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#### Abstract

Amalia Sari Wulan (2005), "The Influence of Brand Equity to The Customers' Purchase Decision; A Case Study on simPATI Pre-paid Card Users in Nogotirto, Sleman, Yogyakarta". Yogyakarta: Management Department, International Program, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Islam Indonesia.


Brand equity is significantly important for a company's existences. In a study of 96 respondents measured the strength of simPATI pre-paid card that has equity on its brand. $\operatorname{simPATI}$ pre-paid card is a brand name from Telkomsel as a leading mobile network and service provider, and also one of the best operator in Asia. (http://www.sinarharapan.co.id/ekonomi/promarketing/2004/0113/prom5.html, Septe mber $30^{\text {th }}, 2004$ ). This research was conducted in order to obtain the influence of brand equity to the customers' purchase decision and to identify the factors that dominantly influence the purchase decision of the customers of simPATI pre-paid card. This research tested five elements of brand equity, namely, brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, and other proprietary brand assets. Of those attributes, brand loyalty had the strongest effect on customers' purchase decision, while brand awareness has the least effect.
simPATI pre-paid card has been able to enhanced customers' purchase decision, when the average score of customers' purchase decision exceeds 30.2083. Simultaneously, the influence of Brand Loyalty (X1), Perceived Quality (X2), Brand Awareness (X3), Brand Association (X4), and Other Proprietary Brand Assets (X5) to Customers' Purchase Decision (Y) through Multiple Regression ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.165$ ) had been proved statistically. Here, by comparing the score of Beta coefficient, the coefficient score of Brand Loyalty (X5) 0.229 is the dominant factor influencing customers' purchase decision $(\mathrm{Y})$.

One other contrary finding is that although brand equity comprises all five factors being tested, brand awareness showed the smallest effect on brand equity, far eclipsed by brand loyalty and perceived quality. Thus, brand awareness on simPATI pre-paid card should be given more attention in order to reach the consumers' alertness. Even tough brand loyalty has the highest score in this research; it still needs further study.

Keywords: brand equity, brand loyalty, brand awareness, and purchase decision.


#### Abstract

ABSTRAK Amalia Sari Wulan (2005), "The Influence of Brand Equity to The Customers' Purchase Decision; A Case Study on simPATI Pre-paid Card Users in Nogotirto, Sleman, Yogyakarta". Yogyakarta: Manajemen, Program Internasional, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Islam Indonesia.


Ekuitas merek sangatlah penting bagi kelangsungan perusahaan. Pada penelitian 96 responden mengukur kekuatan kartu pra-bayar simPATI, yang memiliki ekuitas pada mereknya. Kartu prabayar simpati adalah nama merek dari Telkomsel sebagai pemimpin jaringan telepon tanpa kabel dan servis provider, dan juga sebagai salah
satu operator terbaik (http://www.sinarharapan.co.id/ekonomi/promarketing/2004/0113/prom5.html,Septe mber $30^{\text {th }}, 2004$ ). Penelitian ini dilaksanakan untuk mengetahui pengaruh nilai merek (ekuitas merek) dalam keputusan pembelian konsumen dan untuk mengetahui faktorfaktor utama yang mempengaruhi keputusan pembelian pada kartu pra-bayar simPATI. Penelitian ini menguji lima elemen ekuitas merek yaitu: loyalitas merek, Penampakan kualitas, kesadaran merek, asosiasi merek dan asset-aset merek lainnya. Dari atribut-atribut tersebut, loyalitas merek memiliki efek terkuat pada keputusan pembelian konsumen, sementara kesadaran merek memiliki efek paling lemah.

Kartu pra-bayar simPATI mampu menaikkan keputusan pembelian konsumen, pada saat nilai rata-rata keputusan pembelian konsumen mencapai 30.2083. Secara bersamaan, pengaruh dari Loyalitas Merek (X1), Penampakan Kualitas (X2), Kesadaran Merek (X3), Asosiasi Merek (X4), dan Aset-aset Merek lainnya (X5) terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Konsumen (Y) melalui Regresi Total ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.165$ ) telah dibuktikan secara penghitungan statistik. Di sini dinyatakan bahwa, dengan membandingkan skor koefisien Beta, koefisien skor Loyalitas Merek (X5) 0.229 merupakan faktor utama yang mempengaruhi Keputusan Pembelian Konsumen ( Y ).

Salah satu hasil penelitian yang berbeda yaitu walaupun ekuitas merek di sini berisi lima faktor yang diteliti, Kesadaran Merek menunjukkan efek paling kecil pada ekuitas merek, jauh tertutup oleh Loyalitas Merek dan Penampakan Kualitas. Jadi, kesadaran merek pada kartu pra-bayar simPATI seharusnya diberikan perhatian yang lebih mendalam agar dapat meraih perhatian konsumen. Walaupun loyalitas merek memiliki skor tertinggi dalam penelitian ini; loyalitas merek masih membutuhkan penelitian lebih lanjut.

## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. STUDY BACKGROUND

Globalization era gives chances to the individual even companies to do business. It would create rising market production and opportunity. However, it would bring competition among domestic and foreign producers. Competition between them could not be avoided. It would make them extend and struggle to takes market opportunity.

Like a proverb says if there is a will, there is a way. One of several ways to develops and grasps market opportunity is using resources effectively and efficiently. By using it economically, the producers are possibly to produce greater products; goods and services. There are two kinds of products, tangible and intangible. Only a few products are entirely intangible or completely tangible. Employee appearances dresses are important aspect of the tangible dimension of quality, along with many factors that are independent of service employees such as the service facility, decor, brochures, signage, and so on (Zeithaml and Bitner, 2003: 321). Intangible products are the most basic, and generally refer to the difference between goods and services (Zeithaml and Bitner, 2003: 20). Services are likely to be more intangible than manufactured products because services are performances or actions rather than objects. They cannot be seen, smelt, felt, tasted, or touched in the same manner of tangible products. Thus, manufactured products are likely to be more tangible than services.

Mostly, managers prefer intangibie products as basic competition in order to struggle to takes market opportunity. One of several intangible products is brand. Today, the primary capital of many businesses is their brands (Kapferrer, 1992:1). The word brand is derived from the Old Norse word brandr, which means "to burn", as brands were and still are the means by which owners of livestock mark their animals to identify them (Keller, 1998: 3). A brand is a set of brand assets and liabilities linked to a brand, its name and symbol that add to or subtract from the value provided by a product or service to a firm and/or to that firm's customers (Aaker, 1991: 15). A brand thus signals to the customer the source of the product, and protects both the customer and the producer from competitors who would attempt to provide products that appear to be identical. On the other hand, brand is not a product; it is the product's source, its meaning, and its direction, and it defines its identity in time and space (Kapferer, 1992: 4). However, a product is something that is made in a factory; a brand is something that is bought by a customer. A product can be copied by a competitor; a brand is unique. A product can be quickly outdated; a successful brand is timeless as Stephen King has said (Aaker, 1991: 93).

Furthermore, a brand encapsulates identity, origin, specificity, and difference (Kapferer, 1992: 2). A brand represents a promise. Its value to consumers is that it reduces risk, saves time and provides reassurance. Predictable results are the promise of a brand. The point of brand is, and always has been, to provide information (Bradley, 1995: 5). Information that educate the customer about the products benefits, attributes, differentiation, and so on even personality
product. By providing comprehensive information about a product, a consumer is able to know about the product as well. Furthermore, a company might be able to develop good brand equity by increasing awareness on the side of the customers by creating certain associations, perceived quality and increasing loyalty.

Besides, a brand is a significant power to determine the product's marketing (SWA, 2003: 2). Lots of companies failed to seize market opportunity because they were unable to develop their products to become a high valuable brand that had high brand equity as well. They were also unable to keep the brand in their customer's mind emotionally and loyally. In contrast, numerous companies got plenty of profits because they were succeed in placing their brand into the highest place among other products.

As many business executives now recognize, perhaps one of the most valuable assets of a firm is the brand that they have invested in and developed over time (Keller, 1998: 2). A company's brand has to be preserved and kept up because it brings a primary source of its competitive advantage and a valuable strategic asset. Moreover, it has to be maintained in order to persist in customer's mind. The brand can add significant value when it is well recognized and has positive relationships in the mind of the consumer. This concept is referred to as brand equity.

As long as a product or service meets a customer's expectations with no unexpected negative results, a customer is likely to continue to purchase the brand. It is the customer-oriented definition of a brand that is at the heart of the concept of brand equity. Typically, brand equity represents a large proportion of
concept of brand equity. Typically, brand equity represents a large proportion of the value of consumer product or service companies when compared to industrial manufacturers or commodity producers. It is an intangible asset that depends on associations made by the customer. Alvin Achenbaum (Keller, 1998:3) state more specifically, what distinguishes a brand from its unbranded commodity counter part and gives its equity is the sum of the total of consumers' perceptions and feelings about the product's attributes. Besides how they perform, about the brand name and what it stands for, and about the company associated with the brand

Because of all the reasons above, it is essential to have a research on this field. The research is entitled: The Influence of Brand Equity to the Customers' Purchase Decision; A Case Study on simPatI Pre-paid Card Users in Nogotirto, Sleman, Yogyakarta.

### 1.2. PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION

Based on the study background, the focus of the research is on how the brand equity of sim/'ATY Pre-paid Card can affect customer's purchase decision.

### 1.3. PROBLEM FORMULATION

1. Does brand equity influence the customers' purchase decision?
2. What factors of the brand equity that dominantly influence customers' purchase decision?

### 1.4. PROBLEM LIMITATION

Problem limitation are needed to focus on which problems that will be investigated

1. This research will focus on simPATI pre-paid card.
2. This research is only eligible to those who are $\operatorname{sim} P A T I$ pre-paid card users.

### 1.5. LIMITATION OF RESEARCH AREA

In order to provide a clear description and to be able to impart useful information, the limitations of the study are indicated below:

1. This study is conducted in Nogotiro, Sleman, Yogyakarta
2. The respondents are the simP ${ }^{\prime} A T I$ pre-paid card users
3. This study is aimed to observe the relationship between brand equity and customers' purchase decision.

### 1.6. RESEARCH ORJECTIVES

The purposes of this research are:

1. To examine the influence of brand equity (value of the brand) to customers' purchase decision.
2. To identify the factor of brand equity which dominantly influence customers' purchase decision.

### 1.7. RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS

Some contributions that are expected from the research are:

1. Researcher

This research is expected to give benefits as an experience in marketing research process and to give the writer knowledge, which is empirically characterized, besides other sources as reference books, which can enrich the researcher's knowledge. It also be able to develop an understanding to the researcher about conducting survey research.

## 2. The company

This research might give useful benefits for PT Telkomsel; particularly for its brand managers or marketing managers. The finding could be used as additional consideration in making decision to maintain or build their's company brand in order to exist in this fierce competition.

## 3. Other parties

The result of the research can be used as a basis in conducting similar research and to encourage other parties to conduct advanced research.

### 1.8. DEFINITION OF TERMS

Those are some terms that should be explained to the reader in order to make a good understanding about the research:

- Brand: a distinguishing name and/ or symbol (such as logo, trademark, or package design) intended to identify the goods or services of either
one seller on a group of sellers, and to differentiate those goods or services from those of competitions (Aaker, 1991: 7)
- Brand association: anything "linked" in memory to a brand (Aaker, 1991: 109)
- Brand awareness: related to the strength of the resulting brand node or trace in memory, as reflected by consumers' ability to identify the brand under different conditions (Keller, 1998: 87)
- Brand equity: the value of the brand, based on the extent to which it has high brand loyalty, brand awareness, perceived quality, strong brand associations and other assets such as patents, trademarks and channel relationship (Kotler, 2000: 228)
- Brand lovalty: consistent preference and/ or purchase of the same brand in a specific product or service category (Aaker, 1991: 39)
- Consumer: a term used to describe two different kinds of consuming entities; personal consumer (who buys goods and services for their own); organizational consumer (who buys products, equipments and services in order to run their organization) (Schiffman and Kanuk, 1997: 48)
- Customer: a person who buys, especially one who buys from, or patronizes, an establishment regularly.
- Decision: a choice made from two or more alternatives, a commitment to action
- Evaluation of alternatives: the third stage in the consumer buying decision process in which the consumer appraises the benefits to be derived from each of the product alternatives being considered Schiffiman and Kanuk, 1997: 570)
- Influence: the power of persons or things to affect others, seen only in its effect.
- Information search: the process by which the consumer surveys his or her environment for appropriate data to make a reasonable decision (Solomon, 2002: 260)
- Need recognition: the first stage in the consumer buying decision process in which the realization by the consumer that there is a difference between 'what is and what should be'.
- Perceived quality: the consumer's perception of the overall quality or superiority of a product or service with respect to its intended purpose, relatives to alternatives (Aaker, 1991: 85).
- Pre-paid card: a rechargeable card that has to be paid first before use.
- Product: anything that can be offered to a market to satisfy a need or want.
- Purchase decision: the fourth stage in the decision making process, in which the consumer acquires the preferred alternative or an acceptable substitute.


## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW RELATED LITERATURE

### 2.1. THEORITICAL REVIEW

The theoretical review presented in this chapter discusses some theories, which are relevant to the topic of the research. These theories are taken from various literatures.

### 2.1.1. Definition of Marketing

According to Armstrong and Kotler (2000: 5)
Marketing is a social and managerial process by which individuals and groups obtain what they need and want through creating and exchanging products and value with others.

According to Harper W. B. Jr, Orville C.W. Jr and Jean C. Larroche (1998:7)

Marketing is a social process involving the activities necessary to enable individuals and organizations to obtain what they need and want through exchanges with others and to develop ongoing exchange relationship.

A leading management theorist, Peter Drucker (Kotler, 2000: 8) mentioned that the aim of marketing is to know and understand the customer so well that the product or service fits him and sells itself.

### 2.1.2. Marketing-Mix

Kotler (2000: 15) defined marketing-mix as tools to elicit desired responses from target markets. In other words, marketing-mix is a set of
marketing tools that the firm uses to pursue its marketing objectives in the target market.

## Based on Armstrong and Kotler (2000: 55)

Marketing-mix as the set of controllable, tactical marketing tools that the firm blends to produce the response it wants in the target market.

1. Product means the goods and services combination the company offer to the target market.
2. Price is the amount of money customers have to pay to obtain the product.
3. Place include company activities that make the product available to target consumers.
4. Promotion means activities that communicate the merits of the product persuade target customers to buy it.

> Product

- Product Variety
- Quality
- Design
- Features
- Brand name
- Packaging
- Size
- Services
- Warranties
- Returns

Figure 2.1 The Four Components of The Marketing Mix Source: Adapted from Koter (2000:15)

### 2.1.3. Product

According to Armstrong and Koter (2000: 6)
A product is anything that can be offered to a market to satisfy a need or want. At this point, product is unlimited to physical thing, but anything capable of satisfying a need or want can be called a product.

Products that are marketed include physical goods, services, experiences, events, persons, places, properties, organizations, information and ideas (Kotler, 2000: 394)

### 2.1.4. Definition of Brand

According to Armstrong and Kotler (2000: 227)
A brand is a name, term, sign, symbol, or design or a combination of these intended to identify the goods or services of one seller or group of sellers and to differentiate them from those competitors.

According to Koter (2000:404), a brand can convey up to six levels of meaning:

- Attributes: a brand has two types of attributes; intrinsic and extrinsic attributes.

Intrinsic attributes refer to functional characteristics of the product, such as its shape, performance, and physical capacity. Extrinsic attributes refer to those elements material functioning, and performance of the product itself, such as a name, price, packaging, marketing communication, and mechanism that enable consumers to form associations that give meaning to brand.

- Benefits: a brand is more than attributes must be translated into emotional or functional benefits.
- Values: the brand also reveals something about the producer's values toward the product that enable perceive by the consumers.
- Culture: the brand may represent certain culture, such as the culture of country of origin of the product.
- Personality: the brand can project a certain personality whether reflect a person personality or animal personality.
- User: the brand suggests the kind of consumer who buys or uses the product.

The most enduring meanings of a brand are its value, culture and personality. Those factors define the brand's essence. A strategic brand perspective requires managers to be clear about what role brands play for the company in creating customer value and shareholder value. One approach distinguishes the function of the brands for buyers and sellers.

The American Marketing Association (Kotler, 2000: 404) defines brand as name, term, sign, symbol, or design, or a combination of them, intended to identify the goods or services of one seller or group of sellers and to differentiate them from those competitors.

Jean-Noél Kapferer on Kotler (2000: 404) mention that a brand is essentially a seller's promise to deliver a specific set of features, benefits, and services consistently to the buyers. The best brands convey a warranty of quality.

But, a brand is an even more complex symbol. It can convey up to six levels of meanings.

- Attributes: a brand brings to mind certain attributes
- Benefits: Attributes must be translated into functional and emotional benefits.
- Values: the brand also says something about producer's values.
- Culture: the brands represent a certain culture.
- Personality: the brand can project a certain personality.
- User: the brand can suggest the kind of consumer who buys or uses the product.

A brand lives a promise that made to its customers and shareholders. Promises that are kept yield loyal customers and produce steady streams of profits. A brand signals to the customer the source of the product - and protects both the customer and producer from competitors who would attempt to provide products that appear to be identical. By developing strong and consistent images, well-regarded brands generate hidden assets - or brand equity - that give them distinct advantages.

### 2.1.5. Brand Equity and Its Dimensions

### 2.1.5.1. Definition of Brand Equity

Brand equity, recently, has received considerable attention in the marketing research. These are several definitions of brand equity based on the perspective that had been observed. Hence, brand equity has been said as multidimensional concept.

Accaording to Aaker,(1.991: 1.5), , brand equity is a set of brand assets arrat Ilidbllities Ilinkeedito aalbrand, iits mameandssymbol that add to or subtract from the value provided'by a product or service'to a firm and/or to that firm's customers.

Brand equity is the value of a brand, based on the extent to which it has high brand loyalty, name, awareness, perceived quality, strong brand associations and other assets such as patents, trademarks and channel relationship (Armstrong and Kotler, 2000: 228).

Guiltinan, Paul and Madden (1997: 176) defined brand equity as the added value that knowledge about a brand brings to a product offering over and above its basic functional qualities.

Brand equity is an intangible asset that depends on associations made by the consumer. There are at least three perspectives from which to view brand equity:

1. Financial - One way to measure brand equity is to determine the price premium that a brand commands over a generic product. For example, if consumers are willing to pay $\$ 100$ more for a branded television over the same unbranded television, this premium provides important information about the value of the brand. However, expenses such as promotional costs must be taken into account when using this method to measure brand equity.
2. Brand extensions - A successful brand can be used as a platform to launch related products. The benefits of brand extensions are the leveraging of existing brand awareness thus reducing advertising
expenditures, and a lower risk from the perspective of the consumer. Furthermore, appropriate brand extensions can enhance the core brand. However, the value of brand extensions is more difficult to quantify than are direct financial measures of brand equity.
3. Consumer-based - A strong brand increases the consumer's attitude strength toward the product associated with the brand. Attitude strength is built by experience with a product. This importance of actual experience by the customer implies that trial samples are more effective than advertising in the early stages of building a strong brand. The consumer's awareness and associations lead to perceived quality, inferred attributes, and eventually, brand loyalty.
(http://www.netmba.com/marketing/brand'equity/ + brand + equity\&hl-id, January $6^{\text {th }}, 2005$ )

Moreover, brand equity implies greater profits, increase cash flow, and greater market share. Marketers can acquire brand equity in three ways; they can build it, borrow it or buy it. Brand equity for customers, involves a strong, positive brand attitude (favourable evaluation of the brand) based on favourable meanings and beliefs that are accessible in memory (easily activated).

Company can build brand equity by ensuring that the brand actually delivers positive consequences and by consistently advertising these important consequences. Company can borrow brand equity by extending a positive brand
consequences. Company can borrow brand equity by extending a positive brand name to other product. A company can buy brand equity by purchasing brands that already have equity.


Figure 2.2 Brand Equity Model (Source: Modified from Aaker, 1991:17)

### 2.1.5.2. Dimensions of Brand Equity

There are some definitions related to brand equity's dimensions. Aaker (1991: 16) distinguished brand equity into five categories such as follows:

### 2.1.5.2.1. Brand Loyalty

The brand loyalty of the customer base is often the core of a brand's equity. If customers are indifferent to the brand and, in fact, buy with respect to features, price, and convenience with little concern to the brand name, there is likely little equity. (Aaker, 1991:39)

A brand loyalty is significant to measure for providing further insight into its range and implications as well as provide a useful instrument in utilizing the construct and linking it to profitability. The measurements are:

- Behaviour Measures
- Switching Costs:
- Measuring Satisfaction
- Liking of the Brand
- Commitment

After measuring the customer's loyalty, a firm should keep them by:

- Treat the customer right
- Stay close to the customer
- Measure or manage customer satisfaction
- Create switching cost
- Provide extras


Figure 2.3 Loyalty Pyramid
(Source: Adapted from Aaker 1991; 40)

### 2.1.5.2.2. Perceived Quality

Perceived quality is referred as customer's perception of the overall quality or superiority of a product or service with respect to its intended purpose, relative to alternative (Aaker, 1991: 85)

Aaker (1991: 85) stated the other concepts of perceived quality are:

- Actual or objective quality - the extent to which the product or service delivers superior service.
- Product based quality - the nature and quantity ingredients, features, or service included.
- Manufacturing quality - conformance to specification, the 'zero defects' goal.

A good quality reputation is usually based on achieving a high level quality. Just delivering quality is not enough. Several firms have found that
perceptions of quality must be created for the firm to realize a competitive advantage.

When it comes to quality, every consumer interprets the word differently, depending on their expectations. In judging quality, consumers utilize many of the same cues they use to reduce risk available information, past experience, brand, price, packaging and store image. All contribute to the final perception. Neither often consumers do nor posses the expertise, knowledge, or information. They need to make decision on their own.

Low expectation will increase perceived quality. Although price and quality are not necessities related, price is often perceived as a good indicator of the quality of product or service.

### 2.1.5.2.3. Brand Awareness

Many people often choose a recognized brand on top of unknown brand because they are comfy with the recognizable. It is reflect the consumer's familiarity.

Because consumers cannot buy a brand unless they are aware of it, brand awareness is a general communication goal for all promotion strategies (Peter and Olson, 2002: 433). Brand awareness measure the accessibility of the brand in memory (Chandon, 2003: 1)

Aaker (1991: 62) mention that brand awareness is the ability of a potential buyer to recognize or recall that brand is a member of certain product category. A link between product class and brand is involved. Brand awareness involves a
continuum ranging from an uncertain feeling that the brand is recognized, to a belief that it is the only one in the product class.


Figure 2.4 Awareness Pyramid (Source: Adapted from Aaker 1991:62)

Advertising probably has the greatest influence on brand awareness, although publicity, personal selling, and sales promotion also can increase awareness.

Furthermore, the level of consumers ${ }^{\circ}$ brand awareness is necessary for purchase varieties depending on how and where they make the purchase decision for that product category or form. Consumers do not need to recall a brand name; they need only to be able to quickly recognize familiar brands so as to often base on package cues, which then activate their relevant brand knowledge in memory.

In decision situation, a higher level of brand awareness is necessary to influence brand choice. If the purchase decision is made at home or in another environment where few brand-related cues are available, the brand must be recalled in memory in order to enter the consideration set.

### 2.1.5.2.4. Brand Associations

A brand association is anything "linked" in memory to a brand, for example what product attributes or product symbols that the brand stands for in the customer's mind (Aaker, 1991: 109). It is important to the company in knowing how they want their customers to perceive their brand, and most essentially is that customers association with the brand and what they believe that it stands for is positive.

If a company treat a brand only as a name, it misses the point. Company have to develop a deep set of positive associations for the brand. Aaker (Kotler, 2000: 405) distinguished five levels of customer attitude toward brand from lowest to highest:

1. Customer will change brands, especially for price reasons. No brand loyalty.
2. Customer is satisfied. No reason to change the brand.
3. Customer is satisfied and would incur costs by changing brand.
4. Customer values the brand and sees it as a friend.
5. Customer is devoted to the brand.

Still according to Aaker (Kotler 2000: 405) besides brand equity is highly related to how many customer are related on classes (3), (4), or (5), its also related to the degree of brand-name recognition, perceived brand quality, strong mental and emotional association, and other assets such as patents, trademarks, and channel relationships

Strong brand equity provides the following benefits:

- Facilitates a more predictable income stream.
- Increases cash flow by increasing market share, reducing promotional costs.
- Allowing premium pricing.

Brand equity exist an asset that can be sold or leased. However, brand equity is not always positive in value. Some brands acquire a bad reputation that results in negative brand equity. Negative brand equity can be measured by surveys in which consumers indicate that a discount is needed to purchase the brand over a generic product.
(www.netmba.com/marketing/brand/equity/+brand+equity\&hl=id, January $6^{\text {th }}$, 2005)

### 2.1.5.2.5. Other Proprietary Brand Assets

This last category signifies other proprietary brand assets as patents, trademarks, and channel relationships. Brand assets will be most valuable if they reduce or prevent competitors from eroding a customer base and loyalty. For instance, a trademark will keep brand equity from competitors who might desire to confuse the consumers by using a similar name, symbol, or package. If strong and relevant to customer choice, a patent is being able to avoid direct competition. A brand is able to controlled distribution channel because of brand performance's history.

### 2.1.6. Brand Equity Drivers'

Aaker (1991: 16) mentioned that for assets or liabilities to underline brand equity they must linked to name and/or symbol of the brand. If the brand's name or symbol should change, some or all of the assets or liabilities could be affected and even less. The assets and liabilities of brand equity are drives its categories: brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association as an addition to the perceived quality and other.

Brand equity is highly related to how many customers are classes point (c), (d), and (e). High brand equity provides a number of competitive advantages:
a. The company will enjoy reduced marketing costs because of consumers brand awareness and loyalty.
b. The company will have more trade leverage in bargaining with distributors and retailers because customers expect them to carry the brand.
c. The company can change a higher price than its competitors because the brand has higher perceived quality.
d. The company can more easily more launch extension because the brand name carries high credibility.
e. The brand offers the company some defence against price competition (Kotler, 2000: 406).

### 2.1.7. Measuring Brand Equity

A number of methods have been suggested for measuring brand equity. Most evaluations of brand equity involve utility estimation. Specifically, an effort to measure the value (utility) of a product's features and price level and also measure the overall utility of a product when including brand name. The difference between total utility and the utility of the product features is the value of the brand (brand equity). In other situations, the utility of the brand is measured directly and added to the feature utilities to produce an overall utility for the product.

Besides utilities, contributing factors such as current awareness levels of each brand, overall perceptions of each brand, and brands currently used should be measured. It is also useful to obtain estimates of marketing, advertising and promotional expenses for the major brands in the market. Together with utility estimates, it provides a more complete picture of the relative value of each brand and set aside an understanding the major forces driving brand equity: product features, price, market awareness, market perceptions and expenditures to build and support those brands.

Cobb-Walgren., et al. (1995), affirmed that operationalizations of brand equity usually fall into two groups: those involving consumer perceptions (brand awareness, brand associations, and perceived quality) and that involving consumer behaviour (brand loyalty, and willingness to pay high price).

Price premiums and replacement cost can be used to measure brand equity (Washburn, et al. 2000: 593). Both can be develop into one basis of tracking
brand equity (Aaker, 1991: 24). Nevertheless, both of them are stagnant approach. The result may be similar to existing power of the brand. It unreasonably considers the upcoming change's impact such as enhanced quality.

### 2.1.8. Consumer Decision Making

Decision about brand choice continue right up to the moment of purchase; even if the consumer is fairly certain of a brand before they go to the shop, their possibility of their being influenced by point-of-sale advertising or sales person. Furthermore, the purchase act does not consist single decision, that of brand choice. It is a complex selection involving sub decision regarding time and place of purchase.

When choosing which products or services to consume, people tend to make a decision making. Consumer will make a decision to assess and choose among alternative products that might satisfy their needs. By the time consumers reach the purchase decision, they have already used a motivation as a tool to identify a need; perception and learning to collect and manage information about available products; attitude configuration to assess these products.

All decisions making involves information. Consumers must understand the relationship between attributes and benefits in order to develop assessment criteria that will assist them to gather their needs. In addition, consumers must be able to collect information about the attributes of each alternative.

Consumer decision-making varies with the type of buying decision. Assael on Kotler (2000: 177) distinguished four types of consumer buying behaviour based on the degree of buyer involvement and the degree of differences.

Consumer decision-making varies with the type of buying decision. Assael (on Kotler 2000: 177) distinguished four types of consumer buying behaviour based on the degree of buyer involvement and the degree of differences.

### 2.1.8.1. Complex Buying Behaviour

Consumer engages in complex buying behaviour when they are highly involved in purchase and aware of significant differences among brands. The case when the product is expensive, bought infrequently, risky and highly selfexpressive

1. Buyer develops beliefs about the product.
2. Buyer develops attitudes about the product
3. Buyer makes a thoughtful choice

Generally, people are more willing to utilize effort to satisfy high involvement need than a low involvement one. If a consumer perceives a significant risk in choosing the wrong product, they will utilize more effort during the purchase process.

### 2.1.8.2. Dissonance-Reducing Buying Behaviour

The buyer learns what is available but will buy fairly quickly, perhaps responding primarily to a good price or to purchase convenience. After purchase the consumer might experience dissonance or conflict that demanding from noticing certain disquieting features or hearing favourable things about other brand. The consumers will be alert to the information that supports their decision. Consumers first acted, and then acquired news beliefs, then end up with a set of attitudes.

### 2.1.8.3. Habitual Buying Behaviour

Products are bought under condition of low involvement and the absence of significant brand differences. Consumers do not search extensively for information, evaluate characteristics, and make a decision on which brand to buy.

### 2.1.8.4. Variety Seeking Buying Behaviour

Buying situation sometimes characterized by low involvement but significant brand differences. Here consumers often do a lot of brand switching.

|  | High Involvement | Low Involvement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Significant Differences | Complex Buying | Variety-seeking |
| between Brands | Behaviour | Buying Behaviour |
| Few Differences | Dissonance-reducing | Habitual Buying |
| Between Brands | Buying Behaviour | Behaviour |

Figure 2.5 Four Types of Buying Behaviour
(Source: Kotler 2000: 177)

### 2.1.9. Consumer Buying Decision Process

According to Armstrong and Kotler (2000: 54) buyer decision process consists of five stages, i.e.: need for recognition, information search, evaluation of alternatives, purchase decision, and post purchase behaviour.


Figure 2.6 Five Stages Model of the Consumers Buying Process (Source: Modified from Kotler, 2000:179)

### 2.1.9.1. Need for Recognition

The buyers recognize a problem or need. The need may triggered by internal stimuli (hunger, thirst, etc) and external stimuli (a person admires neighbour's new car, the thought of cameras after talking with a friend about photography or seeing camera ad. However, consumers buy things when they believe a product's ability to solve a problem is worth more than the cost of buying it, thereby, making recognition, sometimes called problem recognition (Blackwell, er al., 2001: 72).

### 2.1.9.2. Information Search

An aroused consumer may or may not search and or tending to search for more information. If the consumer drive is strong and a satisfying product is near at hand, the consumer may store the need in memory to undertake an information search related to the need.

Consumer can obtain information from any of several sources:

1. Personal sources: family, friends, neighbours, acquittances
2. Commercial sources: advertising, salesperson, dealers, packaging, displays.
3. Public sources: mass media, consumer-rating organization.
4. Experimental sources: handling, examining, and using the products.

Generally, consumer receives most information about a product from commercial sources (inform the buyer). The most effective sources tend to be personal (legitimate to the buyer).

### 2.1.9.3. Evaluation of Alternatives

There is no single evaluation process used by all consumers or by one consumer in all buving situations. The consumers make assessment largely on a conscious and rational basis. It does depend on the individual consumers and the specific buying situation. In some cases, consumer use careful calculations and logical thinking. At the other time, the same consumers do little or no evaluating. Instead, they buy or impulses rely on intuition. Sometime consumer make buying decision on they own, sometimes they turn to friend consumer guide

Basic concept of consumer evaluation process:

1. Consumer is trying to satisfy a need.
2. Consumer is looking for certain benefits from the product solution.
3. A consumer sees each product as a bundle of attributes with varying abilities of delivering the benefits sought to satisfy this need.

Based on Kotler (2000: 182) in executing purchase intention, the consumer possibly will comprise to five purchase sub decisions:

1. Brand decision (Brand A)
2. Vendor decision (Dealer 2)
3. Quantity decision (One Computer)
4. Timing decision (Weekend)
5. Payment-Method Decision. (Credit card)

Purchase everyday products involve fewer decisions and less consideration. Intrinsically, the consumer purchase processes are various decisions to be made concerning which brands to purchase. For that reason, the purchase process may result in uncertainty and remind the fear of as consequences if the wrong product is selected.

### 2.1.9.4. Purchase Decision

Generally, the consumer purchase decision will be buy the most preferred brand, but two factors can come between the purchase intention and purchase decision. Firstly, attitudes of others followed by unexpected situational factors.
a. Attitudes of others

Another person's attitudes changes because of two things;
a. The intensity of other's person attitude toward consumer preferred alternatives and consumer's motivation to comply with the other person's wishes.
b. The influence of other become complex when several people close to the buyer contradictory opinions and the buyer would like to please them all.
b. Unanticipated situational factors

Preferences and even purchase intention are not completely reliable predictions of purchase behaviour.


Figure 2.7 Steps between Evaluations of Alternatives and a Purchase Decision
(Source: Kotler, 2000: 182)

A consumer's decision to modify, postpones, or avoids a purchase decision are heavily influenced by perceived risk. In addition, there are three possibilities of purchase decision, i.e.:

1. For whom to buy: which depends on such considerations
a. terms of sale
b. past experience buying from the seller
a. terms of sale
b. past experience buying from the seller
c. return policy.
2. When to buy: which preserve influenced by
a. store atmosphere
b. time pressure
c. a sale
d. pleasantress of the shopping experience
3. Do not buy

### 2.1.9.5. Post-purchase Behaviour

After purchasing a product, consumer will experience satisfaction or dissatisfaction post purchase action and post purchase product use and disposal. Consumer satisfaction or dissatisfaction will influence sequent behaviour. Consumer's expectation and product's perceived performance. If product falls short of expectation, the consumer will be dissatisfied and may abandon or return to the product. If product meets expectation, the consumer will be satisfied and exhibit a higher probability of purchasing repetition. If product exceeds expectation, the consumer will be delighted.

### 2.2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The theoretical framework of the problem and solution is described further below.

The value of a brand namely brand equity is significantly important for a company existence. The marketing mix should focus on building and protecting brand equity. For example, if the brand is positioned as a premium product, the product quality should be consistent with what consumers expect of the brand, low sale prices should not be used to compete, the distribution channels should be consistent with what is expected of a premium brand and the promotional campaign should build consistent associations. Finally, potentially dilutive extensions that are inconsistent with the consumer's perception of the brand should be avoided. Extensions also should be avoided if the core brand is not yet sufficiently strong.

In this case, brand equity can be measured in relative or absolute terms. From the firm's perspective, absolute measures are probably more useful. Managers have an interest in maximizing their brand's equity. Thus, they need the ability to determine targets and objectives for individual brands, which can then be contrasted against competitive offerings and industry norms.

However, when comparing a very limited number of brand equity scores (as in the present study), absolute measures are virtually meaningless. Furthermore, not all the operationalization allows the calculation of an absolute score. Therefore, this investigation relied on a relative measure.


Figure 2.8 Theoretical Framework's Diagram of The Research
(Source: Modified from Kotler, 2000: 182)

### 2.3. HYPOTHESIS FORMULATION

Hypothesis is a statement of supposition about the correlation between two or more variables. Two criteria of good hypothesis are hypothesis comprise a statement about the correlation of variables, and secondly, hypothesis consist of clear implication for evaluating. In order to give the response to the existing problems, the hypothesis presented in the research are as follows:

1. There are significant influences of brand equity to customer's purchase decision.
2. Brand loyalty is the dominant factor of brand equity that influences customer's purchase decision.


## CHAPTER III

## RESEARCH METHOD

### 3.1. COMPANY PROFILE

### 3.1.1. PT Telkomsel in Brief

PT Telekomunikasi Selular (Telkomsel) is the market leader in Cellular Telecommunications Services (mobile operator) in Indonesia, having a share of more than $50 \%$ of the total Indonesian cellular market which consists of over 20 million active users. The company served 10.75 million customers by the end of the first quarter of $2004,90 \%$ of which are pre-paid users, on a nationwide network covering about $85 \%$ of the population of this fourth most populous country in the world. Telkomsel operates a Dual Band GSM 900/1800 network in Indonesia, completed with GPRS services, WiFi hotspots and EDGE technology in selected locations. The company is jointly owned by PT. Telekomunikasi Indonesia, Tbk ("Telkom" - JSX: TLKM; NYSE: TLK; LSE: TKID) 65\% and Singapore Telecom ("SingTel" - SGX: TELE.SI) 35\%.

PT Telkomsel was operated officially in May 26, 1995, as a GSM cellular telecommunication services in Indonesia. PT Telkomsel began its networks in Batam and Medan. PT Telkomsel mission is becoming the Indonesian societies' operator choice which provides communication solution.

In year 1996, PT Telkomsel started its operation in Jakarta and succeeds to serve the whole province in Indonesia by operating facilities in Ambon, Timor

Timur, and Jayapura. Until this moment, PT Telkomsel networks have been reached more than 500 big cities in Indonesia.

In November, 1997, PT Telkomsel launched simPATl as the pioneer of pre-paid card in Asia that can be rechargeable. Allowance of ISO 9002 certificate issued for CAROLINE (Customer Service Online) as a mark of PT Telkomsel services has already overflowing international standard. A pre-paid card named simPATI Nusantara was launched in 1998. It has a motto: "Dengan siml'ATI tetap terkendali" and can be used in many places throughout Indonesia. At that moment, PT Telkomsel proclaimed World Class Operator as their standard services.

A new system was launched in 1999 which was called as BIANCA (Billing and Customer Care). This service provides customer's information possibility, conversation records, until claim processes which could be done simultaneously. In this year, PT Telkomsel achieved "The Best in Achieving Customer Satisfaction toward Service Quality" for the best operator cellular services.

A mobile banking service was developed in the year 2000 in collaboration with Panin Bank. PT Telkomsel acquired a reputation as "Total Satisfaction for simPATI pre-paid card" emanate from SWA magazine. Then came another award which was "The Big 10 Leading Company in Indonesia" issue from Far Eastern Economic Review magazine, Hongkong.

GSM dualband (900 and 1800) was started to operate in 2001. With this technology, PT Telkomsel proceeded to be the first operator using service facility
which gives value-added data basis for Mobile Banking, WAP, and GPRS. Again, PT Telkomsel was awarded "The Big 10 Leading Company in Indonesia" issued from Far Eastern Economic Review an increased its position from the $9^{\text {th }}$ position into the $7^{\text {th }}$ position.

In 2002, PT Telkomsel began to use 2.5 G by launching a service basis GPRS which was commenced with a launch of Telkomsel Mobile Data Service (TMDS) through WAP basis, WEB, and SMS. At the moment in time, two awards have been achieved "Indonesian Customer Satisfaction Award" and "The Best Product and Brand for kartuHALO post-paid and simPATI prepaid" which come from SWA magazine. Besides, "e-Company Award" category as a telecommunication company with the best e-business application and an award on"Non-Investment Grade Bond Award for 2002" from AsiaMoney magazine.

Moreover, PT Telkomsel expands their local cellular zone on a national scale in 2003. As a result, the customers of kurıuHALO and simPATI can be easier in having communication. For a second time, PT Telkomsel was awarded "Indonesia Customer satisfaction Award" and "Indonesia Best Brand Award for kartuHALO post-paid and simPATI pre-paid" from SWA/Frontier/Mars. This year, PT Telkomsel achieved SUPERBRAND Indonesia. Besides, an award as "The Best Call Centre among the Other Operator Base on Result of Mystery Shopping" was awarded SYNOVATE Research Institution.

In addition, ISO 9001: 2000 was issued for the Call Centre (Customer Service Online) of PT Telkomsel from PT RWTüV. It is much important to give
the best for its customers through systematic and organisable service guarantee. This matter is relevant with Telkomsel's commitment as a leading mobile network and service provider, and also one of the best operators in Asia (http://www.sinarharapan.co.id/ekonomi/promarketing/2004/0113/prom5.html, September $30^{\text {th }}, 2004$ ).

In year 2004, PT Telkomsel once more became a pioneer in cellular technology primarily by launching 3G (third generation) services through its implementation EDGE technology (Enhanced Data Rate GSM Evolution). In addition, Telkomsel further innovation prepaid recharge distribution methods by launching M-KIOS, used by dealers throughout the country to recharge customers' pre-paid cards automatically through secure mobile-to-mobile transmissions, thus rapidly expanding Telkomsel's pre-paid credit distribution network (http://www,telkomsel.com/web/corporate/index.php?id=4, January $22^{\text {nd }}, 2005$ ).

### 3.1.2. Telkomsel's Share Holders <br> Telkomsel's shareholders are Perusahaan Perseroan (Persero) PT

 Telekomunikasi Indonesia Tbk (TELKOM) and Singapore Telecom Mobile Pte Ltd (SingTel Mobile) Telkom, which owns $65 \%$ of Telkomsel's issued share capital, is the largest full-service telecommunications operator in Indonesia. Telkom is listed on the Jakarta Stock Exchange (JSX: TLKM), the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE : TLK) and the London Stock Exchange (LSE: TKID) and is majority-owned by the Government of Indonesia. SingTel Mobile owns $35 \%$ of Telkomsel's issued share capital and is a wholly owned subsidiary ofSingapore Telecommunications Limited (SingTel). SingTel is one of Asia's leading telecommunications service operators. SingTel is listed in the Singapore Exchange (SGX: TELE.SI) and the Australian Stock Exchange Limited (ASX: SGT). SingTel is ultimately majority-owned by the Government of Singapore.


## Figure 3.1 Telkomsel's Share Holders

Source:http://www.telkomsel.com/web/corporate/index.php?id=2,
$<$ January $22^{\text {nd }}, 2005>$
Telkomsel cooperates closely with its parent companies (the TELKOM Group and the SingTel Group), especially in the area of product development, resulting in efficient, speedy and innovative new service development. Cooperation is done in the area of benchmarking to be able to always stay at the forefront of development and be able to gain substantial benefits from joint development or joint purchasing projects.

### 3.1.3. Telkomsel's Management

In accordance with Indonesian Company law, Telkomsel has a Board of Commissioners and a Board of Directors. The two Boards are separate and no individual may be a member of both Boards.

The members of the Board of Commissioners are elected by the Shareholders of Telkomsel in the General Meeting of Shareholders. Telkom is currently entitled to nominate four Commissioners, and SingTel Mobile is entitled to nominate two Commissioners.

A shareholder will lose its entitlement to nominate Commissioners if the number of shares it owns falls below $10 \%$ of the total number of shares. Currently Telkomsel has the following Board of Commissioners and Board of Directors:

| Board of Commissioners |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name | Position |
| Mr. Mochammad Hasjim Thojib | President Commissioner |
| Mr. Lim Chuan Poh | Commissioner |
| Mr. Woeryanto Soeradji | Commissioner |
| Ms. Triwahyusari | Commissioner |
| Mr. Hui Weng Choong | Commissioner |

Board of Directors

| Name | Position |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mr. Bajoe Narbito | President Director |
| Mr. Jusuf Kurnia | Director of Finance |
| Mr. Bambang Riadhy Oemar | Director of Planning and Development |
| Mr. Leong Shin Loong | Director of Commerce |
| Mr. Ng Kwoon Kee | Director of Operations |

Figure 3.2 Telkomsel's Board of Commisioneer and Board of Director
Source: http://www.telkomsel com/web/corporate/index.php?id=3,
$<$ January $22^{\text {nd }}, 2005>$

### 3.1.3.1. Board of Commissioners

Telkomsel's Articles of Association (the "Articles") provide that the Board of Commissioners shall consist of six members, including the President Commissioner. The Shareholders' Agreement further provides that the President Commissioner shall be appointed by the shareholder holding the greater number of shares. The Articles provide that the principal functions of the Board of Commissioners are to supervise the management of Telkomsel by the Board of Directors, and the implementation of Telkomsel's business plan.

The Board of Commissioners is accountable to the General Meeting of Sharcholders. The members of the Board of Commissioners are elected by the shareholders of Telkomsel. Pursuant to the Shareholders' Agreement, the shareholders are entitled to nominate a number of candidates as Commissioners (and recommend the removal of such Commissioners) in proportion to the size of their respective shareholdings.

PT Telkom is currently entitled to nominate four Commissioners, and SingTel Mobile is entitled to nominate two Commissioners. One position in the Board of Commissioners is currently vacant. Meetings of the Board of Commissioners must be held at least once every three months and at any other time upon request of any member of the Board of Commissioners. The quorum for all Board of Commissioners meetings is four members of the Commissioners, one of whom must be a Commissioner nominated by SingTel Mobile. Resolutions of meetings of the Board of Commissioners shall be by affirmative vote of a
majority of the members of the Board of Commissioners. In the event of a tie, the matter shall be referred to a General Meeting of the Shareholders for resolution.

## - Mochammad Hasjim Thojib

Mr. Thojib graduated with a degree in Accounting from the Institute of Finance. At present, he is the Head of Corporate Planning Group of PT Telkom. He was a Director at PT Indosat between 1999-2000 and held a position as Commissioner at

PT Arthaloka Indonesia from 1997-2000.

- Triwahyusari

Ms. Triwahyusari is the Vice President of Accounting of PT Telkom and has been working in the company for more than 20 years. She graduated from the University of Airlangga.

- Woeryanto Soeradji

Mr. Socradji graduated with a degree in Electrical Engineering from Bandung Institute of Technology and obtained his MBA from the Institute of Indonesian Management Development. Formerly he was the Director of Commerce of PT Telkomsel and currently is the Corporate Secretary of PT Telkom.

## - Hui Weng Cheong

Hui Weng Cheong joined SingTel in 1980 and is currently the Vice President of Consumer Products. He oversees the development of new services for the mobile, paging, internet, broadband and telephone businesses. Weng Cheong is also currently the Vice President Commissioner of PT Bukaka SingTel International. Previously, he was the Managing Director of Shinawatra Paging of

Thailand. He holds an MBA (1993) from the University of Southern California.

- Christopher John Anderson

Chris Anderson joined Optus in 1997 in the position of CEO. Prior to joining Optus, he held the position of Group Chief Executive at Television New Zealand. Before that he was the Managing Director and Group Editorial Director of John Fairfax Ltd. He graduated with a Bachelor of Economics from the University of Sydney and Diploma in Industrial Law.

### 3.1.3.2. Board of Directors

The principal functions of the Board of Directors are to lead and manage Telkomsel and to control and manage Telkomsel's assets. In accordance with the Shareholders' Agreement and the Articles provide that the Board of Directors shall consist of five Directors, one of whom shall be the President Director. The Shareholders' Agreement provides that the shareholders shall be entitled to nominate candidates as Directors (and recommend the removal of such Directors) in proportion to their respective shareholdings. In accordance with the Shareholders' Agreement, PT Telkom is currently entitled to nominate three Directors and SingTel Mobile is entitled to nominate two Directors. The President Director and Director of Finance shall be PT Telkom's representatives. The Articles provide that meetings of the Board of Directors must be held at least once every two months and at any other time upon the request of any Director. The Articles further provide that the quorum for all Directors' meetings is four
members of the Board of Directors present or represented in such meeting, one of whom must be a Director nominated by SingTel Mobile.

## - Bajoe Narbito

As President Director and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Narbito is responsible for Telkomsel's overall management. He has previously served as a Corporate Secretary of PT Telkom and the Director of the Jakarta regional office of PT Telkom. Mr. Narbito has a degree in Electrical Engineering from Trisakti University and has over 23 years of experience in the telecommunications industry.

- Bambang Riadhy Oemar

Graduated with a degree in Telecommunications Engineering from Bandung Institute of Technology and as Director of Planning and Development, Mr. Bambang has had over 23 years experience in the telecommunications industry. He previously served as the President Director of PT Indo Nusa Telemedia, a subsidiary of PT Telkom.

- Laurens J. M. Bulters*

Graduated in Civil Engineering and has had over 20 years experience in the telecommunications industry. Mr. Bulters, Director of Operations, is in charge of Network Design and Operational Management. Mr. Bulters previously served as the Director of Mobile Communications of PTT Telecom Netherlands (Asia).

- Replaced by Ng Kwon Kee, effective January 1, 2004


## - Leong Shin Loong

Responsible for all Marketing, Sales, Product and Service activities of Telkomsel and as Director of Commerce, Mr. Leong has had over 22 years experience in information technology and telecommunications. He had been the Director of Planning and Development of Telkomsel from December 2001 to March 2003. He graduated with a degree in Engineering from Northwestern and Renneslear Polytechnic Institute, USA and completed the Advanced Management Program at Harvard Business School in 1999.

## - Jusuf Kurnia

As Director of Finance and Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Jusuf is responsible for the overall financial management of Telkomsel. He was previously become a chief in P'I Telkom's activities based costing project. He has a degree in Economics from the University of Tanjungpura and has over 23 years experience in the lelecommunications industry.

Additionally, the Commissioners and Directors receive compensation determined at the General Meeting of Shareholders of Telkomsel. No fees are paid to the Commissioners or Directors for their attendance at their respective board meetings. For the year ended December every year the aggregate compensation paid by Telkomsel to all Commissioners and Directors as a group was approximately Rp 12 billion.

### 3.1.3.3. Telkomsel's Committee

Based upon the Shareholders' Agreement, each Board may from time to time form or authorize the formation of committees of its members to deal with matters pertinent to or assist in the discharge of the relevant Board's responsibilities and obligations. The members of any committee shall include at least one person nominated by SingTel Mobile. At present Telkomsel have the following committees:

1. An Audit Committee of the Board of Commissioners, having responsibility for reviewing the audited financial statements of the company and discussing with the auditors the accounting policies to be adopted, subject to the approval by the shareholders of the audited financial statements, the unanimous approval by the directors of the audited financial statements, the unanimous directors approval of any change in accounting policy, and unanimous Commissioners approval of the audited financial statements.
2. A Remuneration Committee of the Board of Commissioners having responsibility to determine the remuneration of the Board of Directors, subject to unanimous Board of Commissioners approval.
3. An Investment Committee of the Board of Commissioners having responsibility for reviewing the investment plans and management of the implementation of those plans by the company.

### 3.1.3.4. Telkomsel's Employee

Telkomsel unveiled its competency-based organization and human resources development model. First, Telkomsel developed the competency model for all jobs and positions. Then identified the required skills and knowledge for each position, and placed their peoples based on their competencies. Finally, Telkomsel developed training programmes on the basis of the resulting skills-andgap analysis derived from the first two initiatives.

Another key initiative which Telkomsel also undertook in human resources in 2003 was to realign the organizational structure in order to place more emphasis on customer satisfaction, as well as becoming more responsive to different market needs. This is achieved primarily by empowering regional offices to take more initiatives in order to drive business growth by enhancing the customer experience or activating corporate accounts in their respective markets. This move contributed in no small measure to the growth of Telkomsel in 2003, and expected it to drive Telkomsel's growth for many years to come.

Completing the human resources management and development model is a newly formulated compensation plan that is strongly tied to competence and performance. The remuneration system was matched more closely to competence, whereas incentives recognized to individual performances and achievements as measured through the Key Performance Indicators (KPI) of each department. Indeed, Telkomsel is in the process of developing a balanced score-card system to measure performances based on KPI's.

As at year-end 2003, Telkomsel employed 2,869 people at a ratio of 3,342 customers per employee, up from 2,370 customers per employee in 2002, which places Telkomsel in the top league in terms of efficiency. An organization structure of PT Telkomsel can be seen on Appendix 1.

### 3.1.4. Telkomsel's Product Portfolio

Telkomsel's product portfolio is focused around two main products; kartuHALO, the post-paid service and the pre-paid solution simPATI. According to independent research studies by companies like AC Nielsen, Synovate, Frontier and MARS, both products are market leaders in the Indonesian market in their respective product categories. The products are leading the market in terms of total numbers of active customers, brand awareness and preference, as well as customer satisfaction. Thus, both products feature a wide range of value added services, ranging from the regular person-to-person Short Messaging Service (SMS), to full-fledged multi-access personal mobile data services.

### 3.1.4.1. PT Telkomsel Product and Services

### 3.1.4.1.1. KartuHALO (Post-paid)

Telkomsel's main offers for the post-paid product include tailor-made value propositions for the Corporate Account market and a Family Package called HALOkeluarga for the residential market which enjoys very high market acceptance. In order to further simplify the registration, data-verification and activation process for new prospective kartuHALO customers.
kartuHALO is subscriber identity module (SIM) card GSM Telkomsel. Inside kartuHALO, there are customers' data, amenities and services to facilitate the customers and also personal identification number (PIN) that stored confidential data within. Besides, kartuHALO able to stored 200 phone numbers and 40 short messages service (SMS).
kartuHALO has uniqueness that does not exist in other SIM cards. With a picture that shows cultural diversity in the whole province of Indonesia, Telkomsel want to declare that Telkomsel is a cellular operator who is capable to cover all Indonesia. Furthermore, kartuHALO has benefits such as:

- Various features

Customers are able to use various feature unprovided in other SIM card such as: e-phone that has no charge by using it.

- Economical tariff
kartuHALO tariff has reasonable tariff by using broaden point of charging. It is supported by calculation credit system that uncharged minimum value.
- The most numerous roaming partners

Telkomsel already cover five continents and cooperate with more than 100 cellular operators all over the world.

### 3.1.4.1.2. simPATI (Pre-paid)

The prepaid segment is the area of the largest growth in the Indonesian market, and also for Telkomsel. Sales of the simPATI product have been very high
and are still on the rise. No Compromise, No Problem is the simPATI's jargon. simPATI is Telkomsel's pre-paid GSM card that can be used in many places throughout Indonesia. It can be used in all areas covered by Telkomsel network in Indonesia. Customers are able to make and receive local, long distance, and international calls. The card is rechargeable. It can be recharged with an unlimited amount of units anytime before the recharging period ends or else it cannot be used anymore.

There are facilities such as:

- CALiPSO (ID caller) feature is allowing customer to see on the cellular phone's screen, the telephone number of incoming calls.
- VERONICA (Voice Mail) feature has functioned as an answering machine. The caller are able to leave any message to be heard when the cellular phone is inactive or out of public area (no signal).
- SMILE (Short Message Service) enables the users to receive written short text message (SMS).
- Call Holding feature has function as answering the call when the users are online, without call-ended the first caller.

In year 2004, simPATI gave more services by facilitating free roaming among Telkomsel card users. Thus, the customers are able to receive any calls even long distance and no charge on it.

The growth in the simPATI customer base was supported by the regular innovation of starter packs offered by Telkomsel in the market, including a Multi Media Edition offering a number of free MMS.

### 3.1.4.1.3. kartuAs

karfuAs is Telkomsel's innovative pre-paid GSM card with many valueadded services such as: national free roaming, strongest network with widest coverage in Indonesia, affordable tariff, and low voucher price started from 20,000 rupiah. kartuAs is rechargeable as simPATI. kartuAs has two special tariffs, i.e.:

1. Super Cheap Tariff (flat tariff between Kartu As users)
2. Cheap Tariff (flat tariff between Kartu As users to Kartu Halo and $\operatorname{simPATI}$ users)

It recommends flat-rate, means that same tariff for calling among Telkomsel's customers throughout Indonesia (no long distance charge) and super cheap tariff for calling among kerfuAs users. It also uses flat time band, which is the tariff calculation based on time splits, there is no peak tariffs.
kerrfuAs. Nggak Ada Matinya is a jargon of karluAs. It means that the active principal period starting when the customers activated kartuas directly. It has active period during 30 days and recharge period during 30 days (before kartuAs has only 10 days active period its principal).

### 3.1.4.2. Telkomsel's IT and Networks

Since Telkomsel's establishment, the IT mission has been reshaped and refocused again and again along its short journey. Nowadays, the IT role is to support company business' goals and to deliver best customer experience through
innovative, agile, and cost effective information systems. Key IT strategy is to stabilize operations and adopting better service culture by implementing IT infrastructure library.

Facilitating network capacity growth is a key to acquiring market share and maintaining market leadership in a rapidly growing cellular telecommunications market such as Indonesia's. As the leading cellular service provider in the country, Telkomsel has the largest cellular network capacity in the country with over 4,820 BTS, 14.5 million HLR Switching units and 38,624 TRX which cover more than $85 \%$ of population and more than 600 cities. Telkomsel also las the widest international roaming coverage with 217 operators from 135 countries.

Besides, a significant expansion of Telkomsel's network capacity was undertaken in 2003 with the aim of both enhancing market achievement, and positioning in advance of market growth as well. Instead of having to 'catch-up' with the pace of the market, Telkomsel's growth strategy since 2001 has been to lay down the infrastructure beforehand - to invest ahead of growth. As such, Telkomsel continue to focus on expanding and enhancing its network capacity and infrastructure in order to capture the full value that can be derived from the explosive growth of cellular telecommunications in Indonesia. As a result of this strategy, Telkomsel achieved $30 \%$-to- $40 \%$ savings on its procurement costs, deployed additional network capacity with speed, involved vendors in project planning to ensure smooth execution, and addressed key development issues while also improving the network quality.

Furthermore, in 2003, Telkomsel completed the implementation of Account Receivables ( $A / R$ ) of its post-paid billing system into the so-called GENEVA billing system which had been deployed in 2002. This enhancement simplifies the internal process of bills settlement whilst also providing more transparency in the monitoring of subscriber accounts and payment records. As a result of this migration, the entire billing process has improved.

The rapid growth of the cellular telecommunications market has created an enormous demand for the production and supply of SIM cards and top-up Vouchers on a national scale. In order to have a measure of control over this supply chain, and simultaneously keep our fingers on the pulse of the cellular market, Telkomsel has established a supply chain network system called PARADISE among hundreds of thousands outlets/distribution channels throughout Indonesia. These vendors provide regular feedbacks on the level of demand in their respective areas, thus providing Telkomsel with valuable information to set monthly production level for its SIM Cards and Vouchers, and allocate their distribution accordingly in line with the prevailing demand in respective regions.

Therefore, Telkomsel has begun to implement an integrated financial system, linking and automating back-office financial and administrative processes with front-office policies and business executions. Full implementation of the system is set to come on line by early 2005 , in which Telkomsel will have satisfied the rigors of financial management and control in line with best international practices. It planned to deploy a Human Resource Management

Information System (HRMIS) to improve our company capability to track, preserve and augment our valuable employee competence.

In addition to this, all of management information systems in Telkomsel are supported by a massive data communications network linking our service counters, retail outlets, regional offices, data centres, switching sites and radio base stations. Building a high-performance organization is part of Telkomsel's strategic imperatives, in which the focus is to develop human resources that are customer-centric and highly competitive.

Moreover, network development included the trial of EDGE (Enhanced Data rate for GSM Evolution), which represents the latest evolution on the GSM cellular network towards mobile multimedia communications. Through EDGE, Telkomsel will be able to provide data transmission services at faster speed than GPRS (General Packet Radio Service) as well as that of the CDMA 2000-1X system. The $E D G E$ trial used a test-bed and was carried out in a controlled lab environment. The aim was to verify that the $E D G E$ delivery and performance was up to the expected standards.

### 3.2. RESEARCH METHOD

In this research, the type of study is an empirical research with study case and in the form of descriptive and quantitative research. Case study engages comprehensively, comparative analyses of related situation in other organizations, where the nature of the problem and the problem definition occur to be the same
as the one experienced in the current situation. The method used in this research is a survey method by using questionnaire to subject of the research.

### 3.3. RESEARCH SUBJECT

### 3.3.1. Subject

The subjects will be investigate in the research is The Influence of Brand Equity to Customer Purchase Decision; A case study on simPATI Pre-paid Card Users in Nogotirto, Sleman, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta.

### 3.3.2. Population

Zikmund (2000) defined population as any complete group of entities sharing some common set of characteristics. In this research, the population was the simPATI pre-paid card users in Nogotirto, Sleman, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta. Nogotirto has about 7.084 male and 6.949 female citizens, and the totals are 14.033 populations until January, 2005. They are chosen as the main respondents because of the convenience purpose for the researcher in conducting research.


### 3.3.3. Samples

Sample is a subset or some part of larger population (Zikmund, 2000: 462). Obviously, the amount of a split of population should be less than the amount of population. In this research due to the limitation of time and fund, the researcher preferred to use convenience sampling on the method of samples collection. In
this case, the researcher is free to choose the users of simPATI pre-paid card in Nogotirto, Sleman daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta. Thus, the researcher took 96 respondents as samples. It is as follows:

Table 3.3
Distribution of samples

| Area | Number of sample |
| :--- | :---: |
| I. Kajor | 12 |
| II. Kwarasan | 12 |
| III. Karang Tengah | 12 |
| IV. Ponowaren | 12 |
| V. Nogosaren | 12 |
| VI. Cambahan | 12 |
| VII. Sawahan | 12 |
| VIII. Mlangi | 12 |
| Total sample | 96 |

### 3.4. RESEARCH SETTING

### 3.4.1. Place

This research took place in Nogotirto, Sleman, Yogyakarta.

### 3.4.2. Time

This research began on September $15^{\text {th }}, 2004$ to February $15^{\text {th }}, 2005$

### 3.5. RESEARCH INSTRUMENT

Specific survey questions become accurate information only if they are reliable and valid. Those are two major criteria for evaluating the Goodness of Data (Sekaran, 2000). In this research reliability and validity are tested by using SPSS 12.0 for Windows. The survey conducted in two phases: the pre-test and the main study. A pre-testing phase used to collect the data from small samples - 30 respondents. Thus, a small-scale pre-test study provides an advance opportunity for the author to check the data collection form to minimize errors due to improper design elements. The obtained data are used to test the validity and reliability of the questionnaires. Those validity and reliability questions are used to collect data in the main study.

### 3.5.1. Reliability for the Test

Reliability is the degree to which measurements are free from random error and, therefore, provide consistent result (Zikmund, 2000: 375). The less error the measurement has, the more reliable the research is, and as a result a measurement that is free of error is a correct measure. By using measurement technique of the Alpha coefficient Cronbach's and processing with SPSS program, the reliability test can be done. If the result of Alpha is fairly high (near 1) the measurement tool (questionnaire) is seen as a reliable measurement tool.

The result on the analysis of reliability to the questionnaire for each research variable (brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, and other proprietary brand assets) is as follows:

Table 3.4
Validity and Reliability Pre-test Result Summary

| Item <br> Number | Corrected ItemTotal Correlation | Status of Validity | Alpha <br> (a) | Status of Reliability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y | 0.628 | Valid | 0.757 | Valid |
|  | 0.419 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.608 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.544 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.631 | Valid |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | 0.546 | Valid | 0.762 | Valid |
|  | 0.628 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.627 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.527 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.479 | Valid |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 0.361 | Valid | 0.758 | Valid |
|  | 0.690 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.452 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.420 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.558 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.541 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.825 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.547 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.756 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.740 | Valid |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | 0.501 | Valid | 0.761 | Valid |
|  | 0.713 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.746 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.528 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.611 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.627 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.736 | Valid |  | $\square$ |
|  | 0.584 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.578 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.533 | Valid |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | 0.543 | Valid | 0.740 | Valid |
|  | 0.409 | Valid | '1/1 |  |
|  | 0.628 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.520 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.550 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.466 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.456 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.444 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.608 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.430 | Valid |  |  |
| X ${ }_{5}$ | 0.511 | Valid | 0.759 | Valid |
|  | 0.634 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.646 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.517 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.454 | Valid |  |  |

Source: based on the statistical calculation from SPSS release
12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 4)
a. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of customer purchase decision results research required that all of valid items should be tested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.757 . This indicates that all of items have fulfilled the requirement as a reliable factor.
b. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of brand loyalty results rescarch required that all of valid items should be tested its level of reliability by using Npha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.762 . This indicates that all of items have fulfilled the requirement as a reliable factor.
c. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of perceived quality results reseatich required that all of valid items should be lested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.758 . This indicates that all of items have fulfilled the requirement as a reliable factor.
d. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of brand awareness results research required that all of valid items should be tested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.761 . This indicates that all of items have fulfilled the requirement as a reliable factor.
e. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of brand association results research required that all of valid items should be tested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.740 . This indicates that all of items have fulfilled the requirement as a reliable factor.
f. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of other proprietary brand assets results research required that all of valid items should be tested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.759 . This indicates that all of items have fulfilled the requirement as a reliable factor.

### 3.5.2. Validity for the Test

Validity is defined as the ability of a scale to measure what was intended to be measured (Zikmund, 2000: 376). It addresses the issue of whether what the researcher was trying to measure was essentially measured. By using SPSS program it will be found the correlation between each sub variable value with total variable value, and the value of each question item with the total value of question item per variable. To find the $R$ correlation, Cronbach's Alpha was used. The indicator of the validity of the sub variable and questions items is achieved when calculation result matched with the critical number of Cronbach's Alpha.
a. Brand loyalty

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each brand loyalty variable, the result is as follows:

Table 3.5
Validity test - Brand loyalty

| Item <br> Number | Mean | R | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 5.8 | 0.546 | Valid |
|  | 5.4 | 0.628 | Valid |
|  | 5.3 | 0.627 | Valid |
|  | 4.5 | 0.527 | Valid |
|  | 5.27 | 0.479 | Valid |

Source: based on the statistical calculation from SPSS release
12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 4)

The result shows that all variables of brand loyalty were valid.
b. Perceived quality

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each perceived quality variable, the result is as follows:

Table 3.6
Validity test - Perceived quality

| Item <br> Number | Mean | $\mathbf{R}$ | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 6.033 | 0.361 | Valid |
|  | 5.4 | 0.690 | Valid |
|  | 6 | 0.452 | Valid |
|  | 5.2 | 0.420 | Valid |
|  | 5.33 | 0.558 | Valid |
|  | 5.77 | 0.541 | Valid |
|  | 5.4 | 0.825 | Valid |
|  | 5.7 | 0.547 | Valid |
|  | 5.4 | 0.756 | Valid |
|  | 5.53 | 0.740 | Valid |

Source: based on the statistical calculation from SPSS release 12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 4)

The result shows that all variables of perceived quality were valid.
c. Brand awareness

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each brand awareness variable, the result is as follows:

Table 3.7
Validity test - Brand awareness

| Item <br> Number | Mean | R | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | 6.23 | 0.501 | Valid |
|  | 5.73 | 0.713 | Valid |
|  | 5.77 | 0.746 | Valid |
|  | 5.8 | 0.528 | Valid |
|  | 5.6 | 0.611 | Valid |
|  | 5.5 | 0.627 | Valid |
|  | 5.63 | 0.736 | Valid |
|  | 5.7 | 0.584 | Valid |
|  | 5.97 | 0.578 | Valid |
|  | 5.8 | 0.533 | Valid |

Source: based on the statistical calculation from SPSS release
12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 4)

The result illustrates that all variables of brand awareness were valid.

## d. Brand association

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each brand association variable, the result is as follows:

Table 3.8
Validity test - Brand association

| Item <br> Number | Mean | R | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | 6.033 | 0.543 | Valid |
|  | 6 | 0.409 | Valid |
|  | 5 | 0.628 | Valid |
|  | 5.47 | 0.520 | Valid |
|  | 6.033 | 0.550 | Valid |
|  | 5.87 | 0.466 | Valid |
|  | 5.87 | 0.456 | Valid |
|  | 5 | 0.444 | Valid |
|  | 4.633 | 0.608 | Valid |
|  | 4.77 | 0.430 | Valid |

Source: based on the statistical calculation from SPSS release 12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 4)

The result explains that all variables of brand association were valid.
e. Other proprietary brand assets

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each other proprietary brand assets variable, the result is as follows:

Table 3.9
Validity test - Other proprietary brand assets

| Item <br> Number | Mean | R | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s}}$ | 6 | 0.511 | Valid |
|  | 5.33 | 0.634 | Valid |
|  | 5.13 | 0.646 | Valid |
|  | 4.3 | 0.517 | Valid |
|  | 5 | 0.454 | Valid |

Source: based on the statistical calculation from SPSS release
12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 4)

The result stated that all variables of other proprietary brand assets were valid.
f. Customer purchase decision

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each customer purchase decision variable, the result is as follows:

Table 3.10
Validity test - Purchase decision

| Item | Mean | $\mathbf{R}$ | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |
| Y | 5.63 | 0.628 | Valid |
|  | 5.1 | 0.419 | Valid |
|  | 5.53 | 0.608 | Valid |
|  | 5 | 0.544 | Valid |
|  | 5.77 | 0.631 | Valid |

Source: based on the statistical calculation from SPSS release 12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 4)

The result stated that all variables of customer purchase decision were valid.

### 3.6. RESEARCH VARIABLES

Research variables used in this research consist of:

## 1. Independent variables

An independent variable is one that influences the dependent variable in either a positive or a negative way (Sekaran, 2000: 93). Here in this research, the independent variable is brand equity that consists of:
a. Brand loyalty

It is a pattern of repeat product purchases accompanied by an underlying positive attitude toward the brand.
b. Perceived quality

Perceived quality is referred to as customer's perception of the overall quality or superiority of a product or service with respect to its intended purpose, relative to alternative
c. Brand awareness

This dimension relates to the ability of a potential buyer to recognize or recall that brand is a member of certain product category.
d. Brand associations

Brand association is defined as anything "linked" in memory to a brand.
e. Other proprietary brand assets

Other proprietary brand assets represent such other proprietary brand assets as patent, trademark, and channel relationships.

## 2. Dependent variables

A dependent variable is the variable of primary interest to the researcher (Sekaran, 2000: 92). The dependent variable in this research is customers' purchase decision, measured on a 7-point scale. Customer's purchase decision is the fourth stage in the decision making process, in which the consumer acquires the preferred alternative or an acceptable substitute. It shows whether the customer decided to purchase or not.

### 3.7. RESEARCH PROCEDURES

### 3.7.1. Brand loyalty

This variable measures the customer's perceptions and reactions to the brand on how likely a customer will be to switch to another brand especially when that brand makes a change, either price or in product features, customer's willingness to pay price premium, consider customer's actual purchase patterns, posses satisfaction after purchasing it, and liking the brand, such as:
a. A constant customer's preference of the brand.
b. Liking of the brand that can generate trust and loyal to the brand.
c. Customer's commitment to the brand, always try to buy the same brand as a result of satisfaction feeling; therefore the customers recommend it to another person.

### 3.7.2. Perceived quality

This variable measures whether the customer perceived that the brand perform better quality than others, customer feel that they buy into brand concept or thought, the brand always posses innovation and customer acceptance to the brand. It is using indicators, such as:
a. Facility
b. Innovation
c. Service
d. Quality

### 3.7.3. Brand awareness

This variable measures whether customers have recognition to the brand, the dominance of the brand between other brand in the market, recall of the brand, brand knowledge and opinion. It is all about customer's understanding, responsiveness, and consideration of the brand, such as:
a. Fame
b. Familiarity
d. Brand to be in the top of customers' mind

### 3.7.4. Brand association

This variable measures whether the brand has strong relationship with customer's personality and how valuable customer's money that they have spent for it. The indicators are:
a. Brand's characteristic, such as quality, feature, and design.
b. Intangible factors, such as technological leadership, perceived value, or perceived quality.
c. Customer benefits, such as the availability of the brand that is afforded in all places providing the customer retail satisfaction
d. Life style or personality

### 3.7.5. Other proprietary brand assets

This variable measures whether the brand has respectability benefit that can be lived on customer's mind, such as name that forms the essence of brand concept, symbol that represents a brand's meaning, and slogan that supports both name and symbol of the brand as an added value and remove some ambiguity that probably consist on the name and symbol. The indicators, i.e.:
a. Trademark and/ or patent
b. Name, symbol, and/ or slogan
c. Channel relationship

### 3.7.6. Customers' purchase decision

Customers purchase decisions are measured through the customer's decision about their purchase decision with the indicators:
a. Decision that is customer eager to purchase with brand loyalty
b. Decision that is customer eager to purchase with perceived quality
c. Decision that is customer eager to purchase with brand awareness
d. Decision that is customer eager to purchase with brand association
e. Decision that is customer eager to purchase with other proprietary brand assets

### 3.8. DATA COLLECTION TECHNIQUES

The data are collected using several methods:

## 1. Primary Data

According to Aaker, Kumar and Day (2001), primary data are collected especially to address a specific research object and survey is the overwhelming choice of researchers for collecting primary data.

Meanwhile, Zikmund (2000) determine primary data as data gathered and assembled specifically for the research project at hand. Survey is a research technique in which information is gathered from a sample of people by the use of questionnaire, a method of data collection based on communication with representative sample of individuals.

In order to get the valid and reliable data, which are relevant to the research objective and problems, the researcher distributes questionnaire to get in-depth information from the respondents about the research topic. This questionnaire was used to collect primary data and the respondents to fill it in and assign edit to the research.

## 2. Secondary Data

Secondary data were collected for some purposes other than solving the present problem (Aaker, Kumar, and Day, 2001). The researcher used
information system method, which includes collecting information from company's record and databases. Textbook, journal, and other supporting sources are used as guidance in this research.

### 3.9. TECHNIQUE OF DATA ANALYSIS

### 3.9.1. Descriptive Analysis

This research was based on the information from the respondents. The questionnaire results were used to find out the impact of brand equity on customers purchase decision. The analysis consisted of:

1. Customers' variables (customer purchase decision)
2. Product variables (brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, and other proprietary brand assets)

### 3.9.2. Quantitative Analysis

To find out the influence of brand equity to the customer purchase decision the researcher used Multiple Linear Regression. The analysis determines the relationship and the correlation happen on dependent and independent variables of the research.

According to Zikmund (2000), the regression shows the values of continuous, interval-scales ratio-scaled dependent variables from the specific values of the independent variable, and the correlation shows the covariant or association between one variable with others.

The basic model for the research is:

$$
Y=\alpha+\beta_{1} X_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{2}+\beta_{3} X_{3}+\beta_{4} X_{4}+\ldots . .+\beta_{\mathfrak{i}} X_{i}+\xi
$$

Where: $\mathrm{Y}=$ customer purchase decision

$$
X_{1}=\text { brand loyalty }
$$

$X_{2}=$ perceived quality
$\mathrm{X}_{3}=$ brand awareness
$\mathrm{X}_{4}=$ brand association
$\mathrm{X}_{5}=$ other proprietary assets
$\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3} \ldots \beta_{i}=$ coefficient of multiple regression
$\alpha=$ constant value
$\xi=$ an error

## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

### 4.1. RESEARCH FINDINGS

### 4.1.1. Reliability for the Data

The result on the analysis of reliability of the questionnaire for each research variable (brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, and other proprietary brand assets) is as follows:

Table 4.1
Validity and Reliability Test Summary

| Item Number | Corrected ItemTotal Correlation | Status of Validity | Alpha <br> (a) | Status of Reliability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Y | 0.750 | Valid | 0.801 | Valid |
|  | 0.711 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.771 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.761 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.607 | Valid |  |  |
| X 1 | 0.643 | Valid | 0.770 | Valid |
|  | 0.612 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.636 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.591 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.508 | Valid |  |  |
| X 2 | 0.440 | Valid | 0.751 | Valid |
|  | 0.514 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.422 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.454 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.474 | Valid | f | O |
|  | 0.558 | Valid | 8 |  |
|  | 0.651 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.632 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.683 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.695 | Valid |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | 0.461 | Valid | 0.757 | Valid |
|  | 0.574 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.718 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.651 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.534 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.619 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.592 | Valid |  |  |


| Item <br> Number | Corrected Item- <br> Total Correlation | Status of <br> Validity | Alpha <br> $(\alpha)$ | Status of <br> Reliability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.483 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.610 | Valid |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | 0.666 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.422 | Valid | 0.762 | Valid |
|  | 0.647 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.747 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.669 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.491 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.521 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.587 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.682 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.701 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.677 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.720 | Valid | 0.802 | Valid |
|  | 0.662 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.772 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.782 | Valid |  |  |
|  | 0.715 | Valid |  |  |

Source: based on statistical calculation from SPSS release 12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 6)
a. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of customer purchase decision research required that all valid items should be tested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.801 . This indicates that all items have fulfilled the requirement as a reliable factor.
b. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of brand loyalty results required that all of valid item should be tested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.770 . This indicates that all of items have fulfilled the requirement as a reliable factor.
c. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of perceived quality results required that all of valid items should be tested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient
was. 0.757 This indicates that all items have fulfilled the requirement as a reliable factor.
d. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of brand awareness results required that all valid items should be tested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.762 . This indicates that all of items have fulfilled the requirement as reliable factor.
e. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of brand association results required that all valid items should be tested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.762 . This indicates that all of items have fulfilled the requirement as reliable factor.
f. The calculation of reliability trial in measurement of other proprietary brand assets results required that all valid items should be tested its level of reliability by using Alpha technique; the result of reliability coefficient was 0.802 . This indicates that all of items have fulfilled the requirement as reliable factor.

### 4.1.2. Validity for the Data

a. Brand Loyalty

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each brand loyalty variable, the result is as follows:

Table 4.2
Validity test - Brand loyalty

| Item <br> Number | Mean | R | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ | 6.010 | 0.643 | Valid |
|  | 5.28 | 0.612 | Valid |
|  | 5.66 | 0.636 | Valid |
|  | 5.292 | 0.591 | Valid |
|  | 5.78 | 0.508 | Valid |

Source: based on statistical calculation from SPSS release 12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 6)

The result verifies that all variables of brand loyalty were valid.
b. Perceived Quality

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each perceived quality variable, the result is as follows:

Table 4.3
Validity test -Perceived quality

| Item <br> Number | Mean | $\mathbf{R}$ | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ | 5.875 | 0.440 | Valid |
|  | 5.438 | 0.514 | Valid |
|  | 5.135 | 0.422 | Valid |
|  | 5.167 | 0.454 | Valid |
|  | 5.229 | 0.474 | Valid |
|  | 5.563 | 0.558 | Valid |
|  | 5.406 | 0.651 | Valid |
|  | 5.469 | 0.632 | Valid |
|  | 5.427 | 0.683 | Valid |
|  | 5.427 | 0.695 | Valid |

Source: based on statistical calculation from SPSS release 12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 6)

The result proves that all variables of perceived quality were valid.
c. Brand Awareness

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each brand awareness variable, the result is as follows:

Table 4.4
Validity test - Brand awareness

| Item <br> Number | Mean | $R$ | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ | 5.91 | 0.461 | Valid |
|  | 5.604 | 0.574 | Valid |
|  | 5.469 | 0.718 | Valid |
|  | 5.50 | 0.651 | Valid |
|  | 5.438 | 0.534 | Valid |
|  | 5.396 | 0.619 | Valid |
|  | 5.354 | 0.592 | Valid |
|  | 5.135 | 0.483 | Valid |
|  | 5.365 | 0.610 | Valid |
|  | 5.198 | 0.666 | Valid |

Source: based on statistical calculation from SPSS release
12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 6)

The result shows that all variables of brand awareness were valid.
d. Brand Association

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each brand association variable, the result is as follows:

Table 4.5
Validity test - Brand association

| Item | Mean | R | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ | 5.625 | 0.422 | Valid |
|  | 5.427 | 0.647 | Valid |
|  | 5.125 | 0.747 | Valid |
|  | 5.375 | 0.669 | Valid |
|  | 5.865 | 0.491 | Valid |
|  | 5.74 | 0.521 | Valid |
|  | 5.67 | 0.587 | Valid |
|  | 5.083 | 0.682 | Valid |
|  | 5.031 | 0.701 | Valid |
|  | 4.823 | 0.677 | Valid |

Source: based on statistical calculation from SPSS release
12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 6)

The result demonstrates that all variables of brand association were valid.
e. Other Proprietary Brand Assets

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each other proprietary brand assets variable, the result is as follows:

Table 4.6
Validity test - Other proprietary brand assets

| Item <br> Number | Mean | R | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ | 6.292 | 0.720 | Valid |
|  | 5.948 | 0.662 | Valid |
|  | 5.698 | 0.772 | Valid |
|  | 5.563 | 0.782 | Valid |
|  | 5.635 | 0.715 | Valid |

Source: based on statistical calculation from SPSS release
12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 6)

The result illustrates that all variables of other proprietary brand assets were valid.
f. Purchase Decision

From the calculation of the validity analysis of each purchase decision variable, the result is as follows:

Table 4.7
Validity test - Purchase decision

| Item | Mean | R | Validity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{7}$ | 6.271 | 0.750 | Valid |
|  | 6.177 | 0.711 | Valid |
|  | 6.063 | 0.771 | Valid |
|  | 5.844 | 0.761 | Valid |
|  | 5.854 | 0.607 | Valid |

Source: based on statistical calculation from SPSS release
12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 6)

The result explains that all variables of purchase decision were valid.

### 4.1.3. Respondents' Demographic Characteristics

The respondents' demographic characteristics in this research are described into five categories which are based on the respondents' gender, age, education, income, and employment. The demographic characteristics discussed may represent the residents of Nogotirto, Sleman, Yogyakarta.

The impact of the respondents' demographic characteristics such as age and gender on the evaluation of brand equity towards purchase decision are not developed and investigated specifically in this research. The respondents' demographic characteristics are treated as additional information which may be used to support the influence of brand equity on consumers' purchase decision. The following shows the tables of the respondents' demographic review.

### 4.1.3.1. Respondents' Gender

The total respondents surveyed show nearly equal distribution among female and male respondents. Of the 96 respondents, the female respondents are 50 people or about $52.083 \%$, whereas the male respondents are 46 people or about $47.916 \%$.

Table 4.8
The Respondents' Gender

| GENDER |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cluster | Number of Respondents | Percentage |
| Female | 50 | $52.083 \%$ |
| Male | 46 | $47.916 \%$ |
| Total | 96 | $100 \%$ |

Source: Primary data (see Appendix: 2)

### 4.1.3.2. Respondents' Age

Most of the respondents range between the ages of 16 to 25 years old. This range of age usually shows the period of study in high school until college or university. It can be concluded that the respondents are mostly scholars. The age class of 46 to 55 years old, 36 to 45 years old, and 26 to 35 years old shared almost the same percentages. Those ranges of age are considered as employed respondents. The respondents of 46 to 55 years old are about 18 people. The productive people, who are considered to be between 21 to 55 years old, dominantly take part in the survey.

Table 4.9
The Respondents' Age

|  | AGE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cluster | Number of Respondents | Percentage |
| $16-25$ | 37 | $38.54 \%$ |
| $26-35$ | 16 | $16.67 \%$ |
| $36-45$ | 17 | $17.708 \%$ |
| $46-55$ | 18 | $18.75 \%$ |
| $55>$ | 8 | $8.33 \%$ |
| Total | 96 | $100 \%$ |

Source: Primary data (see Appendix: 2)

### 4.1.3.3. Respondents' Education

Most of the respondents are students of secondary school and undergraduate degree. About $37.5 \%$ of them have finished their senior high school, thus, most of them are now studying either in college or university. The rest of the respondents have already finished their undergraduate degree, and about $8.33 \%$ of the respondents have the graduate/post graduate degree. Less than $4 \%$ of the respondents are in the junior secondary level. Therefore, it can be concluded that most of the respondents are well educated.

Table 4.10
The Respondents' Education

| EDUCATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cluster | Number of Respondents | Percentage |
| SMP | 3 | $3.125 \%$ |
| SMA | 36 | $37.5 \%$ |
| College/Diploma | 12 | $12.5 \%$ |
| Undergraduate | 40 | $41.67 \%$ |
| Graduate/Post graduate | 8 | $8.33 \%$ |
| Total | 96 | $100 \%$ |

Source: Primary data (see Appendix: 2)

### 4.1.3.4. Respondents' Income

The respondents are asked to provide their average monthly income. It is shown that $45.83 \%$ of the respondents have the income of between less than 500,000 rupiahs to $1,500,000$ rupiahs including students' stipend.

About $30.208 \%$ of the respondents have the income between $1,500,000$ until $2,000,000$ rupiahs. The income more than $4,500,000$ is only $5.208 \%$. It can be concluded that the average income of the respondents is in the range between 500,000 and 1,500,000 rupiahs.

Table 4.11
The Respondents' Income

| INCOME |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cluster | Number of Respondents | Percentage |
| $\leq 500-1500$ | 44 | $45.83 \%$ |
| $1500-2000$ | 29 | $30.208 \%$ |
| $2500-3500$ | 12 | $12.5 \%$ |
| $3500-4000$ | 6 | $6.25 \%$ |
| $4500>$ | 5 | $5.208 \%$ |
| Total | 96 | $100 \%$ |

Source: Primary data (see Appendix: 2)

### 4.1.3.5. Respondents' Employment

Only $24 \%$ of the respondents are unemployed. About $29.167 \%$ of those respondents work for private sector and most of them are scholars. The rest of the respondents are civil servant, entrepreneur, and retired.

Table 4.12
The Respondents' Employment

| EMPLOYMENT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cluster | Number of Respondents | Percentage |
| Unemployment (Student) | 23 | $23.958 \%$ |
| Civil servant | 14 | $14.583 \%$ |
| Private employee | 28 | $29.167 \%$ |
| Entrepreneur | 21 | $21.875 \%$ |
| Retired | 4 | $4.167 \%$ |
| Total | 96 | $100 \%$ |
| Source: Primary data (see Appendix: 2 ) |  |  |

Source: Primary data (see Appendix: 2)

### 4.2. DISCUSSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

As it has been mentioned formerly, the major hypothesis of this research mentions that the Brand Loyalty. Perceived Quality, Brand Awareness, Brand Association and Other Proprietary Brand Assets simultaneously have significant influence to the Purchase Decision. The major hypothesis was tested by using the multiple linear regression analysis.

Based on simple regression analysis result using SPSS for Windows program, the following mathematics model is obtained:

Table 4.13
The Computation of Multiple Regressions

| B | R | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Coef. Sig. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{X}_{1}=0.229$ | 0.407 | 0.165 | $X_{1}=0.017$ |
| $X_{2}=0.078$ |  |  | $X_{2}=0.140$ |
| $X_{3}=0.020$ |  |  | $X_{3}=0.667$ |
| $X_{4}=-0.132$ |  |  | $X_{4}=0.004$ |
| $X_{5}=0.160$ |  |  | $X_{5}=0.066$ |

Source: based on statistical calculation from SPSS release
12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 7)

Before the equation obtained is interpreted, it must be tested first to the significance regression model. The regression model was tested by using the $F$ test and $t$-test.
a. $F$-test (Significance level)
$F$-test is to know the influence of Independent Variable (X) to the Dependent Variable (Y) by noticing the significance level.

Hypothesis H0: There are no significant influences of brand equity to customer purchase decision.

Ha : There are significant influences of brand equity to customer purchase decision.

The test criteria: If the probability $<\alpha 0.05$ thus, H 0 is rejected and
If the probability $>\alpha 0.05$ thus, H 0 is accepted
The significance level on ANOVA table is 0.005 (see Appendix: 7). Thus, the probability is $0.005<\alpha 0.05$. As a result, H 0 is rejected and Ha is accepted.

The important conclusion can be drawn that five variables Brand Loyalty $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$, Perceived Quality $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, Brand Awareness $\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$, Brand Association $\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$, and Other Proprietary Brand Assets ( $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ ) collectively have significant influence to
customer purchase decision $(Y)$. In other words, clear factor of $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}$ and $X_{5}$ can be used to predict $Y$.
b. $t$-test

This test is to describe whether each Independent Variable (X) influences the change of Dependent Variable (Y).

Hypothesis: HO: There are no significant influences of each Brand Loyalty $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$, Perceived Quality $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, Brand Awareness $\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$, Brand Association $\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$, and Other Proprietary Brand Assets $\left(\mathrm{X}_{5}\right)$ to Customer Purchase Decision (Y).

Ha: There are significant influences of each Brand Loyalty $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$, Perceived Quality $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, Brand Awareness ( $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ ), Brand Association ( $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ ), and Other Proprietary Brand Assets $\left(\mathrm{X}_{5}\right)$ to Customer Purchase Decision (Y).

The test criteria: (based on probability)
If the absolute score of coefficient significance is $<\alpha 0.05$, thus H 0 is rejected and

If the absolute score of coefficient significance is $>\alpha 0.05$, thus H 0 is accepted.

Moreover, the test result from each independent variable is presented in the following table:

Table 4.14
$\boldsymbol{t}$-test Result to Each Independent Variables

| Independent <br> Variables | Coef. <br> Sig. | $a$ | Result |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brand Loyalty $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ | 0.017 | 0.05 | Ha Accepted |
| Perceived Quality $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ | 0.140 | 0.05 | Ha Rejected |
| Brand Awareness $\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ | 0.667 | 0.05 | Ha Rejected |
| Brand Association $\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ | 0.004 | 0.05 | Ha Accepted |
| Other Proprietary Brand Assets $\left(\mathrm{X}_{5}\right)$ | 0.066 | 0.05 | Ha Rejected |
| Source: based on statistical calculation from SPSS release |  |  |  |
| 12.00 Windows program (see Appendix: 7) |  |  |  |

Coefficient significance (probability) $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ (Brand Loyalty) is $0.017<\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ 0.05 , it means that $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ influences significantly to customers' purchase decision $(\mathrm{Y})$, while the influence of other variables is constant. Coefficient significance (probability) $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ (Perceived Quality) is $0.140>\boldsymbol{\alpha} 0.05$, it means that $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ does not influence significantly to customers' purchase decision (Y). Coefficient significance (probability) $X_{3}$ (Brand Awareness) is $0.667>\alpha 0.05$, it means that $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ does not influence significantly to customers' purchase decision (Y). Coefficient significance (probability) $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ (Brand Association) is $0.004<\alpha 0.05$, it means that $X_{4}$ influences significantly to customers' purchase decision $(\mathrm{Y})$, while the influence of other variables is constant. Coefficient significance (probability) $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ (Other Proprietary Brand Assets) is $0.066>\alpha 0.05$, it means that $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ does not influence significantly to customers' purchase decision (Y).

The mathematic model of the influence of independent variables, i.e.: Brand Loyalty ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ ), Perceived Quality ( $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ ), Brand Awareness ( $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ ), Brand Association $\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$, and Other Proprietary Brand Assets $\left(\mathrm{X}_{5}\right)$ to the dependent variable, Customers' Purchase Decision (Y) can be written in the following equation:

$$
Y=20.851+0.229 X_{1}+0.078 X_{2}+0.020 X_{3}-0.132 X_{4}+0.160 X_{5}
$$

Based on that mathematic model above, the following explanation can be concluded:

1. The initial point of customer purchase decision of simPATI pre-paid card is 20.851 , when the influence of the variables is constant.
2. In case of the constant condition of the other variables, each increasing score of Brand Loyalty $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ variable will have impacts on the raise of Customers' Purchase Decision (Y) variable score of 0.229 .
3. In the case of the constant condition of the other variables, each increasing score of Perceived Quality $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ variable will have impacts on the raise of Customers' Purchase Decision (Y) variable score of 0.078 .
4. In the case of the constant condition of the other variables, each increasing score of Brand Awareness ( $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ ) variable will have impacts on the count up of Customers' Purchase Decision (Y) variable score of 0.020 .
5. In the case of the constant condition of the other variables, each increasing score of Brand Association ( $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ ) variable will have impacts on the decline of Customers' Purchase Decision (Y) variable score of 0.132 .
6. In the case of the constant condition of the other variables, each increasing score of Other Proprietary Brand Assets ( $\mathrm{X}_{5}$ ) variable will
have impacts on the move up of Customers' Purchase Decision (Y) variable score of 0.160 .
7. According the preceding data computation, it is acquired that Brand Loyalty ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ ) is a variable that dominantly influences the Customers' Purchase Decision (Y). By comparing the score of Beta coefficient, the coefficient score of Brand Loyalty ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ ) 0.229 is higher than the other independent coefficient. That is why the Brand Loyalty $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ has the most prevailing influence towards the Customers' Purchase Decision (Y).
8. $\operatorname{simPATI}$ pre-paid card is considered to have been able to enhance customers' purchase decision, when the average score of customers' purchase decision exceeds 30.2083 .

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.1. CONCLUSIONS

This chapter highlights the discussion of the finding in Chapter IV. Based on the research finding of the survey and the analysis, several conclusions can be derived regarding on the dominance of brand equity in the buying decision process especially on its influences to propose customers' purchase decision. This research has a purpose to examine the influence of brand equity (value of the brand) to customers' purchase decision, and to identify the brand equity that dominantly influences customers' purchase decision, the result are as follows:

1. There are positive influences of brand equity to customers' purchase decision. The result from $F$-test can be drawn through significance level on ANOVA table is 0.005 . Thus, the probability is $0.005<\alpha 0.05$. As a result, HO is rejected and Ha is accepted. Hence, it can be concluded that there are positive influences of brand equity to the customers' purchase decision of simPATI pre-paid card. It means that the independent variables that are brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, and other proprietary brand assets have positive influence to customers' purchase decision. The more customers know about brand equity, the more customers' confidence in the purchase decision.
2. The mathematic model which calculates the influence of the brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand awareness, brand association, and other proprietary brand assets to the customers' purchase decision can be stated with the following equation: $Y=20.851+0.229 X_{1}+0.078 X_{2}+0.020 X_{3}-0.132 X_{4}+0.160 X_{5}$ Based on the above mathematic model, the following interpretations are made:
a. The starting point of customers' purchase decision possessed by simPATI pre-paid card users in Nogotirto is high, it does cause the customers' to be decided to purchase. It happens because the average score of purchase decision exceeds 30.2083 , meaning that the customers are confidence in the purchase decision.
b. Brand association $\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ has negative influence $\left(\mathrm{b}_{4}=-0.132\right)$ and it is significant to the customers' purchase decision. However, simPATI pre-paid card should perform brand association carefully because by increasing brand association has a chance to reduce the customers' purchase decision.
c. Brand loyalty $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ has positive influence $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}=0.229\right)$ and it is significant to the customers' purchase decision. Therefore, in order to increase customers' purchase decision, simPATI pre-paid card should perform the brand loyalty variable of 0.229 .
d. Perceived quality $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ has positive influence $\left(\mathrm{b}_{2}=0.078\right)$ and it is significant to the customers' purchase decision. Therefore, in order
to increase customers' purchase decision, simPATI pre-paid card should perform the brand loyalty variable of 0.078 .
e. Brand awareness $\left(X_{3}\right)$ has positive influence $\left(b_{3}=0.020\right)$ and it is significant to the customers' purchase decision. Therefore, in order to increase customers' purchase decision, simPATI pre-paid card should perform the brand loyalty variable of 0.020 .
f. Other proprietary brand assets $\left(X_{5}\right)$ has positive influence $\left(b_{5}=\right.$ 0.160 ) and it is significant to the customers' purchase decision. Therefore, in order to increase customers' purchase decision, simPATI pre-paid card should perform the brand loyalty variable of 0.160 .
g. Obviously, it can be seen that the Brand Loyalty $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ is the brand equity factor that has the biggest and dominant influence to the customers' purchase decision.

### 5.2. RECOMMENDATIONS

The researcher recommends the marketing practitioners and managers; especially brand manager the following ideas:

To create a creative and innovative performance to enhance the value of a brand, the challenge is to understand better the links among brand assets, including brand loyalty, perceived quality, brand awareness, and brand association, and future performance, so that the brand construction performance can be justified. This research can provide an understanding on how each one of
the value of a brand may differ in terms of influencing the consumers' purchase decision, which one has stronger effect than others.

The effect of the value of the brand can be very important to ensure and certify the customers that the brand means not only its name but deeper than that. Furthermore, in some cases, customers often lack the capability or driving force to analyse brand-choice decision at a sufficient depth which has close impact on a purchase decision toward a brand. Therefore, a systematic approach is needed before deciding which dimensions of brand equity is absorbed and implemented into a brand.

The results of this study suggest some important considerations for the marketing practitioners especially brand manager. As the brand loyalty is related to the customers' purchase decision toward a brand, systematic treatments of the customers is needed by measuring satisfaction, staying close to the customer, providing extras, and generally over-invest in customers. As the brand association is anything emotionally linked to the brand, it can affect the processing and recall of information, provide a point of differentiation, provide a reason to buy, create positive attitudes and feelings, and serve as the basis of extensions. When the key tangible product attribute is effective, such as: physical facilities, product appearance, a tool used to provide service, etc., a positioning on the basis of association with attributes can drive purchase decision.
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## Yth.Bapak/Ibu/Saudara <br> Di Nogotirto

Assalamualaikum wr. wb.
Dengan hormat,
Saya, Amalia, mahasiswi UII, Program internasional Fakultas Ekonomi jurusan Manajemen sedang melakukan penelitian di Nogotirto untuk sebuah skripsi dengan judul: Pengaruh Ekuitas Merek (Nilai Merek) terhadap Konsumen dalam Keputusan Pembelian. Studi Kasus pada kartu simPATI prabayar di Nogotirto, Sleman.

Pada kesempatan ini, saya mengharap kesediaan Bapak/lbu/Saudara untuk berpartisipasi dalam penelitian ini dengan cara menjawab kuesioner berikut sesuai pendapat Bapak/Ibu/Saudara.

Tidak ada jawaban benar atau salah dan semua jawaban maupun identitas yang Bapak/lbu/Saudara berikan saya jamin kerahasiaannya. Mohon tidak mencantumkan nama. Hasil dari kuesioner ini hanya digunakan unuk keperluan ilmiah, dan tidak dikaitkan dengan keperluan komersial merek produk tertentu.

Terimakasih atas partisipasi Bapak/lbu/Saudara dalam penelitian ini. Wassalamualaikum wr. wb.
Yogyakarta, Februari 2005
Amalia Sari Wulan

## Identitas Anda

## Petuniuk: Berilah tanda ( $\mathbf{X}$ ) atau $(\sqrt{ })$ pada pilihan yang sesuai:

1. Jenis kelamin
$\qquad$ Perempuan
___ Lelaki
2. Usia pada ulang tahun terakhir
_16-25 tahun
26-35 tahun
36-45 tahun
46-55 tahun
$\qquad$ $\geq 55$ tahun
3. Pendidikan terakhir
__ SMP
SMA
___ Diploma /Akademi
Sarjana
__ Pasca Sarjana
4. Penghasilan
$\ldots \leq \operatorname{Rp} 500.000-1.500 .000$ Rp 1.500.000-2.000.000 Rp 2.500.000-3.500.000 Rp 3.500.000-4.500.000 $\geq$ Rp 4.500 .000
5. Pekerjaan

Pelajar Mahasiswa
PNS/BUMN/TNI
___ Pegawai Swasta
Wiraswasta
Pensiunan

## Evaluasi Nilai Merek

## Petuniuk: Berilah penilaian dengan memberi tanda ( $\mathbf{X}$ ) atau $(\sqrt{ })$ pada pilihan yang paling sesuai menurut Anda:

Sangat Tidak Setuju (STS)
Tidak Setuju (TS)
Agak Tidak Setuju (ATS)
Netral (N) Agak Setuju (AS)
Setuju (S)
Sangat Setuju(SS)

## I. Pernyataan tentang Kesetiaan Merek (Brand Loyalty)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STS | TS | ATS | N | AS | S | SS |
| 1. Merek tersebut selalu menjadi pilihan <br> pertama saya |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Saya menganggap diri saya 'loyal' <br> terhadap merek tersebut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Jika saya tidak memperoleh merek ter <br> sebut disuatu toko,maka saya akan me <br> carinya ke toko lain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Saya akan merekomendasikan merek <br> tersebut pada orang lain (teman,kel.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Saya puas selama menggunakan <br> Merek tersebut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

II. Pernyataan tentang Penampakan Kualitas (Perceived Quality)

| Menurut saya merek tersebut: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | STS | TS | ATS | N | AS | S | SS |
| 1. Jangkauan sinyal paling luas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Masa aktif yang panjang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Harga kartu perdana murah |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Harga voucher isi ulang murah |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Isi ulang pulsa elektroniknya cepat, <br> Mudah dan aman |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Memiliki fasilitas canggih dan <br> beragam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Mudah mendapatkan pelayanan servis <br> Center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Kapasitas besar untuk menyimpan no. <br> Telepon dan sms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Kualitasnya konsisten mulai dari per- <br> tama kali dipasarkan hingga saat ini |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Pendistribusian yang baik mulai dari <br> pertama kali digunakan hingga saat ini |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## III. Pernyataan tentang Kesadaran Merek (Name Awareness)

| Merek tersebut: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | STS | TS | ATS | N | AS | S | SS |
| 1. Terkenal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Berkelas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Berkualitas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Mudah dikenali |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Dapat diandalkan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Moderen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Canggih |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Inovatif |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Memuaskan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Harganya terjangkau |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## IV. Pernyataan tentang Asosiasi Merek (Brand Association)

| Menurut saya merek tersebut: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | STS | TS | ATS | N | AS | S | SS |  |
| 1. Jangkauan sinyalnya paling luas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Masa berlakunya paling lama |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Harga perdananya murah |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Harga voucher isi ulangnya murah |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Mudah didapatkan dimana saja |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Sesuai kepribadian saya |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Banyak fasilitas yang ditawarkan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Tampilan kemasannya menarik |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Selalu berinovasi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.Berasosiasi pada kegiatan bermusik |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

V. Pernyataan tentang Aset Kepemilikan Merek Lainnya (Other Proprietary Brand Assets)

| Menurut saya merek tersebut: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STS | TS | ATS | N | AS | S | SS |
| 1. Resmi terdaftar (ber-trademark) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Memiliki 'nama' terkenal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Memiliki simbol mudah dikenal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Memiliki slogan yang mudah diingat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Memiliki hubungan (channel) kuat <br> dengan perusahaan lain yang sejenis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## VI. Pernyataan tentang Keputusan Pembelian (Purchase Decision)

| Saya selalu: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | STS | TS | ATS | N | AS | S | SS |
| 1. Mempertimbangkan kesetiaan merek <br> Dalam keputusan pembelian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Mempertimbangkan penampakan <br> kualitas dalam keputusan pembelian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Mempertimbangkan kesadaran <br> Merek dalam keputusan pembelian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Mempertimbangkan asosiasi merek <br> Dalam keputusan pembelian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Mempertimbangkan aset kepemilikan <br> merek lainnya, seperti paten, <br> trademark, dan channel dengan <br> perusahaan lain yang sejenis dalam <br> keputusan pembelian |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

~ TERIMA KASIH ATAS KESEDIAAN DAN WAKTU ANDA ! ~

## Identities:

Hint: Please gives a mark ( $\mathbf{X}$ ) or $(\sqrt{ })$ on the most suitable choice:

1. Gender

2. Income
$\ldots \quad \leq \operatorname{Rp} 500.000-1.500 .000$
Rp 1.500.000-2.500.000
Rp 2.500.000-3.500.000
Rp 3.500.000-4.500.000 $\geq$ Rp 4.500 .000
3. Job

Student
Civil Servant/Military Private worker
Entrepreneur
Retired
2. Education (last)
___ Junior High School
___ Senior High School
___ Diploma / College
__ Undergraduate
___ Graduate/Post Graduate

## Brand Equity Evaluation

Hint: Please gives your rate by put a sign ( X ) or $(\sqrt{ })$ on paper with suitable choice:
$1=\mathrm{SD}$ (Strongly Disagree)
$2=\mathrm{D}$ (Disagree)
$3=$ QD (Quite Disagree)
$4=$ Neutral $(N)$
$5=\mathrm{QA}$ (Quite Agree)
$6=\mathrm{A}$ (Agree)
$7=$ SA (Strongly Agree)

## I. Statement about Brand Loyalty

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | SD | D | QD | N | QA | A | SA |
| 1. This brand is always becoming my first <br> choice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. I consider that I'm loyal with this brand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. If I cannot get this brand in a shop, I will <br> looks for it in another shop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. I will recommend this brand to other <br> person (friend,family) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. I'm satisfied during use this brand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## II. Statement about Perceived Quality

| I think this brand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Has the widest networks coverage | SD | D | QD | N | QA | A | SA |
| 2. Has the long active principal period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Has the cheap price of opening card |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Has the cheap price of recharge voucher |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The electronic recharge voucher are fast, easy and save |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Has various and sophisticated facilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Easy to get service on service center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Has big capacity to stored phone number and sms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Has consistent quality since the first time of launching up to now |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Good distribution since the first time of launching up to now |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## III. Statement about Name Awareness

| This brand is : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | SD | D | QD | N | QA | A | SA |  |
| 1. Famous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Classy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Eminence (quality) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Recognizable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Trustworthy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Modern |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Sophisticated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Innovative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Satisfied |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Reasonable price |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## IV. Statement about Brand Association

| I think this brand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SD | D | QD | N | QA | A | SA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Has the widest networks coverage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Has the long active principal period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Has the cheap price of recharge <br> voucher |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Has the cheap price of recharge <br> voucher |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 5. Easy to find everywhere |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6. Suit with my personality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Lots facility being offered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Interesting package |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Always innovate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Associated with music activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## V. Statement about Other Proprietary Brand Assets

| I think this brand: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD | $\mathbf{D}$ | QD | $\mathbf{N}$ | QA | A | SA |
| 1. Trademark registered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Has famous name |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Has a recognizable symbol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Has memorable slogan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Has a strong channel with same kind <br> of companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## VI. Statement about Purchase Decision

| I always: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | SD | D | QD | N | QA | A | SA |
| 1. Consider about brand loyalty in <br> purchase decision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Consider about perceived quality in <br> purchase decision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Consider about name awareness in <br> purchase decision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Consider about brand association in <br> purchase decision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Consider about other proprietary <br> brand asset such as trademark, patent <br> and strong channel with same kind of <br> companies in purchase decision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

RESPONDENTS' PROFILE

| number | gender | age | education | income | employment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $p$ | 36-45 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | w |
| 2 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| 3 | p | 46-55 | d3 | $\leq 500-1,5$ | ps |
| 4 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 5 | 1 | 46-55 | sar | 2,5 | pns |
| 6 | 1 | 26-35 | ps | 3,5 | w |
| 7 | $p$ | 46-55 | S | $\leq 500-1,5$ | w |
| 8 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | S 500-1,5 | w |
| 9 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | S 500-1,5 | $p$ |
| 10 | 1 | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 11 | 1 | 16-25 | smp | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 12 | $p$ | 16-25 | d3 | $\leq 500-1,5$ | w |
| 13 | 1 | 2635 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | ps |
| 14 | $p$ | 16-25 | smp | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 15 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $\boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}$ |
| 16 | p | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | ps |
| 17 | $p$ | 26-35 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | W |
| 18 | I | 26-35 | 5 | 2,5 | $w$ |
| 19 | $p$ | 36-45 | pasca | 4,5 | pns |
| 20 | $p$ | $55 \geq$ | ps | 1,5 | ps |
| 21 | 1 | 46-55 | $s$ | 1,5 | ps |
| 22 | $p$ | 16-25 | $s$ | $\leq 500-1,5$ | ps |
| 23 | $p$ | 16-25 | smp | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $p{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 24 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | 1 | ps |
| 25 | 1 | 26-35 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | ps |
| 26 | 1 | 46-55 | $s$ | 2,5 | pns |
| 27 | $\rho$ | 36-45 | 5 | 2,5 | w |
| 28 | $\rho$ | 26-35 | d3 | $\leq 500-1,5$ | w |
| 29 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $p$ |
| 30 | 1 | 26-35 | d3 | $\leq 500-1,5$ | w |
| 31 | 1 | 26-35 | S | 1,5 | ps |
| 32 | $p$ | 26-35 | $s$ | 1,5 | ps |
| 33 | 1 | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 34 | 1 | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 35 | 1 | 46-55 | 5 | 3,5 | ps |
| 36 | $p$ | 46-55 | S | 1,5 | pas |
| 37 | 1 | 36-45 | $s$ | $4,5 \geq$ | ps |
| 38 | $p$ | 46-55 | $s$ | 1,5 | ps |
| 39 | 1 | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 40 | $p$ | 36-45 | S | 1,5 | pens |
| 41 | 1 | 26-35 | d3 | 1,5 | $w$ |
| 42 | $p$ | 46-55 | sma | 1,5 | $w$ |
| 43 | 1 | 46-55 | pasca | 1,5 | pns |
| 44 | 1 | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 45 | $p$ | 36-45 | d3 | 1,5 | w |
| 46 | 1 | $55 \geq$ | d3 | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pens |
| 47 | $p$ | 46-55 | d3 | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pens |
| 48 | 1 | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $p /$ |
| 49 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $p$ |
| 50 | $p$ | 26-35 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | ps |
| 51 | $p$ | 46-55 | sma | 1,5 | ps |
| 52 | 1 | 26-35 | S | 2,5 | w |


| 53 | $p$ | 26-35 | pasca | 1,5 | ps |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54 | 1 | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | p/ |
| 55 | 1 | 46-55 | d3 | 2 | pons |
| 56 | 1 | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | p/ |
| 57 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1.5$ | pl |
| 58 | 1 | $55 \geq$ | pasca | 1,5 | pns |
| 59 | p | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $p /$ |
| 60 | 1 | $55 \geq$ | S | 4,5 $\geq$ | pens |
| 61 | 1 | 16-25 | sma | S500-1,5 | $p^{\prime}$ |
| 62 | 1 | 36-45 | $s$ | 4,5 $\geq$ | ps |
| 63 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 64 | 1 | 46-55 | S | 1,5 | pns |
| 65 | I | 36-45 | $s$ | 1,5 | pns |
| 66 | $p$ | 16-25 | $s m p$ | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| 67 | $p$ | 26-35 | $s$ | 1,5 | ps |
| 68 | 1 | 46-55 | 5 | 2,5 | w |
| 69 | $p$ | 36-45 | d3 | 1,5 | w |
| 70 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $p /$ |
| 71 | I | 16-25 | 5 | 1 | ps |
| 72 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | p/ |
| 73 | 1 | 46-55 | S | 2,5 | $w$ |
| 74 | 1 | 36-45 | pasca | 3,5 | ps |
| 75 | $p$ | 26-35 | $s$ | 1 | ps |
| 76 | 1 | 16-25 | 5 | 1 | ps |
| 77 | $p$ | 36-45 | d3 | 1,5 | pons |
| 78 | 1 | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $p /$ |
| 79 | 1 | 46-55 | $s$ | 3,5 | ps |
| 80 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | S500-1,5 | p |
| 81 | $p$ | 36-45 | sma | 1 | w |
| 82 | 1 | 16-25 | S | 1 | ps |
| 83 | 1 | 36-45 | S | 1,5 | w |
| 84 | 1 | $55 \geq$ | $s$ | 1 | pens |
| 85 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| 86 | $p$ | 36-45 | pasca | 2,5 | ps |
| 87 | $p$ | 16-25 | S | 1 | ps |
| 88 | 1 | 36-45 | pasca | 2,5 | ps |
| 89 | 1 | 36-45 | $s$ | 1,5 | phs |
| 90 | $\rho$ | 46-55 | d3 | 2,5 | w |
| 91 | 1 | 46-55 | 5 | 3,5 | ps |
| 92 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 93 | $p$ | 16-25 | sma | $\leq 500-1,5$ | pl |
| 94 | 1 | 36-45 | d3 | 1,5 | pans |
| 95 | 1 | 16-25 | $s$ | 1 | w |
| 96 | $p$ | 2635 | $s$ | 2,5 | $w$ |

Explanation:
$\rho$ = female
$l=$ male
$s=$ undergraduate
pasca $=$ post graduatefgraduate
smp = junior high school
sma $=$ senior high school
d3 = college/diploma
w = entrepreneur
pl =student


|  | BLYT 1 | BLYTV | BLYT ${ }^{3}$ | BLYT 4 | BLTT5 | 7OT BLY | POTY 1 | PTY 2 | FQTY 3 | PQY 4 | PQTY 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -- | 6,00 | 6,00 | E,00 | 500 | -5.00 | -28,0 |  | - 200 | -6,00 | - 2.00 | 4.00 |
| 2 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 4,00 | 400 | 6.00 | 26,0 | 6,05 | 6,00 | 4,00 | 6.00 | 6,0 |
| 3 | 5,00 | 4,00 | E,00 | 500 | 5.00 | 25,00 | 6,05 | 6.00 | 5,00 | 5.00 | 4,05 |
| 4 | 4,00 | 4,80 | 4,00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 20.0 | 6,05 | 5,00 | 5.00 | 5,10 | 5,05 |
| 5 | 6,20 | 5,00 | 5,00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | $25, \mathrm{CO}^{2}$ | 7.05 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 7.00 | 4,05 |
| 6 | 6.50 | 6,00 | 5,00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 29.0 | 6.05 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6,00 | 400 |
|  | 6,00 | 5,00 | e, 0 | 700 | 6.00 | 30, 0 | 6.05 | 6,06 | 5,00 | 5,00 | 7.03 |
| 8 | 5,20 | 15,00 | E,00 | 600 | 6.00 | 28.00 | 6.05 | 5,00 | 6.00 | 6,00 | 4.05 |
| 9 | 7,00 | 6,60 | E,00 | 600 | 7.00 | 32,00 | 7.05 | 7,00 | 3.00 | 3,00 | 7.05 |
| 10 | 5,50 | 5,00 | 4,00 | 400 | 7.00 | 25,00 | 7.05 | 5,06 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 4.05 |
| 11 | 5,90 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 400 | 6.00 | 21.0 | 6,05 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 400 | 405 |
| 12 | 6.30 | 5,00 | E,00 | 7.00 | 6.00 | 30,00 | 7.05 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 5,00 | 6.05 |
| 13 | 6,00 | 400 | E,00 | 600 | 5.00 | 27.0 | 6.05 | 6,00 | 5,00 | 5.00 | 7.05 |
| 14 | 7.00 | 6,00 | ¢,00 | 700 | 7.00 | 33,0 | 7.05 | 6,00 | 5,00 | 5,00 | 7,05 |
| 15 | 5,20 | (6, 10 | 6,00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 30.0 | 7.03 | 6.00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 6.05 |
| 16 | 5,00 | 4,10 | 500 | 500 | 5.00 | 24,0 | 6,05 | 4.00 | 4,100 | 5.60 | 5.03 |
| 17 | 6.00 | 5,60 | ¢, 00 | 500 | 6.20 | 28.50 | E.05 | 5,00 | 人, 00 | 6,00 | 5.05 |
| 18 | 5,5 | 4,00 | 5,00 | 400 | 6.00 | 24.0 | 6,05 | 5.06 | 5,90 | 5.10 | 6.05 |
| 19 | 6.50 | 6,10 | 6.00 | 400 | 6.00 | 28.0 | 6,0 | 7.06 | 6.00 | 6, 010 | 6,03 |
| 20 | 6,00 | 400 | 5,00 | 400 | 5.00 | 24.0 | 7.05 | 5,00 | 5,00 | 5,00 | 405 |
| 21 | 5.90 | 3,0 | 3.00 | 400 | 5.10 | 20.0 | 6.05 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 400 | 4.05 |
| 23 | 6.50 | 3,00 | 7,00 | 700 | 6.00 | 29.6 | 7.05 | 6.005 | 6.150 | \% 0 | 6.03 |
| 23 | 6.50 | 6,00 | 7.05 | 6.00 | 7.00 | 3.0 | 7.05 | 6,00 | 5,100 | 50 | 6.05 |
| 24 | 6,90 | 6,16 | E,00 | 200 | 6.100 | 2 Ei 0 | 4,05 | 4,00 | 5,100 | 6.00 | 5.05 |
| 25 | 5,90 | 6,60 | 6,05 | 6.00 | 6.100 | 29,0 | 2.03 | 6.00 | 3,100 | 6.001 | 3.05 |





|  | BASSC_1 | BASSC_2 | BASSC_3 | BASSC_4 | BASSC_5 | BASSC 6 | BASSC 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.00 | 6,00 | 5.00 | 6.00 |  |  |  | Bassc_ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | BASSC_9 | BASSC_10 | $\mathrm{TOT}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{CASS}}$ |
| 2 | 6.00 | 6,00 | 4.00 | 6,00 | 5.00 | 5,00 | 6,00 | 5,00 | 5,00 | 5,00 | 54,00 |
| 3 | 6.00 | 6,00 | 4.00 | 5,00 | 6,00 | 5,00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 4,00 | 5,00 | 55,00 |
| 4 | 5.00 | 6,00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 6,00 | 5,00 | 5,00 | 5,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 50,00 |
| 5 | 7.00 | 6,00 | 4,00 | 7.00 | 7,00 | 7,00 | 5,00 | $\frac{4,00}{400}$ | 4,00 | 4.00 | 51,00 |
| 6 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 6,00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | - 4,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 55,00 |
| 7 | 6.00 | 5,00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 7,00 | 6,00 | 6.00 | $\begin{array}{r}4,00 \\ \hline 7,00 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,00 | 4,00 | 54,00 |
| 8 | 6.00 | 6,00 | 6.00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 7,00 | 7.00 | 7,00 | ,00 | 5,00 | 57,00 |
| 9 | 7.00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 7,00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 65,00 |
| 10 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 6,00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 7,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 5.00 | 58,00 |
| 11 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 6.00 | 6,00 | 6,00 |  | 6,00 | 4,00 | 6,00 | 56,00 |
| 12 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5,0 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 52,00 |
| 13 | 5.00 | 6,00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5,00 | 5,00 | 7,00 | 6,00 | 5.00 | 59,00 |
| 14 | 7.00 | 7,00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 7,00 | 7,00 | 6,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 48,00 |
| 15 | 6.00 | 6,00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 7.00 | 6,00 |  | 6,00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 65,00 |
| 16 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 5,00 | 6,00 | 5.00 | 5,00 | 5.00 | 58.00 |
| 17 | 6,00 | 6.00 | 4,00 | 4.00 | 6,00 | 6.00 | 700 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 49,00 |
| 18 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 4,00 | 4.00 | 4,00 | 6,00 | 7,00 | 4,00 | 5,00 | 7.00 | 55,00 |
| 19 | 6.00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 6.00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 48,00 |
| 20 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 5,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 54,00 |
| 21 | 7.00 | 7.00 | 4,00 | 4.00 | 7.00 | 6,00 |  | 4,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 49,00 |
| 22 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 6.00 | 7.00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 53.00 |
| 23 | 7.00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 6.00 | 7.00 |  | 5,00 | 5,00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 57,00 |
| 24 | 6.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 6.00 | 7,00 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 5,00 | 6,00 | 62,00 |
| 25 | 6,00 | 6,00 | 4,00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 6,00 | 4,00 | 6,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 49,00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 4,00 | 48,00 |
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## ISLAM

Appendix 4
Validity and Reliability for the Pre-test

## Reliatuility and Validity Pre-Test Data

***ぇ Method 2 (covariance matrix) will be used for this analysis ***


| Corresalluns | Meas | H+A1mum | Maximuin | Auisyo | , ":.... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . 2720 | , 0323 | . 5792 | , 5469 | 17,9402 |
| . 0268 |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Scale <br> Mean | Scale <br> Variance | Corrected <br> Item- | Squared |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alpha | if Item | if Item | Total | Multiple |
| if Item | Deleted | Deleted | Correlation | Correlation |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B_LOYAL } \\ & , 6993 \end{aligned}$ | 227,7667 | 298,8747 | , 2640 | . 2237 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PERC_Q } \\ & , 601 \overline{1} \end{aligned}$ | 199,5333 | 214,9471 | , 5720 | . 4099 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAME A } \\ & , 673 \overline{2} \end{aligned}$ | 196,9667 | 247,5506 | . 3860 | , 2571 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B_ASSC } \\ & , 5673 \end{aligned}$ | 201,2667 | 188,9609 | , 6449 | , 5327 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { OTHER_P } \\ & , 6580^{-} \end{aligned}$ | 227,0667 | 278,2023 | . 4389 | , 2410 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P DEC } \\ & , 6925 \end{aligned}$ | 226,5667 | 309,2885 | , 3042 | . 2535 |



[^0]







|  | Intraclass Correlation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | $F$ Test with True Value 0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | Value | df1 | df2 | Sig |
| Single Measures | , $358{ }^{\text {b }}$ | . 271 | 457 | 4,352 | 95,0 | 475 | ,000 |
| Average Measures | , $770^{\text {c }}$ | . 691 | 835 | 4,352 | 95,0 | 475 | ,000 |

a. Type $C$ intraclass correlation ccefficients using a consistency definition-the between-measure variance is excluded from the denominator variance.
. The estimator is the same, whether the interaction effect is present or not.
This estimate is computed assuming the interaction effect is absent, because it is not estimable otherwise.
Case Processing Summary

|  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cas?s | Valid | $\%$ |
|  | Excluded | 96 |
|  | 0 | 100,0 |
| Total | 96 | 100,0 |

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure

## Reliability Statistics

|  | Cronbach's <br> Alpha Based <br> on <br> Cronbach's <br> Alpha | Standardized <br> Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | N of Items |
| :---: |
| .751 |

\footnotetext{
Item Statistics

|  | Nean | Std. Deviation | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FQTi_1 | 5,8750 | 1,00786 | ${ }^{-1}$ |
| PQTY_ 2 | 5,4375 | , 77883 | - 96 |
| FQTY ${ }^{3}$ | 5,1354 | ,88994 | 96 |
| PQT ${ }^{\text {c }} 4$ | 5,1667 | , 93659 | 96 |
| PQTY_5 | 5,2292 | , 97850 | 96 |
| PQTY_6 | 5,5625 | , 91551 | 96 |
| PQTY_7 | 5,4063 | , 94677 | 96 |
| PQTY_8 | 5,4688 | . 91713 | 96 |
| FQTr_9 | 5,4271 | 1,15845 | 96 |
| FQTY_10 | 5,4271 | , 98135 | 96 |
| TOT_PQTY | 54,1354 | 5,82304 | 96 |


|  | PQTY 1 | PQTY 2 | PQTY 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FQY-1 | 1,000 |  | PQTY 3 | PQTY 4 .089 | $\frac{\text { PQTY } 5}{.115}$ | PQTY 6 | PQTY $\frac{7}{230}$ | PQTY 8 | PQTY 9 | PQTY 10 | TOT PQTY |
| FQTY_2 | , 379 | 1,000 | , 202 | . 130 | . 115 | 260 301 | 230 285 | 235 | . 254 | . 331 | - 509 |
| FQTY_3 | . 195 | . 202 | 1,000 | . 579 | . 194 | : 301 | 285 | , 358 | ,281 | . 318 | 552 |
| FQY_4 | . 089 | . 130 | . 579 | 1,000 | . 176 | . 112 | -196 | 218 | , 168 | . 162 | 484 |
| PQ Y ${ }^{5}$ | . 115 | , 309 | . 194 | . 176 | 1,000 | 184 278 | 196 | 300 | . 254 | , 277 | 517 |
| $\mathrm{FQ}^{-} \mathrm{Y}_{-} 6$ | ,260 | . 301 | , 112 | . 184 | 1,000 .278 | . 278 | 330 | 325 | . 340 | . 204 | 538 |
| PQ $\mathrm{Y}_{7}{ }^{7}$ | . 230 | . 285 | . 196 | . 196 | . 330 | 1,000 | 511 | 259 | . 436 | . 491 | 629 |
| PQ Y_8 | , 235 | , 358 | . 218 | , 300 | . 325 | 511 | 1.000 | 578 | . 435 | . 480 | 696 |
| FQTY_9 | , 254 | , 281 | . 168 | , 254 | . 340 | 259 | 578 | 1000 | . 444 | . 430 | 678 |
| FQTY_10 | , 331 | , 318 | 162 | . 277 | , 204 | -436 | 435 | - 444 | 1,000 | . 717 | 734 |
| TOT PQTY | . 509 | , 562 | . 484 | . 517 | , 204 | -491 | 480 | 430 | . 717 | 1.000 | 738 |
| e covaria | $x$ is cal |  | . 484 | 1. | , 538 | 629 | 656 | 678 | . 734 | . 738 | 1000 |



| PQT 1 | Scale Mean if Item Deleted | Scale <br> Variance if Item Deleted | Corrected Item-Total Correlation | Squared Multiple Correlation | Cronbach's Alpha if ltem Deleted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PGTY 2 | 102,3958 102,833 | 124,705 | . 440 |  | -737 |
| PGTY-3 | 102,8333 103,1354 | 126,035 | . 514 |  | 737 |
| PQTY_4 | 103,1042 | 126,392 | : 422 |  | , 740 |
| PQTY_5 | 103,0417 | 125,231 | :454 |  | :737 |
| FQTY_ 6 | 102,7083 | 124,335 | :474 |  | :735 |
| PQTY_7 | 102,8646 | 123,051 | :578 |  | 730 |
| PQTY_8 | 102,8021 | 121,171 | 651 |  | 725 |
| PQTY_9 | 102,8438 | 111,165 | :632 |  | . 727 |
| PQTY_10 | 102,8438 | 117,165 | 683 |  | :716 |
| TO-PQTY | 102,8438 54,1354 | 119,733 33,908 | -695 |  | . 721 |
|  | 24,1354 | 33,908 | 1,000 |  | 812 |

## Scale Statistics


3. - רe covariance matrix is calculated arid used in the analysis.

| Hotelling's <br> T-Sauared |
| :--- |
| O704.608 |

he covariance matrix is calculated and used in the analysis.
Intraclass Correlation Coefficient

where people effects are random and measures effects are fixed.
consistency defnition-the between-measure variance is excluded from the denominator variance.
2. רe estimator is the same, whether the interaction effect is present or not.
ins estimate is computed assuming the interaction effect is absent. because it is not estimable othemise


## Case Processing Summary



## Reliabllity Statistics

|  | Cronbach's <br> Alpha Based <br> on <br> - ibach's <br> Standardized <br> Items |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nof ltems |  |


|  | Mean | Std. Deviation | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WF $A$ A $A W_{-1}$ | 5.9063 | . 98492 | -96 |
| HV $\mathrm{AW}_{2} 2$ | 5.6042 | . 83954 | $\square 96$ |
|  | 5.4688 | . 95093 | 96 |
| 的 V AW_4 | 5.5000 | . 94032 | 96 |
| NF MEAW_5 | 5.4375 | . 85609 | 96 |
| NFMSAW_6 | 5.3958 | . 91167 | 86 |
| NLUEAW 7 | 5.3542 | ,85814 | 96 |
| NFMEAW_8 | 5.1354 | 1,16637 | 96 |
| NFMEAW-9 | 5.3646 | 1.13434 | 96 |
| NAMSAW_10 | 5.1979 | 1.08210 | 96 |
| TCTAME | 54,3646 | 6,22240 | 96 |



|  | NAMEAW 10 | TOTVAME AW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-EAW-1 | . 284 | . 523 |
| $H E E W$ - 2 | . 377 | . 618 |
| H/VEAW_3 | . 472 | . 754 |
| NiVEAW_4 | . 419 | . 694 |
| NFVEAW_5 | . 268 | . 582 |
| NHMEAW_6 | . 389 | . 663 |
| NAMEAW-7 | . 332 | . 636 |
| NHEEAW_8 | . 346 | . 553 |
| NATEAW_9 | . 567 | . 665 |
| NAVEAW_10 | 1.000 | .,713 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TO AME_ } \\ & A V_{4} \end{aligned}$ | . 713 | 1,000 |

The covariance matrix is calculated and used in the analysis.

|  | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | Range | Maximum I Minimum | Variance | N of ltems |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lem Means Item Variances | 9884 | 5.135 | 54.365 | 49,229 | 10,586 | 217,674 | -11 |
| Item Variances Inter-Item Comelations | 4,391 | . 705 | 38,718 | 38,013 | 54.933 | 129,671 | 11 |
| Inter-trem Correlations | 399 | . 023 | - . 754 | . 730 | 32,668 | . 029 | 11 |

The covariance matrix is calculated and used in the analysis.

|  | Scale Mean if Item Deleted | Scale <br> Variance if Item Deleted |  | cted otal ation | Squared Multiple Correlation | Cronbach's Alpha if ltem Deleted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NGMEAW-1 | 102.8229 | 143031 | J | .461 |  | -7-743 |
| NAWEAW_2 | 103.1250 | 142,658 | $\square$ | . 574 |  | . 740 |
| NAVEAW_3 | 1032604 | 137.942 |  | . 718 |  | , 729 |
| NAVEAW_4 | 1032292 | 139.526 | $\stackrel{\square}{+}$ | . 651 |  | . 734 |
| NLGEAW_5 | 103.2917 | 143.198 |  | . 534 |  | . 742 |
| N- ${ }^{\text {E A W }} 6$ | 103.3333 | 140.667 |  | . 619 |  | ,736 |
| NH:SEAW 7 | 1033750 | 142.026 |  | . 592 |  | ,739 |
| NSEAW 8 | 1035937 | 140.181 |  | . 483 |  | ,739 |
| N-VEAW_9 | 1033646 | 137.371 |  | . 610 |  | 731 |
| N:EAN_10 | 1035312 | 136841 |  | . 666 |  | . 728 |
| T- $A M E$ | 54.3643 |  |  |  |  | , 28 |
| $\dot{+}^{\prime \prime}$ | 54.3543 | 38718 |  | 1,000 |  | . 836 |

[^1]|  |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Betmeen People |  | 1337,542 | 95 | 14,079 |  |  |
| Within People | Between Items | 208967,38 | 10 | 20896,738 | 6106,438 | , 000 |
|  | Residual | 3250,979 | 950 | 3,422 |  |  |
|  | Total | 212218,36 | 960 | 221,061 |  |  |
| Total | 213555,91 | 1055 | 202,423 |  |  |  |

rand Mean $=9.8845$
a. The covariance $n$
a. The covariance matrix is calculated and used in the analysis

|  | Intraclass Correlation ${ }^{a}$ | 95\% Confidence Interval |  | F Test with True Value 0 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | Value | $d f 1$ | $d 2$ | Sig |
|  | . $221{ }^{6}$ | . 161 | -297 | 4,114 | 95,0 | 950 | 0 |
| Avrage Measures | . 757 | . 678 | 823 | 4114 | 95.0 | 950 | ,000 |

wo-way mixed effects model where people effects are random and measures effects are fixed.
. fype C intraclass correlation coefficients using a consistency definition-the between-measure variance is excluded from the denominator variance.
b. The estimator is the same, whether the interaction effect is present or not.
This estimate is computed assuming the interaction effect is absent, hecause it is not estimable otherwise
Hotelling's T-Squared Test

Intraclass Correlation Coefficient
the covariance matrix is calculated and used in the analysis.



|  | Scale Mean if Item Delated | Scale <br> Variance if Item Deleted | Corrected Item-Total Correlation | Squared Multiple Correlation | Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EASSC-1 | 101,8750 | 171,732 | . 422 |  | , 753 |
| BASSC_2 | 102.0729 | 166.574 | . 647 |  | , 742 |
| BASSC_3 | 102,3750 | 161.668 | . 747 |  | , 733 |
| BASSC_4 | 102.1250 | 163.121 | ,669 |  | . 737 |
| BASSC_5 | 101.6354 | 169.876 | . 491 |  | , 750 |
| EASSC_6 | 101.7604 | 170.437 | . 521 |  | , 750 |
| BASSC_7 | 101.8438 | 168.891 | . 587 |  | ,747 |
| EASSC_8 | 102.4167 | 161.172 | . 682 |  | . 734 |
| EHSSC_9 | 102,4688 | 161.010 | .701 |  | ,733 |
| EASSC_10 | 102.6771 | 182.095 | . 677 |  | ,735 |
| TO-BASSC | 53.7500 | 45.726 | 1,000 |  | ,858 |

## Scale Statistics



|  |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eeven People |  | 1579,636 | 95 | -16.628 |  |  |
| Via in Peaple | Eetween llems | 20433485 | 10 | 20433.485 | 5169.634 | . 000 |
|  | Residual | 3754,988 | 950 | 3,953 |  |  |
|  | Total | 208089:82 | 960 | 216.760 |  |  |
| 20 31 |  | 209669:45 | 1055 | 198,739 |  |  |

¥ The covariance matrix is calculated and used in the analysis.


|  | OTHP 1 | OTHP 2 | OTRP 3 | OTRP 4 | OTRP 5 | TOT_OTRP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CTP-1 | 1,000 | , 670 | . 485 | . 510 | . 475 | . 764 |
| CTO_2 | , 670 | 1,000 | . 542 | . 388 | . 343 | . 730 |
| CTIP_3 | ,485 | , 542 | 1.000 | . 646 | . 522 | . 823 |
| CTRP_4 | . 510 | , 388 | . 646 | 1.000 | . 665 | . 835 |
| OTP_5 | , 475 | ,343 | . 522 | . 665 | 1.000 | . 777 |
| TO-OTRP | ,764 | . 730 | . 823 | . 835 | . 777 | 1.000 |

The ovanance matrix is calculated and used in the analysis.

|  | Mean | Minimum | Maximum | Range | Maxinum: Minimum | Variance | Nof liems |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Means | 9.712 | 5,563 | -29.135 | 23,573 | 5.238 | 90,617 | 6 |
| ite Variances | 2.695 | . 440 | - 12.181 | 11,741 | 27.653 | 21,637 | 6 |
| 1r.e tem Correlations | 612 | . 343 | . 835 | . 492 | 2.437 | . 024 | 6 |


|  | Scale Mean if Item Daleted | Scale Variance if tem Deleted | Corrected Hem-Total Corelation | sauared Multiple Correlation | Cronbach's <br> Alpha if llem Deleted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \cdot 5$ | 51,9792 | 42084 | - 720 | - | $\square .783$ |
| OTP 2 | 52.3229 | 40.474 | . 862 |  | . 775 |
| O1-3 | 525729 | 38900 | . 772 |  | . 758 |
| 010.4 | 527083 | 380.40 | . 782 |  | .75? |
|  | 526354 | 39392 | . 715 |  | . 765 |
| It OTRP | 29.1354 | 12181 | 1.000 |  | 841 |


| itean | Variance | Std. Deviation | N of ltems |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 582708 | 48726 | 6,98039 | 6 |


Erand Mean = 9.7118
7. The covariance matrix is calculated and used in the analysis

ove variance matrix is calculated and used in the analysis.

|  | PDEC 1 | PDEC 2 | PDEC 3 | PDEC 4 | PDEC 5 | TOT PDEC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pliz_! | 1.000 | , 668 | . 536 | . 523 | . 428 | 801 |
| P) - 2 | . 658 | 1,000 | , 569 | . 442 | . 350 | 768 |
| [1: 3 | . 536 | , 569 | 1,000 | .684 | . 387 | 825 |
|  | . 523 | , 442 | ,684 | 1,000 | . 494 | 812 |
| $\cdots: 5$ | . 428 | , 350 | . 387 | . 404 | 1,000 | 687 |
| TS PDEC | . 801 | . 768 | . 825 | . 812 | . 687 | 1000 |




|  |  | \％ | 毋¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 웅 | ¢¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ ¢ |
|  | O응 응 응 | 茓名 |  |
|  | 응 응 N N N | 우N | K\& \& \& \% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \substack{0} \end{array}$ |  |  | ¢\％\％¢ ¢ ¢ |
|  |  |  | ¢8\＆\＆\＆¢ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | un | （i） | $\cdots$ |

## 

a. 11 requested variables entered.
Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

\section*{| $N$ | $R$ | $R$ Square | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Adjusted } R \\ \text { Square }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Std. Error of } \\ \text { the Estimate }\end{array}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $407^{8}$ | 165 | 119 | 2,77737 |}

edictors: (Constant), OtherProprietaryBrandAsset, NameAwareness, BrandAssociation, BrandLoyalty FerceivedQuality ?ependent Variable: PurchaseDecision
ANOVA ${ }^{b}$

| $M 2 \geqslant 1$ | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sic. |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 137,592 | 5 | 27,518 | 3.567 | $.005^{2}$ |
| Regression | 694,241 | 90 | 7,714 |  |  |
| Residual | 831,833 | 95 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

.e. edictors: (Constant), OtherProprietaryBrandAsset, NameAwareness, BrandAssociation, BrandLoyalty PerceivedQuality lependent Variable: FurchaseDecision

| Mod! | Coetlicients |  | eiticients | $t$ | Sig. | Correlations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 | Std Error | Beta |  |  | Zero-order | Partial | Part |
| T- (Constant) | 20,851 | 4.984 |  | 4.183 | . 000 |  |  |  |
| Braraloyalty | . 229 | . 094 | . 240 | 2.431 | . 017 | ,250 | . 248 | ,234 |
| PerceivedQuality | . 078 | . 053 | . 154 | 1.487 | . 140 | . 070 | . 155 | , 143 |
| NameAwareness | . 020 | . 048 | . 043 | . 431 | . 667 | , 026 | . 045 | ,042 |
| BrardAssociation | $\cdots, 132$ | . 044 | -. 301 | -2,968 | . 004 | -. 211 | -. 299 | -. 286 |
| OtherFroprietary BrandAsset | . 160 | . 086 | . 189 | 1.859 | . 066 | ,157 | . 192 | ,179 |

a - ミpendent Variable: PurchaseDecision
Residuals Statistics ${ }^{a}$

|  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Sta. Deviation | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Er sted Value | 26,9134 | 329319 | 30,2083 | 1,20347 | 96 |
| St: redicted Value | -2,738 | 2,263 | , 000 | 1,000 | 96 |
| Ston ard Error of | ,342 | 1,254 | ,671 | ,178 | 96 |
| Acu ted Predicted Value | 26,1607 | 330018 | 30,2072 | 1,21320 | 96 |
| Te: ual | -7,78217 | 7.08659 | . 00000 | 2,70329 | 96 |
| 3t esidual | -2,802 | 2,552 | . 000 | ,973 | 96 |
| St Residua | -2,922 | 2,684 | , 000 | 1,004 | 96 |
| is: $d$ Residual | -8,46452 | 7,83932 | . 00113 | 2,87498 | 96 |
| it x Deleted Residual | -3,054 | 2,782 | -,002 | 1,017 | 96 |
| 1 : Distance | , 447 | 18,388 | 4,948 | 3,350 | 95 |
| O s s Distance | , 000 | . 127 | . 011 | - ,020 | 96 |
| Ve it red Leverage Value | , 005 | . 194 | . 052 | - , 035 | 96 |

?1ars


[^0]:     A)

    Reliability Coefficients
    6 items

    Alpha $=$,6962 $\quad$ Standardized item alpha $=, 6915$

[^1]:    | ilean | Variance | Std. Deviation | $N$ of ltems |
    | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
    | 1087292 | 154,873 | 12,44481 | 11 |

