent overview of research on this topic, see Gaalman and Perona (2002). Perona and Miragliotta (2000) conclude that most of the research on WLC focuses on theory building and not on the practical aspects of the different WLC approaches. In addition, Breithaupt et al. (2002) indicate a lack of WLC implementations in practice. Up to now, little is known about the implementation of WLC thesis approaches and its actual functioning in SMEs. Due to special needs of SMEs, structural adaptations of the WLC concept that could lead to an improvement for the practical relevance of the WLC approach are scarce.

One of the first implementations of WLC in a small company was described by Tatsiopoulos (1983). While implementing his WLC approach, he struggled with the lack of sufficient feedback information from the shop floor necessary for order release. As a rigorous solution, he proposed an adapted approach limiting the need for feedback information to the registration of job completion. Unfortunately, this solution may lead to an unacceptable performance loss (Oosterman et al. 2000). In addition, Melnyk and Ragatz (1989) describe that the timeliness and
accuracy/completeness of shop floor information is crucial for the functioning of the order release mechanism. But after 1983, no better solutions have been proposed in the literature for situations lacking accurate feedback. One might expect that the enormous improvements of information technology (IT) in the last decade would have erased this problem. Nevertheless, many obstacles still exist around the introduction and use of IT, especially within the environment of SMEs. While introducing WLC, we especially experienced that the requirements of the WLC concept regarding feedback information might still hinder its functioning in many SMEs. We observed several shortcomings in the supply of feedback information in practice. The available feedback information is often inaccurate (delayed, incorrect, incomplete) or insufficiently detailed.

Two alternative approaches can be used to solve the above mismatch: either the information feedback can be improved or the WLC concept can be adapted according to a more realistic information feedback within SMEs. In this thesis, the latter approach is followed in line with Melnyk and Carter (1987), who confirmed the need for designing the supply of feedback information appropriately. Thus, the objective of the present paper is to enable the WLC concept to deal with the limited feedback information available in SMEs. A redesign of WLC release methods is presented that can handle limited feedback information. It is tested in a simulation study to quantify its impact on the shop floor performance.

### 2.3 Information feedback in SMEs

For order release, it is important that the central planner knows what order is queuing (or being processed) at what capacity groups. The planner needs a detailed overview of the shop floor status. For detailed information feedback within the WLC concept,
any status change on the shop floor has to be registered and transmitted to the central planner so that he/she can get the actual status overview of the shop floor. The process of information feedback thus includes the registration of status changes, the transmission of these data and its interpretation by the central planner.

In practice, it can be observed that particularly within 'smaller' SMEs, it is the central planner who performs this process of information feedback. Another group of SMEs has grown from a 'smaller' shop floor. An expanding shop floor generally leads to an increase in machines, operators, the numbers of orders being simultaneously on the floor, etc., and cannot be monitored completely by the planner anymore. It asks for a more formalized approach to handle the increased complexity. To reduce this complexity, the floor often is subdivided into some production units (PUs), monitored by unit leaders or foremen. In these situations, a commonly observed structure for the generation of feedback information is that of regular meetings between foremen and planner, with the foremen reporting the progress of jobs. The status change registration and transmission part of information feedback are moved away from the planner to the foremen.

The exact knowledge of status changes in these more mature shop floor structures is distributed across the functions and the related tasks on the floor (table 1). For instance, the main task of the foremen is to control the material flow within the PUs, therefore they can register any order entering or leaving 'their' department. Only the operators exactly know which individual job is actually queuing or being processed at their machines.

Within the informal planning concepts of many SMEs, it is sufficient that only the foremen have an exact knowledge of which jobs are being processed in their unit,
combined with a rough notice of the internal PU job progress. But the introduction of a formalized WLC concept requires the feedback of detailed information on


Figure 2.1. Status change knowledge related to function
completed operations to the planner. This generally leads to the involvement of the operators in the feedback process. Either manual (e.g. notes on the routing sheet) or automated (scanning) solutions are introduced to transmit the information to the planner. Typically, this feedback appears to be an important obstacle in the introduction of the WLC concept.

Several reasons can be distinguished for the disfunction of feedback systems involving all operators. On the one hand, complex motivational problems and reservations against organizational (and/or technological) changes may occur. As the status change registration is not the core task of the production-focused operators or as, for example, operators may feel being 'watched' regarding their productivity, it can become difficult to motivate all the operators to give accurate (reliable, correct, on time) feedback of any performed operation all the time. On the other hand, a couple of practical problems arise with the registration and transmission of the relevant data. Manual data registration and transmission leads to an exhaustive data set that cannot be interpreted entirely by the planner on time. Though IT is state of the art and broadly available, different obstacles exist around its introduction and use in SMEs (Henrich 2000). Often the specific knowledge and know how on IT is not present within SMEs. In addition, different computer systems and data formats may not be
integrated, which makes data exchange difficult. In addition, very practical problems such as how to scan an operation processed in parallel by two operators occur. However, putting effort in the instruction and monitoring of operators can solve nearly all problems. But with a substantial number of operators, it raises the question whether the information need of the concept is worth these efforts.

Within informal planning systems the intermediate role of foremen in providing feedback has been proven successful. The foremen generally feel more involved in job progress issues and at least the number of foremen to keep involved is relatively small. However, involvement of foremen will be best facilitated when only the shipments between the PUs have to be registered. Though the foremen will also have an indication of the detailed progress of jobs within their PU, formal registration may require much more effort.

We assume that the organizational division of the shop floor helps in structuring feedback on PU level. This information is not as detailed as feedback information on performed operations (given by the operators). However, it might be much more accurate (reliable, complete, on time) and easier to collect. The arising conflict between this way of information feedback and the need for status information by WLC is discussed in more detail below.

### 2.3.1 Redesign of the feedback information need in WLC

This section first analyses the WLC concept. Here it focuses on the elements that depend on feedback information. A deeper understanding of these elements enables one to restructure the concept according to the more realistic information supply. This redesign is described and motivated in the second part of this section.

### 2.3.2 Use of feedback information in WLC

Order release is the most central control element within the WLC concept. To understand the use of feedback information, it is necessary to focus on this control element.


Figure 2.1 Central Order Release

As depicted in figure 1, after the acceptance of an order, some pre-shop operations (engineering/process planning) may be necessary before the order is ready for release to the shop floor. The order will generally have to wait before it is selected for release. Waiting before release takes place in a so-called order pool. The decision to release an order is based on two aspects: the urgency of the order itself and its influence on the momentary shop floor situation. The latter is determined by comparing the workloads with norms. The norms can be defined for each capacity group and are usually expressed in time units. They should guarantee a small but stable buffer of work in front of the resources within the capacity groups.

A stable buffer in front of a capacity group allows for constant operation lead times. In turn these constant lead times are used for determining accurate planned release dates. The planned release date of an order is calculated as its due date minus the planned lead times for its operations. Thus, the urgency of orders in the pool can
be compared. Orders in the pool are considered for release in the sequence of their planned release dates. The order being considered is added to the release selection as long as its release will not cause any workload norm to be exceeded. Otherwise, the order will have to wait in the pool until the next release opportunity. An order with a later planned release date may be selected when it does fit in the norms. After this procedure is completed, the selected orders are released to the shop floor. They remain on the floor until all the operations have been finished.

The decision to allow an order for release depends on the shop floor situation, which is reflected in workloads. In the literature, two different concepts for calculating the workloads are discussed (e.g. Graves et al. 1995). Bechte (1984) derives a 'projected workload' over the planning horizon. A capacity groups' projected workload consists of its direct load plus the 'discounted' indirect load. The direct load is the amount of work actually queuing or in process at the capacity group. The indirect load is the released work upstream the regarded capacity group (Jendralski 1978). The discount factor can be used to derive the probability that an order that is upstream will reach the capacity group during the release period.

The second approach is developed by Bertrand and Wortmann (1981). They calculate the workload as an aggregate of individual processing times. To determine the 'aggregate workload', the central planner counts up (at the beginning of a release period) the processing times of orders waiting in front of a capacity group (direct load) and those of orders upstream (indirect load) (figure 2.2). The present paper will adopt this approach to a more realistic situation of feedback information.


Figure 2.2 Use of aggregate loads to estimate the work per capacity group

### 2.4 Grouping Machine

Based on the different machine characteristics we discuss the most common grouping choices and show that the grouping decision cannot be seen independently from the resulting routing alternatives, based on several 'similar' machines on the floor. We draw to a close by relating the prior discussion to the question of parameter setting, within WLC. The concept of capacity groups within WLC is embedded into the control mechanism of releasing orders from the pool to the shop floor. Within this section we describe the WLC aspects related to capacity groups and their influence on order release. For a more complete and detailed description of WLC we refer to Kingsman (2000), Henrich et al. (2004a) and Land (2004a).

### 2.5 Simulation Model

A model is similar to but simpler than the system it represents. One purpose of a model is to enable the analyst to predict the effect of changes to the system. On the one hand, a model should be a close approximation to the real system and incorporate most of its salient features. On the other hand, it should not be so complex that it is impossible to understand and experiment with it. A good model is a judicious tradeoff
between realism and simplicity (Benson, 1997). Simulation practitioners recommend increasing the complexity of a model iteratively.

Simulation is conducted in research because of some factors. To apply the research result, trial-error approach requires much time and cost beside thus approach also impair the current system, involve designing equipment that has a high risk especially in the investment cost. Simulation provides evident of the research objectively to making decision, and eliminates the emotional factor of the process. Simulation is used before an existing system is altered or a new system built, to reduce the chances of failure to meet specifications, to eliminate unforeseen bottlenecks, to prevent under or over-utilization of resources, and to optimize system performance (S. Andradóttir. et al. 1997).

## CHAPTER III

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This chapter explains the research methodhology such as research object definition, mathematical model, data requirement, and research frameworks. Detail steps of the research which is arranged in sub-chapters as below:

### 3.1 Research Object

The study was conducted in bamboo furniture business "Muda Kreatif" is bamboo craft enterprise located in the hamlet Sendari, Cebongan Kidul RT. 04 RW. 02 Tlogodadi, Mlati, Sleman, Yogyakarta.

### 3.2 Mathematical Model

a. Work Load
$\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{L}_{s, t}^{\boldsymbol{a g g r} r}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{i} \epsilon \mathrm{J}} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i s}} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{t})_{[ } t_{i}^{1}, t_{i s)}^{3}$ (Henrich et. al.)

Where:
$J \quad=$ Number of all orders
$P_{i s} \quad=$ Time to process order i at capacity group s
$I(t)_{[\ldots]}=$ Indicator: 1 if the interval is known (otherwise 0 if not known
$t_{i}^{1} \quad=$ Early release time in order i
$t_{i s}^{3}=$ Time of completion work order process i at capacity group s.

## b. Homogeneity Test

Homogeneity test conducted on operation process chart data.
$\bar{X}=\frac{\sum X i}{N}$

Where:

| $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}$ | $=$ Mean |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{X i}$ | $=$ Data tested |
| $\boldsymbol{N}$ | $=$ Number of data |

c. Standard Deviation
$\sigma=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{N-1}}$
Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =\text { Deviation } \\
x_{i} & =\text { Data tested } \\
\overline{\boldsymbol{X}} & =\text { Mean }
\end{aligned}
$$

d. Uniformity Test

UCL $=\bar{X}+\mathrm{k} . \sigma$
LCL $=\bar{X}-\mathrm{k} . \sigma$
Where:
UCL = Upper control limit
LCL = Lower control limit
$\overline{\boldsymbol{X}} \quad=$ Mean
K $=$ Constant
$\sigma \quad=$ Deviation

## e. Cycle Time

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\sum X i}{N}$

## f. Normal Time

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \times \mathrm{P}$

Where:
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad=$ Normal Time
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad=$ Cycle Time

P = Allowance
g. Standard Time
$\mathrm{Ts}=\operatorname{Tn}\left[\frac{100 \%}{100 \%-\% \text { Allowance }}\right]$

Where:

Ts = Standard Time

Tn = Normal Time

## h. Work Load Analysis

$\mathrm{WLA}=\frac{P x T s}{A W H} \times 1$ worker

Where:

WLA = Workload Analysis
$P$ = Allowance

Ts = Standard Time

AWH = Average work hour

### 3.3 Data Requirement

### 3.3.1 Primary Data

Data obtained from observation, observation, measurement and recording directly in the company, including

The data required are as follows:

1. Production Data
2. Message Time
3. When the production process

### 3.3.2 Secondary Data

The data didn't collected by the researchers themselves. These data have been provided by the company.
a. Company History
b. Production
c. Labor
d. Raw Materials
e. Machine
f. The production process

### 3.4 Data Collection Method

a. Observation

It is a study done by direct observation and measurement conditions, activities, ways of working and recording.
b. Interview

It is the collection of data by doing a question and answer directly about the problems associated with research on the company.
c. Literature review

Studies and research literature / literature is still needed because of other information in addition to data obtained from research in the field of books, journals or other information. This literature is used to get an idea of the basic theory can be applied in real research in order to get the results of scientific research.

### 3.5 Tools

This research conduct using several tools to help the researcher to processing the data. Microsoft excel to calculate the data, QS 3.0 to forecasting the demands, and ProModel 7.5 Student Version to modelling the system.

### 3.6 Framework to resolve the problems

Framework to resolve the problem in this study are as follows.

1. Literature review, intended to seek knowledge or information to be used in research.
2. The formulation and the problem definition. After the completion of the review of the literature, followed by formulating what the problem constraints in the case study.
3. Determining the object of research. Problems in research must comply with the problems faced by the company. Thus the determination of the proper object of study is needed.
4. Collecting data in this study carried out by direct observation in the field, including observation, measurement and recording of data and direct interviews it takes to get the information required.
5. After the required data is obtained, then the next step is to test for uniformity of data.
6. Then calculate the workload.Kemudian menghitung beban kerja.
7. The next step is a discussion that includes analysis of the results of the calculation of WLC.
8. Conclusions and recommendations.
9. Completed.

### 3.7 Research Flowchart

The research steps are required to be organized properly in order to simplify the composing of research report. The following Figure 3.1 is the presentation of the research steps.


Figure 3.1 Research Flowchart

## CHAPTER IV

## DATA COLLECTING AND PROCESSING

### 4.1 Company Overview

This research using data which collected from "Muda Kreatif" bamboo craft industries lies on Sleman, Yogyakarta.

### 4.2 Collecting Data

In workload control, we need several data to conduct the calculation. The data in bamboo crafts are employment data, operation process chart, and time measurement data of the production process of each process. The additional data to calculate the cost minimizing are work hour data, employee wage, and several other data.

### 4.2.1 Employee data

The number of workers in the bamboo furniture business "Muda Kreatif" are 10 people which all of them are male with the working day Monday to Saturday and work hour from 08.00 am until 16.00 pm and an break from 12.00 am until 13.00 pm .

## Number of Workers in Each Workstation

The number of workers at each work station is as follows:

Table 4.1 Workers Data

| No | Workstation | Number of workers |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Bamboo cleaning | 2 |
| 2 | Making frame | 3 |
| 3 | Binding | 1 |
| 4 | Back rest assembly | 2 |
| 5 |  <br> varishing) | 2 |
| Total |  | 10 |

### 4.2.2 Process time Data

As said before, the study only research on chair production. So the following data are the chair process time.
A. Workstation I (Cleaning)
B. Workstation II (Frame)
C. Workstation III (binding)
D. Workstation IV (Back rest assembly)
E. Workstation V (Sanding and varnishing)

Process times in each workstation are shown as below:

Table 4.2 Process time data

| No | Cleaning | Frame |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Washing bamboo | Back <br> Measure | Back <br> Cutting | Back assemble | Legs <br> Measure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Legs } \\ \text { cutting } \end{gathered}$ | Legs assemble | Back \& legs assemble |
| 1 | 236 | 140 | 333 | 1336 | 76 | 186 | 303 | 1474 |
| 2 | 251 | 147 | 346 | 1357 | 81 | 157 | 309 | 1458 |
| 3 | 241 | 155 | 338 | 1369 | 92 | 139 | 314 | 1449 |
| 4 | 279 | 166 | 352 | 1398 | 102 | 167 | 352 | 1468 |
| 5 | 306 | 158 | 367 | 1368 | 87 | 156 | 363 | 1447 |
| 6 | 263 | 149 | 342 | 1357 | 94 | 149 | 377 | 1509 |
| 7 | 328 | 156 | 365 | 1376 | 79 | 208 | 329 | 1521 |
| 8 | 308 | 144 | 358 | 1353 | 82 | 182 | 339 | 1476 |
| 9 | 247 | 148 | 347 | 1362 | 102 | 176 | 362 | 1518 |
| 10 | 325 | 168 | 338 | 1383 | 88 | 199 | 339 | 1468 |
| 11 | 323 | 157 | 351 | 1359 | 94 | 191 | 373 | 1477 |
| 12 | 255 | 146 | 338 | 1345 | 81 | 173 | 314 | 1498 |
| 13 | 243 | 143 | 344 | 1387 | 97 | 203 | 348 | 1458 |
| 14 | 243 | 155 | 338 | 1428 | 75 | 174 | 368 | 1479 |
| 15 | 245 | 176 | 349 | 1402 | 89 | 205 | 372 | 1488 |
| 16 | 267 | 166 | 363 | 1356 | 96 | 214 | 339 | 1512 |
| 17 | 274 | 158 | 351 | 1368 | 102 | 178 | 348 | 1503 |
| 18 | 251 | 150 | 339 | 1389 | 81 | 139 | 383 | 1511 |
| 19 | 267 | 163 | 362 | 1418 | 92 | 165 | 378 | 1479 |
| 20 | 261 | 174 | 349 | 1378 | 87 | 192 | 339 | 1458 |
| 21 | 245 | 147 | 359 | 1393 | 83 | 152 | 362 | 1522 |
| 22 | 271 | 154 | 338 | 1391 | 90 | 193 | 339 | 1517 |
| 23 | 285 | 143 | 368 | 1382 | 97 | 159 | 328 | 1508 |
| 24 | 318 | 161 | 352 | 1358 | 91 | 168 | 372 | 1492 |
| 25 | 303 | 144 | 335 | 1411 | 88 | 149 | 303 | 1513 |
| 26 | 300 | 156 | 324 | 1376 | 96 | 187 | 368 | 1498 |
| 27 | 300 | 166 | 321 | 1366 | 83 | 209 | 387 | 1487 |
| 28 | 245 | 175 | 336 | 1399 | 77 | 168 | 328 | 1529 |
| 29 | 261 | 138 | 341 | 1407 | 86 | 128 | 372 | 1468 |
| 30 | 289 | 144 | 367 | 1409 | 89 | 153 | 389 | 1498 |
| 31 | 289 | 152 | 338 | 1428 | 80 | 172 | 302 | 1483 |
| 32 | 292 | 163 | 343 | 1403 | 97 | 158 | 353 | 1508 |
| 33 | 287 | 139 | 365 | 1369 | 78 | 152 | 363 | 1477 |
| 34 | 245 | 148 | 339 | 1378 | 95 | 167 | 394 | 1522 |
| 35 | 206 | 162 | 305 | 1366 | 89 | 148 | 338 | 1475 |
| 36 | 242 | 150 | 335 | 1359 | 84 | 178 | 352 | 1467 |
| 37 | 304 | 149 | 356 | 1308 | 99 | 193 | 361 | 1478 |
| 38 | 257 | 172 | 349 | 1398 | 101 | 202 | 349 | 1502 |
| 39 | 284 | 166 | 333 | 1368 | 96 | 177 | 338 | 1477 |
| 40 | 321 | 158 | 368 | 1389 | 88 | 137 | 312 | 1493 |
| 41 | 272 | 168 | 347 | 1411 | 79 | 153 | 302 | 1498 |

Table 4.2 Continued

| Binding |  |  | Back rest |  |  | Finishing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rattan measure | Cutting | assemble | Measure | Cut | Assemble | Sanding | Vamish |
| 227 | 84 | 1393 | 162 | 592 | 1946 | 515 | 882 |
| 232 | 105 | 1423 | 151 | 578 | 1984 | 508 | 922 |
| 242 | 99 | 1437 | 178 | 565 | 2054 | 554 | 902 |
| 229 | 82 | 1465 | 177 | 608 | 1965 | 543 | 898 |
| 219 | 94 | 1444 | 188 | 579 | 2112 | 561 | 926 |
| 252 | 102 | 1453 | 204 | 568 | 1978 | 505 | 932 |
| 237 | 123 | 1457 | 138 | 577 | 2037 | 563 | 903 |
| 239 | 91 | 1436 | 156 | 592 | 2065 | 519 | 870 |
| 209 | 98 | 1427 | 168 | 586 | 2042 | 528 | 912 |
| 228 | 102 | 1464 | 203 | 614 | 2027 | 552 | 894 |
| 239 | 87 | 1463 | 171 | 586 | 2105 | 526 | 932 |
| 209 | 99 | 1433 | 183 | 576 | 1955 | 575 | 876 |
| 241 | 102 | 1431 | 214 | 557 | 1906 | 506 | 888 |
| 228 | 93 | 1455 | 167 | 564 | 1993 | 515 | 912 |
| 249 | 112 | 1425 | 183 | 588 | 2042 | 566 | 867 |
| 234 | 123 | 1398 | 204 | 567 | 2028 | 541 | 914 |
| 253 | 119 | 1425 | 163 | 574 | 1967 | 510 | 878 |
| 238 | 105 | 1438 | 155 | 577 | 2115 | 552 | 928 |
| 242 | 99 | 1487 | 194 | 611 | 1968 | 573 | 875 |
| 262 | 112 | 1438 | 167 | 586 | 2012 | 516 | 899 |
| 268 | 112 | 1468 | 206 | 612 | 1982 | 562 | 912 |
| 226 | 98 | 1498 | 182 | 587 | 2041 | 519 | 889 |
| 236 | 118 | 1484 | 155 | 575 | 1894 | 567 | 913 |
| 215 | 158 | 1408 | 241 | 636 | 1993 | 518 | 897 |
| 232 | 99 | 1399 | 181 | 567 | 1967 | 528 | 948 |
| 246 | 92 | 1418 | 179 | 589 | 1984 | 567 | 933 |
| 207 | 98 | 1498 | 172 | 597 | 1948 | 542 | 867 |
| 227 | 107 | 1479 | 183 | 603 | 2115 | 519 | 948 |
| 229 | 102 | 1497 | 168 | 574 | 1991 | 539 | 913 |
| 253 | 112 | 1478 | 162 | 586 | 1983 | 543 | 888 |
| 237 | 107 | 1462 | 209 | 606 | 1937 | 572 | 954 |
| 217 | 113 | 1437 | 168 | 585 | 2092 | 529 | 869 |
| 228 | 98 | 1469 | 189 | 612 | 2134 | 564 | 912 |
| 219 | 102 | 1443 | 177 | 589 | 1968 | 510 | 885 |
| 272 | 133 | 1471 | 178 | 575 | 1905 | 552 | 912 |
| 255 | 103 | 1448 | 159 | 593 | 2185 | 532 | 889 |
| 234 | 89 | 1557 | 218 | 615 | 1963 | 548 | 867 |
| 241 | 107 | 1504 | 169 | 586 | 1976 | 519 | 888 |
| 208 | 90 | 1487 | 208 | 590 | 1993 | 563 | 914 |
| 226 | 107 | 1524 | 184 | 554 | 1957 | 549 | 928 |
| 245 | 98 | 1512 | 168 | 574 | 1984 | 535 | 914 |

### 4.2.3 Queeing time and material handling

There is queeing time or material handling time if the distance between one process to the next process.

Table 4.3 Queeing time

| No | Proc. 1 to proc 2 | Proc. 2 to proc 3 | Proc. 3 to proc 4 | Proc. 4 to proc 5 | Proc. 5 <br> To proc 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2845 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| 2 | 2616 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 5 |
| 3 | 2752 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 6 |
| 4 | 2508 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 4 |
| 5 | 2819 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| 6 | 2678 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| 7 | 2914 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 6 |
| 8 | 2692 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| 9 | 2736 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| 10 | 2878 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| 11 | 2909 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 12 | 2736 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 4 |
| 13 | 2781 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| 14 | 2968 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| 15 | 3162 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 6 |
| 16 | 2919 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 |
| 17 | 2624 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| 18 | 2817 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 5 |
| 19 | 2758 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| 20 | 2863 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 5 |
| 21 | 2696 | 6 | - 8 | 7 | 6 |
| 22 | 2792 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| 23 | 3236 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 7 |
| 24 | 3402 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 4 |
| 25 | 2934 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| 26 | 2818 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| 27 | 2554 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| 28 | 2872 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| 29 | 3108 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 30 | 2999 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 5 |
| 31 | 2744 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 6 |
| 32 | 2872 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| 33 | 2879 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6 |
| 34 | 2750 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 7 |
| 35 | 2534 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 6 |
| 36 | 2846 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 5 |
| 37 | 2704 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sum | 104715 | 269 | 267 | 211 | 206 |
| mean | 2830,135 | 7,270 | 7,216 | 5,703 | 5,567 |

Table 4.3 continued

| Proc. 6 to proc 7 | Proc. 7 to proc 8 | Proc. 8 to proc 9 | Proc. 9 to proc 10 | Proc. 10 <br> proc 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 11 | 937 | 6 | 43 |
| 6 | 13 | 1248 | 7 | 51 |
| 5 | 12 | 771 | 5 | 87 |
| 4 | 10 | 1079 | 6 | 46 |
| 5 | 12 | 702 | 6 | 68 |
| 6 | 10 | 687 | 7 | 61 |
| 4 | 8 | 807 | 5 | 58 |
| 5 | 12 | 971 | 6 | 45 |
| 6 | 9 | 1118 | 5 | 52 |
| 6 | 13 | 1193 | 7 | 72 |
| 5 | 12 | 948 | 5 | 55 |
| 6 | 14 | 697 | 6 | 82 |
| 5 | 13 | 1196 | 7 | 49 |
| 5 | 12 | 998 | 6 | 69 |
| 6 | 14 | 1069 | 6 | 51 |
| 5 | 12 | - 771 | 5 | 43 |
| 6 | 11 | 1119 | 6 | 56 |
| 5 | 10 | 1040 | 5 | 95 |
| 6 | 11 | 697 | 4 | 62 |
| 5 | 12 | 1189 | 5 | 47 |
| 6 | 9 | 807 | 6 | 72 |
| 5 | 11 | 979 | 4 | 58 |
| 5 | 10 | 1110 | 5 | 85 |
| 5 | 12 | 148 | 5 | 72 |
| 6 | 13 | 696 | 4 | 47 |
| 5 | 13 | 921 | 6 | 58 |
| 7 | 12 | 637 | 5 | 73 |
| 5 | 8 | 1133 | 4 | 58 |
| 5 | 10 | 1110 | 5 | 85 |
| 5 | 12 | 148 | 5 | 72 |
| 6 | 13 | 696 | 4 | 47 |
| 5 | 13 | 921 | 6 | 58 |
| 7 | 12 | 637 | 5 | 73 |
| 5 | 8 | 1133 | 4 | 58 |
| 6 | 9 | 1009 | 4 | 47 |
| 7 | 10 | 685 | 5 | 68 |
| 6 | 12 | 1056 | 6 | 58 |
| 5 | 11 | 1171 | 4 | 81 |
| 6 | 10 | 989 | 5 | 78 |
| 8 | 11 | 1023 | 6 | 65 |
| 7 | 9 | 1154 | 8 | 83 |
| 6 | 12 | 1077 | 7 | 79 |
| 7 | 11 | 998 | 6 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 208 | 414 | 34830 | 205 | 2367 |
| 5,622 | 11,189 | 941,351 | 5,541 | 63,973 |

Table 4.3 continued

| Proc. 11 to proc 12 | Proc. 12 to proc 13 | Proc. 13 to proc 14 | Proc. 14 to proc 15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proc. } 15 \text { to } \\ \text { proc } 16 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1058 | 6 | 6 | 1108 | 94 |
| 935 | 5 | 7 | 1041 | 81 |
| 1166 | 5 | 5 | 15.52 | 48 |
| 1291 | 6 | 6 | 931 | 112 |
| 1131 | 5 | 7 | 1198 | 84 |
| 1221 | 5 | 5 | 1003 | 72 |
| 1338 | 6 | 6 | 879 | 68 |
| 1192 | 5 | 7 | 1472 | 83 |
| 1117 | 6 | 6 | 771 | 48 |
| 1189 | 6 | 5 | 923 | 79 |
| 1258 | 5 | 7 | 1174 | 83 |
| 1303 | 7 | 6 | 1238 | 78 |
| 1231 | 6 | 5 | 1281 | 80 |
| 1168 | 5 | -A 7 | 828 | 58 |
| 1252 | 6 | 8 | 71189 | 84 |
| 1314 | 7 | 7 | 1110 | 101 |
| 1199 | 5 | 6 | 1309 | 87 |
| 1114 | 6 | 7 | 1121 | 118 |
| 1167 | -5 | 5 | $\bigcirc 1351$ | 49 |
| 1296 | 6 | 7 | 11174 | 43 |
| 1258 | -7 | 6 | 1) 1296 | 72 |
| 1166 | 6 | 7 | 1161 | 89 |
| 1491 | - | 7 | 1429 | 54 |
| 1169 | 6 | 6 | 1351 | 87 |
| 1233 | 7 | 7 | 1283 | 51 |
| 1270 | 8 | 6 | 1181 | 45 |
| 1362 | 6 | 7 | 1117 | 72 |
| 1429 | 6 | 6 | 1298 | 84 |
| 1179 | 4 | 5 | 1362 | 56 |
| 1272 | 6 | 6 | 1179 | 47 |
| 1423 | 5 | 8 | 1336 | 62 |
| 1284 | 5 | 7 | 1173 | 71 |
| 1179 | 8 | 8 | 1275 | 89 |
| 1087 | 6 | 9 | 1187 | 79 |
| 1268 | 9 | 6 | 1056 | 91 |
| 1107 | 7 | 7 | 1178 | 84 |
| 1274 | 8 | 6 | 1209 | 79 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 45391 | 222 | 239 | 42187.52 | 2762 |
| 1226,784 | 6 | 6,459 | 1140,203 | 74,648 |

### 4.2.4 Historical data

The historical data needed for the demand forecasting for certain period. The historical data for chair demand from August 2009 until July 2010 as follow:

Table 4.4 Historical Data

| No | Year | Month | Demand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2009 | August | 57 |
| 2 |  | September | 63 |
| 3 |  | October | 71 |
| 4 |  | November | 60 |
| 5 |  | December | 65 |
| 6 | $2010$ | January | 58 |
| 7 |  | February | 72 |
| 8 |  | March | 62 |
| 9 |  | April | 70 |
| 10 | $\frac{\mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{w}}$ | May | 55 |
| 11 |  | June | 65 |
| 12 |  | July | 59 |

### 4.3 Production Process

The production process at the company consists of many processes. More Stages and processes of this production process can be explained as follows:
a. Cleaning

First process of the production is washing the bamboo in order to make the bamboo clan, and remove the branches, also remove the itchy layer of the bamboo.
b. Back measuring

Next process is back measuring. To cut the bamboo so can be formed as the pattern.
c. Back cutting

After measure the bamboo as according to the back size, then cut it.
d. Back assembly

After the back parts have been cut, then assembly the parts become back of the chair.
e. Legs Measuring

Measure the bamboos according to the leg size.
f. Making the chair frame.

The function of frame is the basic form of the products, in chair, frame is the strongest part of the product. From the frame, we will know the design of the product we will make.
g. Workstation 3 : Binding

The meaning of binding process here is tied the frame using rattan winding as decorative function because it covers the nails. It is also to avoid the bamboo cut edges harm the skin.
h. Workstation 4 : Making the back rest

In this process, we apply the back rest to the framework. The back rest itself made of bamboo layer as the base of the chair to sit down or put goods.
i. Workstation 5 : Sanding and varnishing

In sanding and varnishing process aim for get soft and shiny surface of the product.

### 4.3.1 Production Tools

Tools used on the bamboo furniture business "Muda Kreatif" is simple tools. The tools that used such as:

1. Saw
2. Wedge
3. Hammer
4. Sickle
5. Measuring tape
6. Sandpaper

### 4.3.2 Raw Materials

The raw materials used in the production process are:

1. Bamboo
2. Rattan
3. Nail
4. Glue
5. Varnish

### 4.3.3 Product Specification

Products produced by SMEs are in the form of furniture such as: chairs, tables, the curtain, partition boxes and others. In this study, the product researched is only chair. The other products assumed have the same processing time.

### 4.4 Chair Operation Process Chart



Figure 4.1 Chair Operation Process Chart

### 4.5 Data Calculation

## Data calculation

All data collected will calculated and used in this section.

### 4.5.1 Homogeneity Test

Homogeneity test conducted on operation process chart data. For example, the test conducted on back cutting process.
a. Calculating mean of the chair back cutting process

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\bar{X} & =\frac{\sum X \boldsymbol{i}}{\boldsymbol{N}}  \tag{3.1}\\
\overline{\boldsymbol{X}} & =\frac{14189}{41} \\
\overline{\boldsymbol{X}} & =346,073
\end{array}
$$


b. Calculating the standard deviation of chair back rest cutting process time:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma & =\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}{N-1}}  \tag{3.2}\\
\sigma & =\sqrt{\frac{7687,78}{41-1}} \\
\sigma & =13,863
\end{array}
$$

c. Determine the upper control limit and lower control limit

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{UCL} & =\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}+\mathrm{k} \cdot \sigma  \tag{3.3}\\
\mathrm{UCL} & =346,073+2(13,863) \\
& =373,798
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{LCL}=\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}-\mathrm{k} \cdot \sigma \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

d. $\mathrm{LCL}=346,073-2(13,863)$

$$
=318,348
$$



Figure 4.2 Control Chart of chair back cutting process time
Since the data out of the control limit, we can say that these data is not homogeny. For the overall process time homogeneity test, the test result shown below:

Table 4.5 Data Uniformity Test

| No | Process | UCL | $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}$ | LCL | Information |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Cleaning | 333,037 | 273,878 | 214,718 | Out of control |
| 2 | Measure. bamboo | 176,463 | 155,463 | 134,463 | In control |
| 3 | Cut bamboo | 373,798 | 346,073 | 318,348 | Out of control |
| 4 | Ass. Back | 1430,032 | 1379,463 | 1328,894 | Out of control |
| 5 | Measure. Legs | 104,560 | 88,854 | 73,146 | In control |
| 6 | Cut Legs | 216,664 | 172.097 | 127,531 | In control |
| 7 | Ass. Legs | 400,442 | 347,829 | 295.216 | In control |
| 8 | Ass. Back and legs | 1533,25 | 1489,41 | 1444,43 | In control |
| 9 | Measure rattan | 266,167 | 234,878 | 203,588 | Out of control |
| 10 | Cut rattan | 131,995 | 104,244 | 76,492 | Out of control |
| 11 | Ass. Rattan | 1528,61 | 1456,90 | 1385,19 | Out of control |
| 12 | Measure back | 222,129 | 180,048 | 137,968 | Out of control |
| 13 | Cut Back | 621,838 | 586,585 | 551,332 | Out of control |
| 14 | Ass. Back | 2139,809 | 2007,146 | 1874,483 | Out of control |
| 15 | Sanding | 582,472 | 539,146 | 495,820 | In control |
| 16 | Varnish | 951,182 | 903,658 | 856,134 | In control |

After conducting the data uniformity test, there are 4 observations which are out of control. So we ignore these 4 data and we use the other 37 data to the next step.

The observation result after conducted the data uniformity test.

Table 4.6 process time data after uniformity test

|  | Cleaning | Frame |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No | Washing <br> bamboo | Back <br> Measure | Back <br> Cutting | Back <br> Bssemble | Legs <br> Measure | Legs <br> cutting | Legs <br> assemble |
|  <br> legs <br> assemble |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 236 | 140 | 333 | 1336 | 76 | 186 | 303 | 1474 |
| 2 | 251 | 147 | 346 | 1357 | 81 | 157 | 309 | 1458 |
| 3 | 241 | 155 | 338 | 1369 | 92 | 139 | 314 | 1449 |
| 4 | 279 | 166 | 352 | 1398 | 102 | 167 | 352 | 1468 |
| 5 | 306 | 158 | 367 | 1368 | 87 | 156 | 363 | 1445 |
| 6 | 263 | 149 | 342 | 1357 | 94 | 149 | 377 | 1509 |
| 7 | 328 | 156 | 365 | 1376 | 79 | 208 | 329 | 1521 |
| 8 | 308 | 144 | 378 | 1353 | 82 | 182 | 339 | 1476 |
| 9 | 247 | 148 | 347 | 1362 | 102 | 176 | 362 | 1518 |
| 10 | 325 | 168 | 338 | 1383 | 88 | 199 | 339 | 1468 |
| 11 | 323 | 157 | 351 | 1359 | 94 | 191 | 373 | 1477 |
| 12 | 255 | 146 | 338 | 1345 | 81 | 173 | 314 | 1498 |
| 13 | 243 | 143 | 344 | 1387 | 97 | 203 | 348 | 1458 |
| 14 | 243 | 155 | 338 | 1428 | 75 | 174 | 368 | 1479 |
| 15 | 245 | 176 | 349 | 1402 | 89 | 205 | 372 | 1488 |
| 16 | 267 | 166 | 363 | 1356 | 96 | 214 | 339 | 1512 |
| 17 | 274 | 158 | 351 | 1368 | 102 | 178 | 348 | 1503 |
| 18 | 251 | 150 | 339 | 1389 | 81 | 139 | 383 | 1511 |
| 19 | 267 | 163 | 362 | 1418 | 92 | 165 | 378 | 1479 |
| 20 | 261 | 174 | 349 | 1378 | 87 | 192 | 339 | 1458 |
| 21 | 245 | 147 | 359 | 1393 | 83 | 152 | 362 | 1522 |
| 22 | 271 | 154 | 338 | 1391 | 90 | 193 | 339 | 1517 |
| 23 | 285 | 143 | 368 | 1382 | 97 | 159 | 328 | 1508 |
| 24 | 303 | 144 | 335 | 1411 | 88 | 149 | 303 | 1513 |
| 25 | 300 | 156 | 324 | 1376 | 96 | 187 | 368 | 1498 |
| 26 | 300 | 166 | 321 | 1366 | 83 | 209 | 387 | 1487 |
| 27 | 245 | 175 | 336 | 1399 | 77 | 168 | 328 | 1529 |
| 28 | 261 | 138 | 341 | 1407 | 86 | 128 | 372 | 1468 |
| 29 | 289 | 144 | 367 | 1409 | 89 | 153 | 389 | 1498 |
| 30 | 289 | 152 | 338 | 1428 | 80 | 172 | 302 | 1483 |
| 31 | 292 | 163 | 343 | 1403 | 97 | 158 | 353 | 1508 |
| 32 | 287 | 139 | 375 | 1369 | 78 | 152 | 363 | 1477 |
| 33 | 245 | 148 | 339 | 1378 | 95 | 167 | 394 | 1522 |
| 34 | 257 | 172 | 349 | 1398 | 101 | 202 | 349 | 1502 |
| 35 | 284 | 166 | 333 | 1368 | 96 | 177 | 338 | 1477 |
| 36 | 321 | 158 | 368 | 1389 | 88 | 137 | 312 | 1493 |
| 37 | 272 | 168 | 347 | 1411 | 79 | 153 | 302 | 1498 |

Table 4.6 continued

| Binding |  |  | Back rest |  |  | Finishing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rattan measure | Cutting | assemble | Rattan measure | Cutting | assemble | Sanding | Vamishing |
| 227 | 84 | 1393 | 162 | 592 | 1946 | 515 | 882 |
| 232 | 105 | 1423 | 151 | 578 | 1984 | 508 | 922 |
| 242 | 99 | 1377 | 178 | 565 | 2054 | 554 | 902 |
| 229 | 82 | 1465 | 177 | 628 | 1965 | 543 | 898 |
| 219 | 94 | 1444 | 188 | 579 | 2112 | 561 | 926 |
| 252 | 102 | 1453 | 204 | 568 | 1978 | 505 | 932 |
| 237 | 123 | 1457 | 138 | 577 | 2037 | 563 | 903 |
| 239 | 91 | 1436 | 156 | 592 | 2065 | 519 | 870 |
| 209 | 98 | 1427 | 168 | 586 | 2042 | 528 | 912 |
| 228 | 102 | 1464 | 203 | 614 | 2027 | 552 | 894 |
| 239 | 87 | 1463 | 171 | 586 | 2105 | 526 | 932 |
| 209 | 99 | 1433 | 183 | 576 | 1955 | 575 | 876 |
| 241 | 102 | 1431 | 214 | -557 | 1906 | 506 | 888 |
| 228 | 93 | 1455 | 167 | 564 | 1993 | 515 | 912 |
| 249 | 112 | 1425 | 183 | 588 | 2042 | 566 | 867 |
| 234 | 123 | 1398 | 204 | 567 | 2028 | 541 | 914 |
| 253 | 119 | 1425 | 163 | 574 | 1967 | 510 | 878 |
| 238 | 105 | 1438 | 155 | 577 | 2115 | 552 | 928 |
| 242 | 99 | 1487 | 194 | 611 | 1968 | 573 | 875 |
| 262 | 112 | 1438 | 167 | 586 | 2012 | 516 | 899 |
| 268 | 112 | 1468 | 206 | 612 | 1982 | 562 | 912 |
| 226 | 98 | 1498 | 182 | 587 | 2041 | 519 | 889 |
| 236 | 118 | 1484 | 155 | 575 | 1894 | 567 | 913 |
| 232 | 99 | 1399 | 181 | 567 | 1967 | 528 | 948 |
| 246 | 92 | 1418 | 179 | 589 | 1984 | 567 | 933 |
| 207 | 98 | 1498 | 172 | 597 | 1948 | 542 | 867 |
| 227 | 107 | 1479 | 183 | 603 | 2115 | 519 | 948 |
| 229 | 102 | 1497 | 168 | 574 | 1991 | 539 | 913 |
| 253 | 112 | 1478 | 162 | 586 | 1983 | 543 | 888 |
| 237 | 107 | 1462 | 209 | 606 | 1937 | 572 | 954 |
| 217 | 113 | 1437 | 168 | 585 | 2092 | 529 | 869 |
| 228 | 98 | 1469 | 189 | 612 | 2134 | 564 | 912 |
| 219 | 102 | 1443 | 177 | 589 | 1968 | 510 | 885 |
| 241 | 107 | 1504 | 169 | 586 | 1976 | 519 | 888 |
| 208 | 90 | 1487 | 208 | 590 | 1993 | 563 | 914 |
| 226 | 107 | 1524 | 184 | 554 | 1957 | 549 | 928 |
| 245 | 98 | 1512 | 168 | 544 | 1984 | 535 | 914 |

### 4.5.2 WLC Method

After we obtain the data, then we calculate the data using WLC method, we have to conduct some steps to obtain the total workload of the system. The steps of the WLC method as follow:

## a. Standard Time Calculation

Before determining the time standard predetermined adjustment factor and allowance. The calculation below is one example of the calculation.

1. Adjustment factor
2. Determination of the adjustment factor using the Westing house method because in that method the considered factors are more complete so the results are more accurate.
3. Back cutting process
4. Skill

$$
=\text { Advance }(\mathrm{A} 1) \quad=+0,15
$$

5. Effort

$$
=\operatorname{Good}(\mathrm{C} 1) \quad=+0,05
$$

6. Condition

$$
=\text { Average }(\mathrm{D}) \quad=0,00
$$

7. Consistence

$$
=\operatorname{Good}(\mathrm{C}) \quad=+0,01
$$

8. Total

$$
=+0,21
$$

So the adjustment factor is

$$
=1+(0,21)=1,21
$$

## 1. Allowance

Allowance factor is for personal needs and fatigue
Table 4.7 Allowance factors

| Factor |  | Allowance (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Energy released | 1 | 6 |
| Work attitude | 2 | 1 |
| Work motion | 2 | 3 |

Table 4.7 continued

| Eyes Fatigue | 2 | 6 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Environment temperature | 4 | 4 |
| Atmosphere condition | 3 | 6 |
| Environment condition | 4 | 4 |
| Personal needs |  | 2,5 |
| Total |  | 32,5 |

2. Cycle Time

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} & =\frac{\sum X \boldsymbol{i}}{\boldsymbol{N}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\frac{12871}{37} \quad=402,219 \text { seconds }
\end{align*}
$$

3. Normal Time

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}} & =\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \times \mathrm{P} \\
& =402,219 \times 1,21 \quad=486,685 \text { seconds }
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Standard Time

$$
\mathrm{Ts}=\operatorname{Tn}\left[\frac{100 \%}{100 \%-\% \text { Allowance }}\right]
$$

$$
=486,685\left[\frac{100 \%}{100 \%-32,5 \%}\right]
$$

$$
=721,014 \text { seconds }
$$

For the standard time of each process, we can see the table below:
Table 4.8 Standard Time

| No | Process | Standard time |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Cleaning bamboo | 569.092 |
| 2 | Measure bamboo | 322.219 |
| 3 | Cut back | 721.014 |
| 4 | Ass. Back | 2866.3 |
| 5 | Measure legs | 183.741 |
| 6 | Cut legs | 356.782 |
| 7 | Ass. Legs | 719.166 |
| 8 | Frame Assembling | 3089.365 |

Table 4.8 continued

| 9 | Measure rattan | 484.784 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 10 | Cut rattan | 212.366 |
| 11 | Ass. Rattan | 3013.180 |
| 12 | Measure back rest | 368.938 |
| 13 | Cut back rest | 1211.176 |
| 14 | Ass. Back rest | 4159.207 |
| 15 | Sanding | 1117.85 |
| 16 | Varmishing | 1875.780 |

The total standard time is $21,271 \mathrm{~s}$

## b. Calculate the workload

Calculate the total workload of the overal processes, include the queeing time and material handling time.
$\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{L}_{s, t}^{a g g r}=\sum_{i \epsilon J} \boldsymbol{p}_{i s} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{t})_{[ } t_{i}^{1}, t_{i s}^{3}$
Where:
$J \quad=$ Number of all orders
$P_{i s} \quad=$ Time to process order i at capacity group s
$I(t)_{[\ldots]}=$ Indicator: 1 if the interval is known (otherwise 0 if not known)
$t_{i}^{1} \quad=$ Early release time in order i
$t_{i s}^{3}=$ Time of completion work order process i at capacity group s.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{L}_{s, t}^{\boldsymbol{a g g r}}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{i} \epsilon \boldsymbol{J}} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i s}} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{t})_{[ } t_{i}^{1}, t_{i s}^{3}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{W L}=\quad \sum(569,092+2830,135+322,219+7,270+721,014+7,216$
$+2866,3+5,703+183,741+5,567+356,782+5,622+719,166+11,189+$
$3089,365+941,351+484,784+5,541+212,366+63,973+3013,180+$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1226,784+368,938+6+1211,176+6,459+4159,207+1140,203+1117,85 \\
& +74,648+1875,780)^{\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{t})[10.00,14.00)} \\
& =\quad 27608,621 \times 1 \\
& =\quad 27608,621 \text { seconds/unit } \\
& =460.144 \text { minutes/unit }
\end{aligned}
$$

## c. Grouping machine based on OPC

In WLC method, the production line is general flowshop, not pure flowshop. The difference between these line is in general flowshop, the workstation can conduct several process in 1 workstation. If in pure flowshop, the process line is pure only one process in one workstation.

Grouping the Worktation in this research is to minimize the time of the material handling to minimize the workload. Based on OPC, queeing time of the entire process are from washing to next process, back \& legs assembly to next process, binding to next process, and final assembly to the next process. Total queeing time is 2843.8125 $+11.28125+61.53125+1133.82875=4050.45375 \mathrm{~s}$.

Based on process similarity, queeing time of the entire process are from washing to the next process, measuring to the next process, cutting to the next process, back \& legs assembly to the next process, binding to the next process, and final assembly to the next process. Total queeing time is $2843.8125+1233.625+11.28125+61.53125$ $+4.3125=4154.5625 \mathrm{~s}$.

Based on the queeing time, the best grouping is based on OPC.


Figure 4.3 Capacity Group based on OPC

Table 4.9 Capacity Group

| No | Capacity Group | Process |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Capacity Group 1 | Cleaning bamboo |
| 2 | Capacity Group 2 | Measure bamboo |
| 3 |  | Cut back |
| 4 |  | Ass. Back |
| 5 | Capacity Group 3 | Measure legs |
| 6 |  | Cut legs |
| 7 |  | Ass. Legs |
| 8 | Capacity Group 4 | Ass. Frame |
| 9 | Capacity Group 5 | Measure rattan |
| 10 |  | Cut rattan |
| 11 |  | Binding |
| 12 | Capacity Group 6 | Measure back rest |
| 13 |  | Cut back rest |
| 14 |  | Ass. Back rest 2 |
| 15 | Capacity Group 7 | Sanding |
| 16 |  | Varnishing |

Capacity group 1 consists of washing bamboo $=569.092 \mathrm{~s}$

Capacity Group 2 Consists of back measuring, back cutting, back assembling, = 5654,0053 s

Capacity Group 3 consists of leg measuring, legs cutting, and legs assembling = 1259.689 s

Capacity group 4 consists of frame assembling $=3089.365$ seconds
Capacity group 5 consists of rattan measuring, rattan cutting, and binding = 3710,3305 seconds

Capacity Group 6 consits of back rest measureing, back rest cutting, back rest assembling $=5739.321 \mathrm{~s}$

Capacity group 7 consists of sanding, and varnishing $=2993.63$ seconds

Work load calculation after grouping the capacity

Capacity group 1 consists of washing bamboo.

Capacity Group 2 Consists of back measuring, back cutting, back assembling.
Capacity Group 3 consists of leg measuring, legs cutting, and legs assembling.

Capacity group 4 consists of frame assembling.
Capacity group 5 consists of rattan measuring, rattan cutting, and binding.

Capacity Group 6 consits of back rest measureing, back rest cutting, back rest assembling.

Capacity group 7 consists of sanding, and varnishing.

```
WL = \sum(569,092 + 322,219 + 721,014 + 2866,3 + 183,741 + 356,782 + 719,166 +
        3089,365) + 941,351 + (484,784 + 212,366 + 3013,180) + 1226,784 +
        (368,938+1211,176+4159,207+1117,85+1875,780) x I (t)[10.00,14.00)
    = 23439,094 x 1
    = 23439,094 s/unit
    = 390,652 minutes/unit
```


### 4.5.3 Costs Efficiency

a. Demand Forecasting

Demand forecasting provides the major inputto the other functions operation planning and control system. Then we can convert this forecast into material requirement, labor requirement, schedules, and other decision.

## Historical data

The data we gain from the company is from August 2009 until july 2010. The historical data as follow:

Table 4.10 Historical Data

| Month | Year | Demand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| August | 2009 | 57 |
| September |  | 63 |
| October |  | 71 |
| November |  | 60 |
| December |  | 65 |
| January | $2010$ | 58 |
| February |  | 72 |
| March |  | -62 |
| April |  | 70 |
| May |  | 55 |
| June |  | 65 |
| July 0 |  | 59 |



Figure 4.4 Historical Data Pattern

The historical data pattern shows that the data is horizontal or constant. From the historical data pattern, we can use some forecasting method which proper with the
data pattern. For constant data, the proper method are simple average (SA), single moving average, or commonly known as moving average (MA), Weighted Moving Average (WMA), Single Exponential Smoothing (SES), and Double Exponential Smoothing (DES). Then after we conduct those method, we decide the best method used based on the Mean Square Error (MSE) and Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD). Demand Forcasting using QS. Software version 3.0.

From the result of data calculation using QS. Software version 3.0, we get the next 6 month demand forecasting. And from the Mean Square Error (MSE) and Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD), we can conclude the best method used for the data is Simple Average (MA) and the demand is 63 units per month.

Table 4.11 Demand Forecasting

| No | Method | MAD | MSE | TS | Demand <br> Forecasting <br> (unit) | Best <br> Method |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (SA) | 5,579 | 40,814 | 2,304 | 63,08 | (SA) |
| 2 | (MA) -3 | 5,704 | 47,580 | $-1,987$ | 59,67 |  |
| 3 | (MA) -5 | 5,914 | 42,737 | $-1,318$ | 62,2 |  |

## b. Labour Requirement

To know wether the amount of the workers is optimal or not, we need to calculate using Work Load Analysis method.

Table 4.12 Standard Time on Each Capacity Group

| No | Capacity Group | Process | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Standard } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Capacity Group 1 | Cleaning bamboo | 569.092 |
| 2 | Capacity Group 2 | Measure bamboo | 322.219 |
| 3 |  | Cut back | 721.014 |
| 4 |  | Ass. Back | 2866.3 |
| 5 | Capacity Group 3 | Measure legs | 183.741 |
| 6 |  | Cut legs | 356.782 |
| 7 |  | Ass. Legs | 719.166 |

Table 4.12 continued

| 8 | Capacity Group 4 | Ass. Frame | 3089.365 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | Capacity Group 5 | Measure rattan | 484.784 |
| 10 |  | Cut rattan | 212.366 |
| 11 |  | Binding | 3013.18 |
| 12 | Capacity Group 6 | Measure back rest | 368.938 |
| 13 |  | Cut back rest | 1211.176 |
| 14 |  | Ass. Back rest | 4159.207 |
| 15 | Capacity Group 7 | Sanding | 1117.85 |
| 16 |  | Vamishing | 1875.78 |

Now we calculate the labour requirement capacity group 1

WLA $=\frac{P \times \text { Tst }}{A W H} \times 1$ worker
WLA $=\frac{63 \times\left(\frac{569.092}{3600}\right)}{168} \times 1$ worker

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{63 \times 2.45}{168} \times 1 \text { worker } \\
& =0.06 \text { workers } \sim 1 \text { worker }
\end{aligned}
$$

Labour requirement in capacity group 2

WLA $=\frac{P \times \text { Tst }}{A W H} \times 1$ worker
WLA $=\frac{63 \times\left(\frac{5654,0053}{3600}\right)}{168} \times 1$ worker

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{63 \times 1.03}{168} \times 1 \text { worker } \\
& =0.58 \text { worker } \sim 1 \text { worker }
\end{aligned}
$$

Labour requirement in capacity group 3

WLA $=\frac{P \times \text { Tst }}{A W H} \times 1$ worker

WLA $=\frac{63 \times\left(\frac{1259.689}{3600}\right)}{168} \times 1$ worker

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{63 \times 2.43}{168} \times 1 \text { worker } \\
& =0.135 \text { worker } \sim 1 \text { worker }
\end{aligned}
$$

Labour requirement in capacity group 4

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { WLA } & =\frac{P \times T s t}{A W H} \times 1 \text { worker }  \tag{3.10}\\
\text { WLA } & =\frac{63 \times\left(\frac{3089.365}{3600}\right)}{168} \times 1 \text { worker } \\
& =\frac{63 \times 2.43}{168} \times 1 \text { worker } \\
& =0.321 \text { worker } \sim 1 \text { worker }
\end{align*}
$$

Labour requirement in capacity group 5

WLA $=\frac{P \times T s t}{A W H} \times 1$ worker
WLA $=\frac{63 \times\left(\frac{3710,3305}{3600}\right)}{168} \times 1$ worker

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{63 \times 2.43}{168} \times 1 \text { worker } \\
& =0.39 \text { worker } \sim 1 \text { worker }
\end{aligned}
$$

Labour requirement in capacity group 6

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { WLA } & =\frac{P \times T s t}{A W H} \times 1 \text { worker }  \tag{3.10}\\
\text { WLA } & =\frac{63 \times\left(\frac{5739.321}{360}\right)}{168} \times 1 \text { worker } \\
& =\frac{63 \times 2.43}{168} \times 1 \text { worker } \\
& =0.60 \text { worker } \sim 1 \text { worker }
\end{align*}
$$

Labour requirement in capacity group 7

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { WLA } & =\frac{P \times T s t}{A W H} \times 1 \text { worker }  \tag{3.10}\\
\text { WLA } & =\frac{63 \times\left(\frac{2993.63}{3600}\right)}{168} \times 1 \text { worker } \\
& =\frac{63 \times 2.43}{168} \times 1 \text { worker } \\
& =0.31 \text { worker } \sim 1 \text { worker }
\end{align*}
$$

Recent workers of the "Muda Kreatif" are 10 persons. Based on calculation, the labour requirement of workers is 7 person.

The wage for the workers is idr 30,000/day. Recent wages for all workers is:

$$
\frac{10 \times 30,000 \frac{i d r}{\text { day }} \times 24 \text { day }}{\text { month }}=\text { idr } 7,200,000 / \text { month }
$$

Based on the WLA calculation, the labour requirement only 7 workers, so the wage si:

$$
\frac{7 \times 30,000 \frac{i d r}{d a y} \times 24 d a y}{\text { month }}=i d r 5,040,000 / \text { month }
$$

We can reduce the production cost idr 7,200,000 - idr 5,040,000 $=$ idr 2,160,000/month

### 4.6 Model Simulation

The simulation using ProModel 7.5 student version.

### 4.6.1 Locations

Assume the locations in this simulation represent the processes. There are 17 locations means there are 17 processes.


Figure 4.5 Locations

### 4.6.2 Entities

There are 7 kinds of result after each process, until the result is chair or finish product.

| Ontities |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ison | Name | 7 |  | Speed (mpm) |  | Stats |
| R | bamboo | - | 150 |  |  | Time Series |
| R | rattan | $\square$ | 150 |  |  | Time Series |
| $\geqslant$ | back_rest |  | 150 |  |  | Time Series |
|  | back_jadi | 4 | 150 | * ${ }^{\text {coll }}$ | - | Time Series |
|  | legs_jadi | -at | 150 | - ${ }^{-12}$ |  | Time Series |
|  | frame |  | 150 |  |  | Time Series |
| 1 | wip |  | 150 |  |  | Time Series |
| ? | finished_produk |  | 150 |  |  | Time Series |

Figure 4.6 Entitites

### 4.6.3 Process

Each entitites process requires different time. The data taken from table 4.2 for the process time.

| - ProModel - TA Ibnu 05 pro 630 hariMOD - [Process] |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - File Edit View Build Simulation Output Tools Window Help |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Location... |  |
| bamboo | bamboo_cleaning | a_kedatangan_bambu |
| bamboo | back_measuring | WAIT 322.219 SEC |
| bamboo | back_cutting | WAIT 721.014 SEC |
| bamboo | back_assembly | WAIT 2866.3 SEC |
| bamboo | legs_measuring | WAIT 183.741 |
| bamboo | legs_cutting | WAIT 356.782 SEC |
| bamboo | legs_assembly | WAIT 719.166 SEC |
| legs_jadi | frame_assembly | WAIT 3089.365 SEC |
| rattan | measuring_rattan | WAIT 484.784 SEC |
| rattan | cutting_rattan | WAIT 212.366 SEC |
| rattan | binding | WAIT 3013.180 SEC |
| bamboo | measuring_back_rest | WAIT 368.938 SEC |
| bamboo | cutting_back_rest | WAIT 1211.176 SEC |
| bamboo | assembly | WAIT 4159.207 SEC |
| finished_produk | sanding | WAIT 1117.85 SEC |
| finished_produk | varnish | WAIT 1875.780 SEC |
| finished_produk | finish |  |

Figure 4.7 Process

### 4.6.4 Routing

The routing for the model simulation using queei and material handling data in table

## 4.3.

| 1 Routing for bamboo@ bamboo_cleaning |  |  |  | [1] $\square$ 回 | $\Sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blk | Output... | Destination... | Rule. | Move Logic... |  |
| 1 | bamboo | back_measuring | FIRST 1 | MOVE FOR 2830.135 SEC |  |
| 2* | bamboo | legs_measuring | FIRST 1 | MOVE FOR 2830.135 SEC |  |

Figure 4.8 Routing to back measuring


Figure 4.9 Routing to back cutting


Figure 4.10 Routing to back assembly

### 4.6.5 Arrivals

The arrivals is for the raw materials, in this case is for bamboo and rattan.


Figure 4.11 Arrivals

### 4.6.6 Simulation run

After build all element of the simulation and isert the data, the we run the simulation.


Figure 4.12 Simulation Run

### 4.6.7 Reports

The result of the simulation after we build the model and input the data is shown as follow:


Figure 4.13 Reports

## CHAPTER V

## DISCUSSION

Reduce the workload on workload centre based on mathematical model formulation has been built in previous that used in on workload centre analysis. Thus mathematical model not instantly can be applied in real condition at Karya Muda SME because have to change and effects current system which is running, so the simulation is conducted. The mathematical modeling to minimize the workload centre by grouping some processes into several workstation which have function similarities based on operation process chart, so that will eliminate some routes and reduce the workload in the system. Some routes eliminated in the system for example from back measuring to back cutting, and from back cutting to back assembly, will reduce the material handling and transfer time. There are many routes eliminated because of the grouping, and reduce more times. From 19 locations, the grouping converts them into 7 capacity groups. Before conduct the grouping the processes into several capacity groups, the workload for the whole processes is 460,144 minutes / unit, and after grouping the capacity group, the workload for the whole processes is 390,652 minutes/unit.

Main objective of a commercial company is profit, and one way to maximize the profit is by minimize the cost. The research accomplished using workload control method by grouping the processes based on operation process chart makes workstation in the system is lesser than current system and also makes the workload reduce. The effect is the number labour requirement on the workshop. After calculating the workload and use it to find the optimal number of worker using workload analysis. The number of the worker available in current system is 10
workers, after calculate the optimal number of worker considering the workload after grouping the processes is 7 persons using WLA calculation, so the labor cost can be reduce from 10 person wage become 7 persons wage. In the case of Muda Karya SME, the labor cost reduce from 7,200,000 idr / month become 5,040,000 idr / month. The production cost is reduce as much as $2,160,000 \mathrm{idr} /$ month from reducing labor cost only. If we consider the transfer and material handling cost, the minimizing will be greater.

## CHAPTER VI

## CONCLUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION

### 6.1 Conclusion

According to the discussion in chapter V , some conclusions can be established is as follow:

To determine the employee requirement is to consider and calculate the workload in the workstation. To reduce the workload in flowshop manufacturing SMEs, we can use workload control (WLC) method. One of the ways to conduct WLC method is by grouping some processes into capacity groups based on operation process chart, in order eliminate minimize the route of the material handling from one workstation into another workstation in the workshop. Before grouping, the workload is 460,144 minutes / unit and after grouping, the workload is 390,652 minutes/unit.

The employee requirement number in this research after conducting the Workload Control is 7 employees, compared with the actual employees of 10 persons. From the labor cost itself the SME can reduce labor cost for 2,160,000 idr / month.

### 6.2 Recommendation

Some recommendations after conducting this research described as follow:

1. The grouping in this research is based on the operation process chart. The other researcher can consider other option such as based on the material handling cost or based on the workshop layout.
2. For further research find the alternative way to conduct the WLC method besides grouping the processes into several workstations. For example how to manage the information feedback in order to make the information flow fluently, indirectly minimize the workload.
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## APPENDICES

## Appendix Simulation Validation

| data hitoris |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Mean | 63.08333333 |
| Standard Error | 1.635118073 |
| Median | 62.5 |
| Mode | 65 |
| Standard Deviation | 5.664215156 |
| Sample Variance | 32.08333333 |
| Kurtosis | -1.08778264 |
|  |  |
| Skewness | 0.34022914 |
| Range | 17 |
| Minimum | 55 |
| Maximum | 72 |
| Sum | 757 |
| Count | 12 |
| Largest(1) | 72 |
| Smallest(1) | 55 |
| Confidence |  |
| Level(95.0\%) | 3.598870613 |


| data simulasi |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Mean | 63.25 |
| Standard Error | 1.059766984 |
| Median | 64 |
| Mode | 64 |
| Standard Deviation | 3.671140521 |
| Sample Variance | 13.47727273 |
|  | - |
| Kurtosis | 0.084559319 |
| Skewness | - |
| Range | 0.754896794 |
| Minimum | 12 |
| Maximum | 56 |
| Sum | 68 |
| Count | 759 |
| Largest(1) | 12 |
| Smallest(1) | 68 |
| Confidence | 56 |
| Level(95.0\%) |  |

## Delsimp $\mathbf{P}_{\text {engumpulan data dan estinasi distribusi }}$

| Hari | Produk |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 57 |
| 2 | 63 |
| 3 | 71 |
| 4 | 60 |
| 5 | 65 |
| 6 | 58 |
| 7 | 72 |
| 8 | 62 |
| 9 | 70 |
| 10 | 55 |
| 11 | 65 |
| 12 | 59 |

Frekuensi Relatif Untuk Produk Box

| Produk <br> Box | Comulative <br> Frequency | Relative <br> Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 57 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 58 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 59 | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 60 | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 62 | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 63 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 65 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| 70 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| 71 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| $\mathbf{T o t a l}$ | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |

## Delsmm Hasil Simulasi Promodel 6.0

| Hari | Produk |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 67 |
| 2 | 60 |
| 3 | 56 |
| 4 | 64 |
| 5 | 62 |
| 6 | 65 |
| 7 | 64 |
| 8 | 64 |
| 9 | 64 |
| 10 | 68 |
| 11 | 67 |
| 12 | 58 |

Frekuensi Relatif Untuk Produk Box

| Produk <br> Box | Comulative <br> Frequency | Relative <br> Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | 1 | 1 |
| 58 | 2 | 1 |
| 60 | 3 | 1 |
| 62 | 4 | 1 |
| 64 | 8 | 4 |
| 65 | 9 | 1 |
| 67 | 11 | 2 |
| 68 | 12 | 1 |
| 68 | 12 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |

c. Z Hitung

$$
\mathrm{Sp}^{\wedge} 2=\frac{\left(\mathbf{n}_{1-1}\right) \mathrm{V}_{1}{ }^{\wedge} 2+\left(\mathbf{n}_{2-1}\right) \mathrm{V}_{2}{ }^{\wedge} 2}{\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}-2}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Sp}^{\wedge} 2=\square 22.78030303
$$

Z hitung=
Mean 1-Mean 2

$$
\sqrt{ } \mathrm{Sp}^{\wedge} 2^{*}\left(1 / \mathrm{n}_{1}+1 / \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)
$$

Z hitung=

## d. Kesimpulan

Karena - Z $0.025<$ Z hitung $<$ Z 0.025
Yaitu
$-\mathrm{Z} 0.025<\quad 0.00581993<\mathrm{Z} 0.025$
Maka Ho tidak ditolak, berarti tidak cukup bukti untuk menyimpulkan bahwa rata - rata pada sistem nyata berbeda dengan rata - rata hasil simulasi

## c. F Hitung

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { F Hitung }=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1} \wedge_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2} \wedge_{2}} \\
& \text { F Hitung }=0.420071
\end{aligned}
$$

## d. Kesimpulan

Karena F Tab 0,975 < F hitung < F tab 0,025
Yaitu
$0.288<0.420071<3.474$
Maka Ho tidak ditolak, berarti tidak cukup bukti untuk menyimpulkan bahwa variansi pada sistem nyata berbeda dengan variansi hasil simulasi

| Produk <br> Box | Relative Frequency |  |  | Produk <br> Box | Relative Frequency |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Histor } \\ & \text { (Expect } \end{aligned}$ | d) | Simulasi (Actual) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Historis } \\ \text { (Expected) } \end{gathered}$ | Simulasi (Actual) |
| 55 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 55-62 | 6 | 4 |
| 56 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 63-72 | 6 | 8 |
| 57 | 1 | 4 | 0 | Total | 12 | 12 |
| 58 | 1 | - | 1 | 17 |  |  |
| 59 | 1 | ? | 0 |  |  |  |
| 60 | 1 | I | 1 |  |  |  |
| 62 | 1 | - | 1 |  |  |  |
| 63 | 1 | $\underline{\square}$ | 0 |  |  |  |
| 64 | 0 |  | 4 |  |  |  |
| 65 | 2 | I | 1 |  |  |  |
| 67 | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 68 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 70 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 71 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 72 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Total | 12 |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Probabilitas |  |  |  | 0.2482 |  |  |
| Chi Kuadrat Hitung |  |  |  | 4.1256 |  |  |
| Chi Kuadrat Tabel |  |  |  | 7.8147 |  |  |

ANALISA HASII

```
H
H1}\mathrm{ : Data Hasil Simulasi Tidak Sesuai dengan Data Sistem Nyata
Jika X2 Hitung < X2 Table, H0 Diterima
Jika X2 Hitung > X2 Table, H0 Ditolak
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