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#### Abstract

Budiyarto, 2006, The Analysis of Systematic Risk and Expected Return of Stock of Property and Real Estate in Jakarta Stock Exchange. Jogjakarta. Accounting Department. Faculty of Economics. Islamic University of Indonesia.

The main purpose of a public company in conducting its operation is to maximize its shareholders' wealth or expected return. Considering in conducting this goal it will follows by risk. Higher risk that shareholder receive, higher expected return they will ask for. Risk it self divided into 2 : systematic risk and unsystematic risk.

This thesis was using single index method to analyze the relation between systematic risk and expected return of property and real estate in Jakarta Stock Exchange. The researcher used daily stock price from only 5 companies that have the most active stock trading in the current time. 


#### Abstract

ABSTRAKSI

Budiyarto, 2006, Analisa Resiko Sistematik dan Tingkat Keuntungan yang Diharapkan pada Perusahaan Properti dan Real Estat di Bursa Efek Jakarta. Jogjakarta. Jurusan Akuntansi. Fakultas Ekonomi. Universitas Islam Indonesia.

Tujuan utama dari perusahaan publik adalah untuk memaksimalkan keuntungan para pemegang saham atau tingkat keuntungannya. Yang pastinya dalam usahanya untuk mencapai ini pasti akan di ikuti resiko yang kemungkinan akan muncul dalam tahap untuk mencapainya. Dalam hal ini semakin tinggi resiko yang akan di terima, para pemegang saham akan di pastikan meminta tingkat keuntungan yang semakin tinggi pula. Dan itu sebagai dari konsekuennya. Resiko sendiri di bagi menjadi dua yaitu: resiko sistemetik dan resiko yang tidak sistematik.

Dalam penelitian ada atau tidaknya hubungan antara resiko sistematik dan tingkat keuntungan saham pada perusahaan properti dan real estat di Bursa Efek Jakarta digunakanlah metode single indek. Penelitian ini mengunakan data dari harga saham dari lima perusahaan yang paling sering didagangkan.




## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

## I.1. Background of the Study

The term investment identifies the purchase of securities that offers safety of principal and satisfactory yield equal to the risks. Anyone who commits funds to investments should have some rational reasons for doing so. The necessary prerequisites for investing are (1) a basic knowledge of the various alternative investments that are available, (2) access to sources of financial information, (3) an ability to read and to understand financial quotations, and (4) a familiarity with the widely quoted market indexes and averages. The investor is not expected to have the skills and background of a professional security analyst. Yet, the investor stands to gain by keeping abreast of the basic economic and financial information that is available.

Financial securities are created when a corporation or government body either borrows money or sells stock representing partial ownership. Securities markets can be classified as either primary or secondary markets. In the primary market, the proceeds of the sale of the securities go to the issuing corporation or government body. In the secondary market, securities are bought and sold among investors, with none of the proceeds going directly to the corporation or government body. Stock exchange itself is normally used in the U.S. and it is consists of dozen of large and small securities market. There are some facts worth keeping in mind about buying or selling stock on an exchange: (1) when we buy
stock, we buy from another person through a broker. (2) When we sell stock, we sell to another person through a broker. (3) The exchange provides the marketplace for the sale. (4) The exchange neither buys, sells, nor sets the price of our stock. (5) Through their daily operations, the exchange provides a continuous market with a constant release of market information. The stock exchanges are usually auction markets where traders and investors, through brokers, negotiate that are by "asking" prices and making "bids" on securities.

Two terms that are used to describ investors' attitudes in the stock market are bulls and bears (Jackson and Musselman; p.472-473). Bulls are investors who are optimistic about the market and expected it to rise. Since they expect the market to rise, they may be identified as buyers. If a lot of purchase creates an upward market, this is called a bull market. Conversely, bears are investors who are pessimistic about the market and expect it to decline. Bears will tend to sell their stock since they expect prices to decline. When there is more selling pressure in the market than buying pressure, stock prices will decline. This declining market is called a bear market.

In Indonesia, stock exchange consists of Jakarta Stock Exchange and Surabaya Stock Exchange. Jakarta stock exchange concerns about high-level securities trade while Surabaya stock exchange concerns about middle end securities trade.

The object of the observation is on property and real estate sectors. Property and real estate sectors have huge impact to the condition of economic to the country. In most countries, property and real estate sectors become such reflection
to the national economy. In addition, why is it so, because most the countries measure the nation economic growth from the property and real estate sectors whether it has good or bad impact into the nation economic. It means when the property and real estate sectors had advanced technology and high distribute such high national income, it provide the job opportunities, etc. to the nation, those nations could categorize as advanced and/or had high prosperity. On the other hand, if the property and real estate sectors collapse, the nation could categorize as poor country.

Why the stock exchange market has important role to the firm? Besides mentioned above, the other reason is the market provide all the company needs such high capital in order to diversify and/or increase their products volume. For another reason is the market will see that, the firm's management has capability, reliability, and dependability. In addition, the market will see that they have extra ordinary image during their involvement in the stock market.

That is way the property and real estate sectors become such an important role to the national economic growth. For some particular reasons, the writer discusses these sectors as the objective for writing thesis.

## I.2. Problem Identification

The analysis of systematic risk and the expected return of stock in property and real estate sector is the focus of the writer's observation. Systematic risk is the only risks that usually still exist although investor spread out their investment
through portfolio. The relationship between systematic risk and expected return is relevant or not by using single index method.

The researcher put property and real estate sector as investor play stock in Jakarta stock exchange.

The problem that will be analyzed is the relation between the systematic risks with expected return of stock of property and real estate sector in Jakarta Stock Exchange.

Accordingly, the thesis will be focused on "The Analysis of Systematic Risk And Expected Return of Stock of Property and Real Estate Sector in Jakarta Stock Exchange".

## I.3. Problem Formulation

Is there the relationship between systematic risk and expected return of stock of property and real estate sector in Jakarta Stock exchange?

## I.4. Problem Limitation

The limitations of research area are:
The data gathered from daily price of stock of 5 property and real estate firms at Jakarta Stock Exchange on July 2004 - December 2004.

## I.5. Research Objectives

This research is aimed to know the relationship between systematic risks with expected return, whether it has a positive or a negative correlation.

## I.6. Research Contributions

In this research, the writer expects either readers or the researcher will simply understand on investing capital through the market exchange which is already available in either Jakarta or Surabaya Stock Exchange. To find out the relation and/or no relation between risk and the expected return means:

1. This research gives benefits to the investor to choose which stocks have the lowest risk or higher risk.
2. The benefit for the researcher is adding the insight of capital market, especially to know the relationship between systematic risk and expected return and how to compute systematic risk and expected return.

## I.7. Definition of Terms

Systematic risk is a general risk that results from being involved with the securities market. The expected return of stock is the level of gain where the investors expected during they invest the capital in the stock market. The single index model is also called market model. The market model says that the return on security depends on the market portfolios and extends of the responsiveness.

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

On the review of related literature, the researcher mentions the present state of knowledge on the topic, and it consists of the similarities or differences between the present and previous study. Finally, yet importantly, it is discussing the relevance of the topic to the study of what has been reviewed.

### 2.1. Theoretical Review

### 2.1.1. Risks and Diversification

The realistic investor will not invest only in one type of investment, but they diversify their investments that give expectation of higher return and low risk. Strategy of diversification will do with optimal portfolio; it means that diversification of investment with specific amount have higher return. Optimal portfolio can be reached by simulation of efficient securities with specific evaluation procedure. (Sartono \& Zulaihati, 1998)

All investments are risky. Investors do not know exactly the result that will arise from their investment. In this situation, investors face risk on investment they have done. At uncertain condition, investor can only expect the level of risk that will appear. They do not know exactly the expected return.

The investor will diversify their investment in order to reduce risk that will exist. They combine their securities on their investment. On this step, they make some identification in which securities will choose and decide how much fund to sustain each security.

As a prelude to examine different models for risk and return, it is worth exploring what ingredients would make a model a good one. A good risk and return model should do the following:

1. Come up with a measure for risk that is universal. A risk measure, to be useful, has to apply to all investments, whether stocks, bond, or real estate, since they all compete for the same investment dollar. A good risk and return model will come up with one measure of risk that applies to all investments, financial or real.
2. Specify what types of risk are rewarded and what types are not. It is an accepted part of investments that not all risks are rewarded, and a good model should be able to distinguish between risk that is rewarded and risk that is not and provide an intuitive rationale for the distinction.
3. Standardize risk measure, to enable analysis and comparison. While risk is always relative, a good risk measure should be standardized in such away that an investor, when looking at the measure or risk for any one investment, should be able to come to a conclusion about the risk of that investment relative to others.
4. Translate the risk measure into an expected return. One of the objectives in measuring risk is to come up with an estimate of an expected return for an investment. This expected return then becomes the benchmark, which determines whether the investment is a good or a bad one. It is not sufficient for a model to say that higher-risk investments should yield higher expected returns, without providing a specific estimate of the risk premium.
5. Work. The ultimate test of a good model is that it works, that is, it provides a measure of risk that at least in the long term and across the cross-section of the investments is positively correlated with returns. A stronger test would be to examine whether the actual returns again the long term, are equal to the expected returns derived from the model. (Aswath Damodaran, p. 20-21).

In manner of portfolio balance, Mayer, Duesenberry, and Aliber (p. 25) divided risk into several types. One type of the risk is a default risk, that is, the risk that the borrower will simply not repay the loans, either out of dishonesty plain inability to do so. Another type of risk, called purchasing-power risk, is the risk that, due to an unexpectedly high inflation rate, the future interest payments, and the principal of the loan when finally repaid, will have less purchasing power than the lender anticipated at the time the loan was made. A third type of risk is interest rate risk that is the risk that the market value of a security will fall because interest rates will rise.

The other writers say investment that contains risk because of several factors such as : interest rate risk, purchasing risk, bull bear market risk, management risk, default risk, liquidity risk, collability risk, convertibility risk, political risk, and industrial risk.

On the other hand, Jackson and Musselman divided the type of the risk into two. There are systematic risk and non-systematic risk (1992; p.468-469). They said systematic risk results from being involved with the market and the general political and economic forces to which the market is subjected. An investor in
common stocks must accept, for example, the possibility that the economy may enter a recession that will cause the market to go down. Because most common stocks are affected by what happens to the market as a whole, a market decline will usually cause a drop in the price of an individual security. In another word, systematic risk is general risk that results from being involved with the securities market. Unsystematic risk, or diversifiable risk, is the risk that is unique to a particular firm and/or industry. Unsystematic risk can be dealt by diversifying since a portfolio with many different firms and industries will have only market risk. See risk classification on the table below:

Figure 2.1 (Risk classification)


The only risk that is relevant for gaining return is systematic risk, because this risk cannot be diversified, so the investor will have risk burning. The unsystematic risk is not relevant for gaining return, because it can disappeared away trough spreading many investments into portfolio. Therefore, unsystematic risk can be eliminated without canceled the investment, so there is no risk that appears in investment. The only risk that is priced by rational investor is systematic risk, because that risk cannot be eliminated by diversification Because of that, researcher chooses systematic risk in valuing risk and expected return of stock of industrial sectors in Jakarta Stock Exchange.

On the other hand, Williams divided risk into two types: systematic risk and non-systematic risk (1978; p.65). Systematic risk is a risk that is attributable to broad macro factors affecting all securities. For instance: war, inflation, recessions, higher interest rate, etc. Non-systematic risk is risk attributable to factors unique to securities. Spreading the investment can eliminate this risk. For instance: lawsuit, strikes, and successful and unsuccessful marketing program, winning or losing a major contract.

Diversification can reduce risk to arbitrarily low level. The reason is that with all risk sources independent, the exposure to any particular source of risk is reduced to a negligible level. (Zvi Bodie, Alex Kane, Alan J. Marcus, 2002; p.208). When common sources of risk affect all firms, however, even extensive diversification cannot eliminate risk.

In figure 2.2, portfolio standard deviation falls as the number of securities increases, but it cannot be reduced to zero. The risk that remains even after extensive diversification is called market risk, the risk that is attributable to market wide risk sources. Such those risk is also called systematic risk, or nondiversifiable risk. In contrast, the risk that can be eliminated by diversification is called unique risk, firm-specific risk, nonsystematic risk, or diversifiable risk. (Zvie Bodie, Alex Kane, Alan J. Marcus, 2002; p.208)

FIGURE 2.2 (Portfolio risk as a function of the number of stocks in the portfolio)


Investor usually expects the higher return with the lower risk. They do not like the risk in all investment, consequently they are faithful with their activities. The value that they expect from return called mean while risk can be drawn as standard deviation. The higher the risks of securities indicate the higher expected return while the lower the risks reflect to the smaller standard deviation.

In the manner of diversification, the investors usually spreading their investment in order to reduce risks that might be come up in the future. In another word, the investors make portfolio.

That is why, the investors need to understand to calculate the expected return and standard deviation if the investors combine several investments, or hold portfolio. The calculation of the expected return from certain portfolio is quiet easy, because it is the average of the expected return from each security. On the other hand, the standard deviation calculation is quite complicated because there
will be a correlation element between the expected return from each security. Securities diversification enforces risk to be lower. The good strategy of portfolio diversification is pertaining in different return with diverse movement of securities.

Diversification can be divided into two:

1. Random diversification

Random or naïve diversification refers to the act of randomly diversifying without regard to relevant investment characteristics such as expected return and industry classification. (Charles P. Jones, 1998; p.181).
2. Efficient diversification.

Efficient diversification is a mathematical procedure, which searches through a set data provided by security analyst to find that combination of securities, which will minimize portfolio risk for a desired level of portfolio return. (Robert C. Radcliffe, 1982; p.160)

In random diversification, investors do not consider the investment attribute, such as negative correlation of expected return and also industry segmentation. Unfortunately, the advantage of random diversification does not pass, although we add the number of securities. In the first diversification, the risk drop as higher as we add more securities, but at one point the risk reduction is small. The expected standard deviation of portfolio returns does not depart as large as we add more securities. Efficient diversification is the efficient way to reach minimum level of risk at any level of desired return.

### 2.1.2 Portfolio Theory

The investment process consists of two broad tasks. One task is security and market analysis, by which we assess the risk and expected return attributes of the entire set of possible investment vehicles. The second task is the formation of an optimal portfolio of asset. This task involves the determination of the best riskreturn opportunities available from feasible investment portfolios and the choice of the best portfolio from a feasible set. (Zvie Bodie, 2002, p. 154). The last explanation called as portfolio theory.

Portfolio is emphasized on the spreading investment on different sectors or areas. It means, investor do their work by doing investment into many segment of business. The use of portfolio theory on investment will reduce the risk followed by higher expected return.

To take the full information set into account, we used alternative approach based on portfolio theory as developed by Markowitz. Portfolio theory is normative, meaning that it tells investors how they should act to diversify optimally. It is based on a small set assumptions, including:

1. A single investment period; for example, for one year.
2. Liquidity of positions; for example, when there are no transaction costs.
3. Investor preferences based only on a portfolio's expected return and risk, as measured by variance or standard deviation. (Charles P. Jones, 1998; p 205)

Efficient portfolio will be identified as portfolio, which gives higher expected return with certain risk or gives low risk with specific expected return.

Efficient portfolio can be determined by selecting expected return and reducing the risk or determine the level of risk and than enlarge the level of expected return. Rational investor will choose efficient portfolio because it is designed by optimization of two dimensions. The dimensions are expected return and portfolio risk. The first step in order to optimize portfolio is to determine the efficiency of portfolio. The efficient portfolio can be identified as optimal portfolio. (Jogiyanto, 1998)

The risk investment will be selected by unique investor to gain optimal portfolio. Designing investment can be divided into two parts: (Sartono \& Zulaihati, 1998)

1. Maximize portfolio ratio by comparing other risky free assets with expected value and standard deviation at excess return to beta.
2. Decide investment allocation in risky portfolio.

Portfolio risk is determined by standard deviation. The higher standard deviation, the higher the risk that will be faced. As explained in chapter one, the risk that is realistic to the analysis of investment of stock is a systematic risk. Systematic risk cannot be hidden anyway through diversification of stock investment.

Combining stock in portfolios will reduce risk but it cannot reduce systematic risk. Therefore, in analyzing portfolio standard deviation can not be used for measuring but use systematic risk analyzing can. If we use standard deviation, it means we measure the total risk or systematic risk plus nonsystematic risk.

### 2.1.3. The Expected Return and Risk Definition

In every investment decision, it will follow the elation between risk and the rate of expected return. An investment risk is the rate of the expected return that is not achieved at a given period or there is the rate of the expected return is less than what it should be. Meanwhile, the rate of expected return is the level of profit or gain where the investors expected. It means the higher of return will follow by higher risk.

The important assumption within expected return and risk is every individual will face there will be choices of investment and they prefer to lower risk with provide the same level of expected return (Sartono, Agus, 1996).

In this case the investors can be classified into three types:

1. Risk seeker is an individual or investors that have gut to face all the risk that come up during their activities. If an individual or the investor faces two options of investment that have different degree level of risk in the same level of expected return, he/she would choose the investment that has higher risk.
2. Risk averter is a person or investors that tends to avoid risk that might come up during the investment activities. This kind of risk averter would choose the lower risk with the same level of expected return.
3. Risk neutrality is a group of investor or individual that has neutral attitude to risk. It means the investor will ask for more return of the investment for every single risk that rises during their investment.

Figure 2.3 Risk Taker Categories


### 2.1.4. The Expected Return of Stock

All investors have huge wish to get higher expected return in their investment, but they also face with uncertain condition to estimate the expected return. Thus, it needs a formula to analyze and measure the expected return. The formula in single index method can be written:

$$
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Ri})=\alpha \mathrm{i}+\beta \mathrm{i} \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Rm})
$$

E (Ri) : Expected return of stock
$\forall \mathrm{i} \quad: \quad$ a term that represents the non market component of the return on asset.
$\exists \mathrm{I} \quad$ : a term that relates the change in asset return to the change in the market portfolio
$\mathrm{Rm} \quad$ : return on the market portfolio

### 2.1.5. The Single Index Model

Stock market activities give many benefits. However there are only few people know about its existence. Consequently, they could not enjoy the benefits offered by the stock market. A lot of them involve in stocks trading uses their gambling ability; in other word, they choose stock randomly, without paying attention to the character of investment. A rational investor is the one who success in choosing a stock, which gives optimal gain in a certain risk. In addition, it depends on the investor's preference of different return and risks. In order to gain optimal portfolio, an investor should have a tool of analyses. One of the portfolio analysis tools is Single Index Model.

In a single index method, the market impact has huge influence in investment. When the market is in a good condition, the investment is also favorable to do so. Immediately, when market changes to a bad condition, the investment also drops sequently. These case market fluctuations impress the price of stock in stock exchange to drop or increase.

The step-by-step formula that arrange the single index method: (Zvie Bodie, Alex Kane, Alan J Marcus, 2002)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=E\left(r_{i}\right)+m_{i}+e_{i} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $E\left(r_{i}\right)$ is the expected return on the security as of the beginning of the holding period, mi is the impact of unanticipated macro events on the security's return during the period, and $e_{i}$ is the impact of unanticipated firm-specific
events. Both $m_{i}$ and $e_{i}$ have zero expected values because each represents the impact of unanticipated events, which by definition must average out to zero.

We can gain further insight by recognizing that different firms have different sensitivities to macroeconomic events. Thus if we denote the unanticipated components of the macro factor by F , and denote the responsiveness of the security i to macro events by beta. $\beta_{i}$ then the macro component of security i is $\mathrm{mi}=\beta_{i} F$ and then equation 1.1 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=E\left(r_{i}\right)+\beta_{i} F+e_{i} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 1.2 is known as a single- factor model for stock returns.
According to the index model, we can separate the actual or realized rate of return on a security into macro (systematic) and micro (firm-specific) components in a manner similar to that in equation 1.2. We write the rate of return on each security as a sum of three components :

1. The stocks expected return if the market is neutral that is if the market's excess return, $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is zero. ( $\forall \mathrm{i}$ )
2. The component of return due to movements in the overall market; $\exists \mathrm{I}$ is the security's responsiveness to market movements. ( $\exists \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{f}}$,)
3. The unexpected component due to unexpected events that is relevant only to this security (firm specific). ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ )

The holding period excess return on the stock can stated as:

$$
r_{i}-r_{f}=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}\left(r_{m}-r_{f}\right)+e_{i}
$$

To denote excess returns over the risk-free rate by capital R can be seen in the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} R_{m}+e_{i} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 1.3 says that each security has two sources of risk: market or systematic risk, that is attributable to its sensitivity to macroeconomic factors as reflected in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}$ and firm-specific risk, as reflected in $e$. If we denote the variance of the excess return on the market, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}$, as $\sigma^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{M}}$, then we can break the variance of the rate of return on each stock into two components:

| 1. The variance attributable to the uncertainty of the common | Symbol |
| :--- | :--- |
| Macroeconomic factor | $\beta_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \sigma_{M}^{2}$ |
| 2. The variance attributable to firm-specific uncertainty | $\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ |

The covariance between $R_{M}$ and $e_{i}$ is zero because $e_{i}$ is defined as firm specific, that is, independent of movements in the market. Hence the variance of the rate of return on security $i$ equals with the sum of the variances due to the common and the firm-specific components:

$$
\sigma_{i}^{2}=\beta_{i}^{2} \sigma_{M}^{2}+\sigma^{2}\left(e_{i}\right)
$$

What about the covariance between the rates of return on two stocks? This may be written:

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(R_{i}, R_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Cov}\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} R_{m}+e_{i} \alpha_{j}+\beta_{j} R_{m}+e_{j}\right)
$$

But since $\forall_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\forall_{\mathrm{j}}$ are constants, their covariance with any variable is zero. Further, the firm-specific terms ( $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) are assumed uncorrelated with the market and with each other. Therefore, the only source of variance in the returns between the two stocks derives from their common dependence on the common factor, $R_{M}$. In other words, the covariance between stocks is due to the fact that the returns on each depend in part on economy wide conditions. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}\left(R_{i}, R_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Cov}\left(\beta_{i} R_{M}, \beta_{j} R_{M}\right)=\beta_{i} \beta_{j} \sigma_{M}^{2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These calculations show that if we have $n$ estimates of the expected excess returns $\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
$n$ estimates of the sensitivity coefficients, $\exists_{i}$
n estimates of the firm-specific variances, $\Phi^{2}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
1 estimates for the variance of the (common) macroeconomic factor, $\Phi^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{M}}$ It is easy to see why the index model is such a useful abstraction. For large universes of the securities, the number of estimates required for the Markowitz procedure using the index model is only a small fraction of what otherwise would he needed. In contrast, the index model suggests a simple way to compute covariances. Covariance's among securities are due to the influence of the single common factor, represented by the market index return, and can easily estimated using equation 1.4.

The index model offers insight into portfolio diversification. Suppose that we choose an equally weighted portfolio of $n$ securities. The excess rate of return on each security is given by

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}+\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}
$$

Similarly, we can write the excess return on the portfolio of stocks as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=\alpha_{\mathrm{p}}+\beta_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{p}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the number of stocks included in this portfolio increases; the part of the portfolio risk is attributable to the non-market factors becomes ever smaller. This part of the risk is diversified away. In contrast, the market risk remains, regardless of the number of firms combined into portfolio.

To understand these results, the excess rate of return on this equally weighted portfolio, for which each portfolio weight $w_{i}=1 / n$, is

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{P} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} R_{i}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} R_{M}+e_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}+\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}\right) R_{M}+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing equations 1.5 and 1.6 , we see that the portfolio has sensitivity to the market given by

$$
\beta_{P}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}
$$

Which is the average of the individual $\exists_{i}$ s. It has a non-market return component of a constant (intercept)

$$
\alpha_{P}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}
$$

This is the average of the individual alphas, plus the zero mean variables

$$
e_{p}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}
$$

Which is the average of the firm-specific components. Hence the portfolio's variance is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{P}^{2}=\beta_{P}^{2} \sigma_{M}^{2}+\sigma^{2}\left(e_{P}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The systematic risk component of the portfolio variance, which we defined as the component that depends on market wide movements, is $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{P}^{2} \sigma_{M}^{2}$ and depends on the sensitivity coefficients of the individual securities. This part of this risk depends on portfolio beta and $\sigma_{M}^{2}$ and will persist regardless of the extent of portfolio diversification. No matter how many stocks are held, their common exposure to the market will be reflected in portfolio systematic risk.

In contrast, the nonsystematic component of the portfolio variance is $\Phi^{2}\left(e_{p}\right)$ and is attributable to firm-specific components, $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Since these $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{s}$ are independent, and all have zero expected value, the law of averages can be applied to conclude that as more and more stocks are added to the portfolio, the firm-specific component tend to cancel out, resulting in ever-smaller non market risk. Such risk thus termed as diversifiable. To see this more rigorously, examine the formula for the variance of the equally weighted portfolio of firm specific components. Since the $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{S}$ are uncorrelated, therefore the formula is:

$$
\sigma^{2}\left(e_{P}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} \sigma^{2}\left(e_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}^{-2} \sigma(e)
$$

$\sigma^{-2}(e)$ is the average of the firm specific variances. Considering this average is independent of n , when n gets large, $\sigma^{2}\left(e_{p}\right)$ becomes negligible.

To summarize, as diversification increases, the total variance of a portfolio approaches the systematic variance, and defined as the variance of the market factor multiplied by the square of the portfolio sensitivity coefficient, $\beta_{P}^{2}$. This shown in figure 2.3

FIGURE 2.4 (The variance of a portfolio with risk coefficient $b$ in the


Figure 2.2 shows that as more and more securities are combined into a portfolio, the portfolio variance decreases because of the diversification of firm specific risk. However, the power of diversification is limited. Even for every large $n$, part of the risk remains because of the exposure of virtually all assets to the common, or market factor. Therefore, this systematic risk is said to be non diversifiable.

### 2.2. Theoretical Framework

Investors usually hope that their investment get higher expected return with lower risk. The higher of beta will be accompanied by higher expected return. Portfolio will be categorized as efficient, if in the same level of risk can give higher expected return or same expected return with the lower risk. (Sharpe, Alexander and Bailey, 1995).

### 2.3. Previous Study

The analysis by Black, Jensen and Scholes (1972), showed that there was higher correlation between beta and excess return.

Base on the analysis by Praningsih (1991), on the banking sector during January - December 1990, there was no relationship between risk and expected return.

The analysis by Ardiyanti (1991), on the medical firms during 1989 until 1990, showed that when regression analysis occurred there was no relationship between expected return and risk.

The analysis by Rina Milyati (1998), on snack factories, there was a relationship between systematic risk and expected return.

The analysis by Daud Al Wadud (2002), on the LQ 45 shares analysis in diversification to return and risk during January 2001 - January 2002, showed that there was positive relation between the return and the risk. It means the relation between those two factors have a significant relation.

The analysis by Eni Rahma Zaenah (1997), on an analysis return and risk of the top 20 gainers share. It took sample for 25 shares which were included in the LQ 45 during July 1996 until December 1997 by using the single index of Eiton and Grober. The coefficient correlation among return and risk were significant.

The analysis by Gita Danupraja (1998), which took for 70 shares of the firms that listed in the Jakarta Stock Exchange, showed that there was a significant relation between return and risk.

In the previous research, that researcher replicated applied Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and the hypothesis formulation was there a relationship between systematic risk and expected return. The result of analysis stated as the hypothesis formulation. The analysis was bearing with good market index.

In the current analysis, the researcher used single index method accompanied by bad market index that was caused by economic fluctuation. Market index has an impact on CAPM and single index model, because when market index rising, the price of stock tended to rise. Considering the statement being analyzed by previous research stated in above, and the market fluctuation bearing in this current analysis accompanied by bad market index, the hypothesis is:
$H o=$ There is no significant relationship between risk and expected return.

## CHAPTER III

## RESEARCH METHOD

On this chapter, focusing on the research outlines the procedures used to gather and analyze the data. Therefore the researcher try to translate the conceptual scope and methodology into application of course this is coming from the problem statements or hypotheses will ultimately be tracked back to the research methodology.

### 3.1. Research Method

The empirical study in this research is single index model. Method to gather the samples is based on the most active stock by trading frequency according to Jakarta Statistic Exchange 2003.

### 3.2. Research Subject

The research subject is property and real estate sector. The data needed is secondary data of daily price of stock.

The population that researcher take is property and real estate sector. It is consists of 33 property and real estate firms on the period of July 2004-December 2004.

The data gathered from The Jakarta Stock Exchange Statistics 2004, Kompas and Pojok Bursa Efek Jakarta in UII.

The method to gather sample is most active stocks by trading frequency sampling. Researcher founds 5 property and real estate firms that suitable to this condition. The amount of 5 organizations can represent the amount of population, because the amount of sample that takes is the most active trading frequency stocks run in the Jakarta Stock Exchange. So, variability of data that contain important information has equal chance in analyzing. The samples of research are:

1. Ciputra Development Tbk.
2. Ciputra Surya Tbk.
3. Summarecon Agung Tbk.
4. Jaka Artha Graha Tbk.
5. Suryainti Permata Tbk.

### 3.3. Research Variable

a. Dependent variable

The dependent variable is expected return
b. Independent variable

The independent variable is systematic risk

### 3.4. Technique of Data Analysis

a. Correlation analysis

The formula to measure the strength of the association between systematic risk and expected return is: (Suad Husnan, 1998)
n : number of data
x : systimatic risk ( $\beta$ )
y: expected return $(\alpha)$
r: coefficient of correlation
b). Regression analysis

The formula to define the relationship between systematic risk and expected return is: (Suad Husnan, 1998)
$R i=\alpha i+\beta i R m$

Ri : return on asset
$\alpha \mathrm{i}$ : a term that represents the non market component of the return on asset.
$\beta \mathrm{i}:$ a term that relates the change in asset return to the change in the market portfolio.

Rm : return on the market portfolio.
$\beta$ here also represent as risk. $\beta$ could represent by:
$\beta>0=$ stock has influenced by market index (it means if the market index at a good level, the stock price also have good condition).
$\beta<0=$ stock has negative influenced by market index (reversing above).

To obtain $\mathrm{Ri}, \mathrm{Rm}, \beta, \alpha$, and $\Xi \mathrm{Ri}$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ri}=\frac{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}-1}{\mathrm{Pt}-1} \\
& \mathrm{Rm}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{mt}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{mt}-1}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{mt}-1}} \\
& \beta \mathrm{i}=\frac{\mathrm{n} \Xi \mathrm{RiRm}-\Xi \mathrm{RiRm}}{\mathrm{n} \mathrm{Rm}^{2}-(\Xi \mathrm{Rm})^{2}} \\
& \alpha \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{Ri}-\beta \mathrm{Rm} \\
& \Xi \mathrm{Ri}=\alpha+\beta(\mathrm{Rm}-\alpha \mathrm{i}) \\
& \mathrm{Pt} \\
& \mathrm{Pt}-1=\text { Price of stock at } \mathrm{t} \text { period } \\
& \mathrm{Ri} \quad=\text { Price of stock at } \mathrm{t}-1 \text { period } \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{mt}-1}=\text { Stock price indexes at } \mathrm{t}-1 \text { period } \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{mt}}=\text { Stock price indexes at } \mathrm{t} \text { period } \\
& \mathrm{Rm} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}}=\text { Expected return market portfolio } \\
& \exists \mathrm{i} \quad=\text { Systematic risk of stock i } \\
& \alpha \mathrm{i} \\
& =\text { Independent of market expected return }
\end{aligned}
$$

r can be classified as:
$\mathrm{r}=1$ variable has strong relationship
$\mathrm{r}=0$ variable do not have relationship
$\beta$ here also represent as risk. $\beta$ could represent by:
$\beta>0=$ stock has influenced by market index (it means if the market index at a good level, the stock price also have good condition).
$\beta<0=$ stock has negative influenced by market index (reversing above).

## CHAPTER IV

## DATA ANALYSIS

As one of the economic instruments, the capital market can not stand by itself because it will be influenced by the environments whether in micro economics such as annual report, dividend payment, the company strategic changing in share holder meeting, and it will be observed by the capital marketers. Another factor is the macro economics such as monetary policies, fiscal policies and/or government regulation in finance sector. The capital market sensitivity level will grow not only based on two factors mentioned above. Political issues also give positive and negative impacts to the sensitivity of the capital market. In another word, if a country has good political condition, the investors will see it as a chance to invest their capital with the high expectation return and the opposite.

Jakarta Stock Exchange to investors is like an institution which has strategic values, not only an economically but politically as well. Because the Jakarta Stock Exchange can be an aspect as a measurement of the national political stabilities (country risk). The investors will see the country is appropriate or not from the IHSG in Jakarta Stock Exchange.

When the IHSG high fluctuate with trend decreasing therefore the foreign strategic values will decrease too. We can see it in the year 1998 when the IHSG from 750 point fell into 250 point. It indicates that the national stabilities did not support the economics development and made the investors neglected and run away from Indonesia.

### 4.1. Risk Definition

Risk is possible to happen in the unexpected event (Brigham and Gapenski, 1996). Within investment decision, risk is one of the essential factor which has to remain high or low risk during investment term and will impact the expected return itself. According to Jones (1996) risk is possibly actual return in investment with a different expected return. Higher expected return will follow higher risk as well.

In order to calculate the total risk we can use the deviation standard, because the risk can be eliminated by diversification which is known as unsystematic risk. Therefore we can use the risk that can not be eliminated by diversification known as systematic risk or market risk.

Every single firm has different systematic risks on their own. According to Jones (Jogiyanto, 1998) beta is a relative measurement from a systematic risk in individual share in terms of the market as a whole which is measured from the fluctuate return.

### 4.2. Instruments

On this analysis, the researcher analyses systematic risk ( $\beta$ ) and expected return $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Ri})$ using excel, T table and SPSS program because of huge amount of data and for the accuracy data in order to give the finest and accurate result the researcher uses the computer program mentioned above.

In the first analysis on this case, the researcher measures the expected return of each real estate and property and market index of stock in Jakarta Stock

Exchange (JSX). Secondly, the researcher uses regression analyses in order to measure expected return and market index, to get $\alpha$ (a term that represents the non market component of the return on asset) and $\beta$ (systematic risk). After that, the researcher measures expected return of stock $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Ri})$. The final analysis is to measure regression and correlation of expected return as dependent variable $(\mathrm{Y})$ and systematic risk as independent variable (X). Correlation analysis is to measure the strength of the relation between systematic risk and expected return. On the other hand, to define the relationship between the systematic risk and expected return the researcher uses regression analyses.

### 4.3. Expected Return of Stock

The formula to get expected return of stock is:

$$
\mathrm{Ri}=\frac{\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}-1}{\mathrm{Pt}-1}
$$

Pt $=$ Price of stock at t period
Pt-1 $=$ Price of stock at $\mathrm{t}-1$ period
Ri $=$ Return on security i

### 4.4. Expected Return of Market Portfolio

The formula to get expected return of market portfolio is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{m t} & =\frac{P_{m t}-P_{m t}-1}{P_{m t}-1} \\
R_{m t} \quad & =\text { expected return of market portfolio. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{mt}}=$ market index at t period.
$P_{m t-1}=$ market index at $t-1$ period.

The Table 4.1 (Expected Return of Portfolio during July -December 2003)

| OBS | RM | OBS | RM | OBS | RM | OBS | RM | OBS | RM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0140 | 28 | -0.0052 | 55 | 0.0019 | 82 | 0.0093 | 109 | -0.0193 |
| 2 | -0.0035 | 29 | 0.0032 | 56 | 0.0113 | 83 | 0.0152 | 110 | -0.0100 |
| 3 | 0.0209 | 30 | 0.0153 | 57 | -0.0068 | 84 | -0.0019 | 111 | -0.0147 |
| 4 | 0.0312 | 31 | -0.0076 | 58 | -0.0018 | 85 | 0.0036 | 112 | 0.0185 |
| 5 | 0.0044 | 32 | -0.0030 | 59 | 0.0037 | 86 | 0.0206 | 113 | 0.0192 |
| 6 | -0.0154 | 33 | -0.0053 | 60 | -0.0052 | 87 | 0.0102 | 114 | 0.0169 |
| 7 | 0.0018 | 34 | 0.0031 | 61 | -0.0042 | 88 | -0.0023 | 115 | -0.0067 |
| 8 | -0.0047 | 35 | -0.0007 | 62 | 0.0009 | 89 | 0.0060 | 116 | 0.0098 |
| 9 | -0.0013 | 36 | -0.0043 | 63 | 0.0089 | 90 | -0.0055 | 117 | 0.0091 |
| 10 | -0.0162 | 37 | -0.0015 | 64 | 0.0192 | 91 | 0.0142 | 118 | 0.0013 |
| 11 | 0.0014 | 38 | -0.0140 | 65 | 0.0246 | 92 | 0.0192 | 119 | 0.0112 |
| 12 | 0.0144 | 39 | -0.0097 | 66 | 0.0057 | 93 | 0.0070 | 120 | 0.0064 |
| 13 | -0.0024 | 40 | 0.0048 | 67 | -0.0061 | 94 | 0.0096 | 121 | 0.0005 |
| 14 | 0.0032 | 41 | 0.0156 | 68 | -0.0073 | 95 | 0.0064 | 122 | -0.0042 |
| 15 | 0.0060 | 42 | 0.0084 | 69 | 0.0069 | 96 | 0.0202 | $\square$ RM | 0.3323 |
| 16 | 0.0004 | 43 | 0.0022 | 70 | 0.0052 | 97 | 0.0024 |  |  |
| 17 | 0.0064 | 44 | 0.0270 | 71 | -0.0127 | 98 | -0.0059 |  |  |
| 18 | -0.0060 | 45 | 0.0067 | 72 | 0.0165 | 99 | 0.0100 |  |  |
| 19 | -0.0033 | 46 | 0.0080 | 73 | -0.0041 | 100 | -0.0012 |  |  |
| 20 | 0.0012 | 47 | -0.0022 | 74 | -0.0024 | 101 | 0.0142 |  |  |
| 21 | 0.0010 | 48 | 0.0024 | 75 | -0.0037 | 102 | 0.0086 |  |  |
| 22 | -0.0052 | 49 | 0.0031 | 76 | -0.0012 | 103 | 0.0117 |  |  |
| 23 | -0.0099 | 50 | -0.0082 | 77 | -0.0148 | 104 | -0.0163 |  |  |
| 24 | 0.0105 | 51 | 0.0193 | 78 | -0.0079 | 105 | 0.0018 |  |  |
| 25 | 0.0013 | 52 | 0.0141 | 79 | 0.0199 | 106 | 0.0033 |  |  |
| 26 | 0.0049 | 53 | 0.0080 | 80 | -0.0049 | 107 | -0.0073 |  |  |
| 27 | -0.0106 | 54 | -0.0030 | 81 | -0.0062 | 108 | -0.0158 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.5. Systematic Risk and Expected Return

Systematic risk ( $\beta$ ) and a term that represents the non-market component of the return on asset $(\alpha)$ can be obtained by regression analyses. After that we measure expected return of stock $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Ri})$. The table of systematic risk and expected return of stock is outlined below:

Table 4.2 Systematic Risk and Expected Return of Stock

| NO | FIRMS NAME | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\sum(\mathrm{Rm})$ | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Ri})=\alpha \mathrm{i}+\beta \sum(\mathrm{Rm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | CIPUTRA DEVELOPMENT Tbk. <br> (CTRA) | 0.011 | 0.593 | 0.3323 | 0.20805 |
| 2 | CIPUTRA SURYA Tbk. <br> (CTRS) | 0.021 | -1.479 | 0.3323 | -0.47047 |
| 3 | SUMMAECON AGUNG Tbk. <br> (SMRA) | 0.013 | -0.256 | 0.3323 | -0.07207 |
| 4 | JAKA ARTHA GRAHA Tbk. <br> (JAKA) | 0.006 | 0.240 | 0.3323 | 0.08575 |
| 5 | SURYAINTI PERMATA Tbk. <br> (SIIP) | 0.013 | -0.589 | 0.3323 | -0.18272 |

From the table above, we can see the highest systematic risk of stock is Ciputra Development Tbk. (0.539). It means the expected return of Ciputra Development Tbk. will be changed following the market index fluctuation. The coefficient changed is higher than 0.539 . The stock of Ciputra Development Tbk. is aggressive following market index fluctuation. It is indicated by $\beta$ which is nearly to 1 .

The expected return of Ciputra Development Tbk. that is not influenced by market $(\alpha)$ is 0.011 . While the expected return of stock that is influenced by market $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Ri})$ is 0.3323 . In this regard, we get lower expected return for stocks that have higher risk. This reality is caused by bad market index (0.3323). The stocks of Real Estate and property that have lower risk get higher expected return of stock. The example is Ciputra Surya Tbk. The stock of Ciputra Surya Tbk. is defensive stock. This stock is not aggressive to follow market index. In the case of bad market index, investments that have higher risk will get lower expected return of stock. But, investments that have lower risk will get higher expected return.

Table 4.3 Comparisons Systematic Risk and Expected return of Stock

| NO | FIRMS NAME | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\sum(\mathrm{Rm})$ | $\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{Ri})=\alpha \mathrm{i}+\beta \sum(\mathrm{Rm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | CIPUTRA DEVELOPMENT Tbk. <br> (CTRA) | 0.011 | 0.593 | 0.3323 | 0.20805 |
| 2 | JAKA ARTHA GRAHA Tbk. <br> (JAKA) | 0.006 | 0.240 | 0.3323 | 0.08575 |
| 3 | SUMMARECON AGUNG Tbk. | 0.013 | -0.256 | 0.3323 | -0.07207 |
| 4 | SURYAINTI PERMATA Tbk. <br> (SIIP) | 0.013 | -0.589 | 0.3323 | -0.18272 |
| 5 | CIPUTRA SURYA Tbk. <br> (CTRS) | 0.021 | -1.479 | 0.3323 | -0.47047 |

From the table above among five firms the most active stock in the Jakarta Stock Exchange, we can sure that Ciputra Development Tbk. is better than other four firms stock. It shows by Ciputra Development's beta 0.593 is higher than the other. Even though 0.593 less than 1 and it means the Ciputra Development share is defensive share and less sensitivity to the market but it shows better than others.

On the other hand, Ciputra Surya Tbk. is the worst among four active stocks in the Jakarta Stock Exchange. - 1.479 shows that the Ciputra Surya Share is lowest than other and investors will not invest their capital in the firm that has such a calculation. Among systematic risk and expected return is not step a side.

### 4.6. Regression and Coefficient of Correlation Analysis

To measure the strength of the association between systematic risk and expected return of stock, we use coefficient of correlation. While to define the relationship of systematic risk variable and expected returns of stock variable, we use regression analysis. Systematic risk variable is defined as independent variable ( x ) and expected return of stock variable is defined as dependent variable (y).

The regression equation from SPSS program is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y} & =0,011+(-0,003) \mathrm{X} \\
\mathbf{t} & =-1.550
\end{aligned}
$$

Probability $=0.219$
$\beta$ is $-0,003$ indicates that the change of independent variable is called as systematic risk at one unit and will be followed by the change of dependent variable which is called as expected return as $-0,003$. Because $\beta$ is negative, so every addition of one unit of systematic risk will be followed by the decreasing of expected return as $-0,003$.

### 4.7. Hypothesis Analysis

$\mathrm{Ho}=0$ There is no relationship between systematic risk and expected return of stock.

Ho \# 0 There is a relationship between systematic risk and expected return of stock.

Ho will be accepted if $\mathrm{t}_{\text {analysis }}<\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$
Ho will be rejected if $\mathrm{t}_{\text {analysis }}>\mathrm{t}_{\text {table }}$
$t$ analysis is $-1,550$, while $t_{\text {table }}$ with confidence coefficient is $95 \%$ or $5 \%$. The degree of freedom is $(n-2)$ or 5-2=3. From the degree of freedom, we find $t_{\text {table }}$ is 2.3534. We accept Ho and reject Ha, because $t_{\text {analysis }}(-1.550)<(1,771) t_{\text {table }}$.

It indicates that there is no relationship between systematic risk and expected return of stock. The other reasons to accept Ho are:

1. $\beta$ is $-0,003$, it indicates that every additional of one unit of systematic risk will be followed by the decreasing of expected return as $-0,003$. So there is no relationship between systematic risk and expected return.
2. Coefficient correlation is $-0,667$, it indicates that it is perfectly related in negative linear way.

In this regard, we can make some conclusion that there is no relationship between systematic risk and expected return. Usually in theory, higher risk will be followed by higher expected return. But in this sector we do not get any relationship between risk and expected return. This result is caused by the bad characteristic of stock. It can been from the negative market index.

Some statistically reasons or explanations are from the data quantities and from the correlation instruments. First reason is the data quantities. The amount of the sample is too small which are only five firms that have the most trading stock in the market. Therefore, to reach a significant value is quite difficult, considering the $n$ critical value (sample) is big.

Second reason is the correlation instruments. The number -0.667 is including in the strong correlation, in another word, it has a good correlation between the systematic risk and the expected return, but in this case there is no evidence or prove significantly.

### 4.8. Implication and Analysis the Research

Based on the simple linear regression analysis and correlation analysis, there is a significant implication and relation on the systematic risk (beta) to
manufacture companies which are listed in the Jakarta Stock Exchange (JSX). The result of the correlation test shows that there is no significant relation between the systematic risk and the expected return on $5 \%$ significant level. Therefore, research hypothesis that are not supported by the expected return comparing with the diametrical share beta. It means that the higher risk that companies apply to the certain investment will be followed by the higher expected return to the companies.

The final result of this research is the same with research that has been done Ardiyanti on medical firms during 1989 until 1990 and Praningsih on banking sector during January until December 1990, stated there was no significant relation between the systematic risk and the expected return.

However, the correlation coefficient shows negative relation. In other word, it is against with the relevance theory. Theoretically, the relation between the systematic risk and the expected return is positive. It means if the investors face the investment with higher risk, they will pursue higher return as the consequences of their risky investment.

If there are differences on the research with the relevance concept, it may be caused by the most of the companies have a different risk level valued negatively. It means the return will be earn by the companies is contra with the market profitability. A negative risk number does not mean that the companies have lower risk, but those companies still have higher risk, because the movement of the companies profitability is contrast with the portfolio profitability, therefore it is negative. It may caused the price of the stock decreasing, meanwhile the price in the stock market increasing. That is why the relation between the systematic risk and the expected return is not significant.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.1. Conclusions

Based on the analysis resulted from the single index method, the researcher can draw several conclusions as follow :

1. The analysis in the equation regression indicates negative value. It means that in every addition of one unit of systematic risk will be followed by the decreasing of expected return of stock. The coefficient correlation has a negative correlation. It indicates that there is a perfect relation in a negative linear way.
2. Based on the simple linear regression analysis and correlation analysis, there is a significant implication and relation on the systematic risk (beta) to companies. On the other hand, the result of the correlation test shows there is no significant relation between the systematic risk and the expected return on $5 \%$ significant level. Therefore, hypothesis that is supported by the expected return is in line with diametrical stock beta. It means that the higher risk that companies applied in the certain investment will be followed by the higher expected return to the companies.
3. The researcher found that the result is against the relevant theory. As mentioned in the previous chapter, the correlation coefficient shows negative relation. In another word, it is against with the relevant theory.

Theoretically, the relation between the risk and return is in positive way. It means if the investors faced risky investment, they will ask high return as the consequences for those investments. If there are differences on the research with the relevant concept, it may be caused by the most of the companies that have a different risk level that is negatively valued. It means the return that will earn by the companies is contrary with the market profitability. Since the company's advantage rate is contra dictionary to the portfolio advantage rate, it will negative. It will cause the decreasing of the companies price of stock. Meanwhile in the market the price is increasing. It indicates that there is no significance between risks and the return of the stock.

### 5.2. Research Studies Limitations

Considering the limitation of the research studies, there are several limitations associated with this research. Those limitations can be formulated as follows :

1. The research only examines the small of data, which consist of five firms, and the data gathered from daily stock price and taken during six months. The impact is the $n$ value as critical value is b big enough to influence the final result.
2. Researcher assumes that if the amount of the sample is over than ten firms, similar to previous research most of them are over than ten firms and the majority of the research found there was a significant relation between risk and return of the stock.
3. Out of factors mentioned above, the external environment factors can be the main factor that made some changes in the stock market. The factors are; political decision by the government, law enforcement, internal affair of the firms, etc.

### 5.3. Recommendations

As the implication of this research, the researcher gives several recommendations to the parties that are related to the research topic.

Since the research only apply one method, which is index method, it will be better if other parties apply two or more methods in analyzing stock investment. The analyzing period only when the condition of the market is bad. It will be better if the market condition is good.

In order to reach the significant relation between the systematic risk and the expected return. There has to be positive value in the coefficient and correlation. It means that the company's advantage rate should be in parallel with the market advantage rate. In other word, the movement of the company's rate should be in parallel to the portfolio advantage rate, because it indicates the companies price of stock is increasing so do in the market.
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## Summarecon Agung's Tbk. Return on Security

| OBS | Pt | Pt-1 | Pt - (Pt-1) | Ri |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 185 | 180 | 5 | 0,0278 |
| 2 | 180 | 185 | -5 | -0,0270 |
| 3 | 185 | 180 | 5 | 0,0278 |
| 4 | 185 | 185 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 5 | 230 | 185 | 45 | 0,2432 |
| 6 | 295 | 230 | 65 | 0,2826 |
| 7 | 295 | 295 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 8 | 285 | 295 | -10 | -0,0339 |
| 9 | 280 | 285 | -5 | -0,0175 |
| 10 | 290 | 280 | 10 | 0,0357 |
| 11 | 275 | 290 | -15 | -0,0517 |
| 12 | 285 | 275 | 10 | 0,0364 |
| 13 | 360 | 285 | 75 | 0,2632 |
| 14 | 370 | 360 | 10 | 0,0278 |
| 15 | 375 | 370 | 5 | 0,0135 |
| 16 | 345 | 375 | -30 | -0,0800 |
| 17 | 320 | 345 | $=1 \mid-25$ | -0,0725 |
| 18 | 325 | 320 | 3) 5 | 0,0156 |
| 19 | 320 | 325 | -5 | -0,0154 |
| 20 | 315 | 320 | -5 | -0,0156 |
| 21 | 335 | 315 | 20 | 0,0635 |
| 22 | 380 | 335 | 45 | 0,1343 |
| 23 | 380 | 380 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 24 | 385 | 380 | 5 | 0,0132 |
| 25 | 430 | 385 | 45 | 0,1169 |
| 26 | 380 | 430 | -50 | -0,1163 |
| 27 | 370 | 380 | -10 | -0,0263 |
| 28 | 420 | 370 | 50 | 0,1351 |
| 29 | 435 | 420 | 15 | 0,0357 |
| 30 | 625 | 435 | 190 | 0,4368 |
| 31 | 415 | 625 | -210 | -0,3360 |
| 32 | 420 | 415 | 5 | 0,0120 |
| 33 | 425 | 420 | 5 | 0,0119 |
| 34 | 415 | 425 | -10 | -0,0235 |
| 35 | 415 | 415 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 36 | 420 | 415 | 5 | 0,0120 |
| 37 | 420 | 420 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 38 | 415 | 420 | -5 | -0,0119 |
| 39 | 430 | 415 | 15 | 0,0361 |
| 40 | 440 | 430 | 10 | 0,0233 |
| 41 | 440 | 440 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 42 | 460 | 440 | 20 | 0,0455 |
| 43 | 450 | 460 | -10 | -0,0217 |
| 44 | 440 | 450 | -10 | -0,0222 |
| 45 | 440 | 440 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 46 | 455 | 440 | 15 | 0,0341 |
| 47 | 505 | 455 | 50 | 0,1099 |
| 48 | 550 | 505 | 45 | 0,0891 |
| 49 | 600 | 550 | 50 | 0,0909 |
| 50 | 625 | 600 | 25 | 0,0417 |
| 51 | 650 | 625 | 25 | 0,0400 |
| 52 | 550 | 650 | -100 | -0,1538 |
| 53 | 600 | 550 | 50 | 0,0909 |
| 54 | 575 | 600 | -25 | -0,0417 |
| 55 | 550 | 575 | -25 | -0,0435 |
| 56 | 575 | 550 | 25 | 0,0455 |
| 57 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 58 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 59 | 600 | 575 | 25 | 0,0435 |
| 60 | 600 | 600 | 0 | 0,0000 |


| 61 | 625 | 600 | 25 | 0,0417 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 62 | 600 | 625 | -25 | $-0,0400$ |
| 63 | 625 | 600 | 25 | 0,0417 |
| 64 | 600 | 625 | -25 | $-0,0400$ |
| 65 | 625 | 600 | 25 | 0,0417 |
| 66 | 600 | 625 | -25 | $-0,0400$ |
| 67 | 625 | 600 | 25 | 0,0417 |
| 68 | 700 | 625 | 75 | 0,1200 |
| 69 | 700 | 700 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 70 | 675 | 700 | -25 | $-0,0357$ |
| 71 | 700 | 675 | 25 | 0,0370 |
| 72 | 775 | 700 | 75 | 0,1071 |
| 73 | 750 | 775 | -25 | $-0,0323$ |
| 74 | 725 | 750 | -25 | $-0,0333$ |
| 75 | 725 | 725 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 76 | 725 | 725 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 77 | 700 | 725 | -25 | $-0,0345$ |
| 78 | 700 | 700 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 79 | 675 | 700 | -25 | $-0,0357$ |
| 80 | 650 | 675 | -25 | $-0,0370$ |
| 81 | 650 | 650 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 82 | 625 | 650 | -25 | $-0,0385$ |
| 83 | 625 | 625 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 84 | 625 | 625 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 85 | 550 | 625 | -75 | $-0,1200$ |
| 86 | 525 | 550 | -25 | $-0,0455$ |
| 87 | 500 | 525 | -25 | $-0,0476$ |
| 88 | 475 | 500 | -25 | $-0,0500$ |
| 89 | 495 | 475 | 20 | 0,0421 |
| 90 | 525 | 495 | 30 | 0,0606 |
| 91 | 600 | 525 | 75 | 0,1429 |
| 92 | 575 | 600 | -25 | $-0,0417$ |
| 93 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 94 | 525 | 575 | -50 | $-0,0870$ |
| 95 | 525 | 525 | 0 | 0 |
| 96 | 500 | 525 | -25 | $-0,0000$ |
| 97 | 525 | 500 | 25 | 0,0500 |
| 98 | 525 | 525 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 99 | 500 | 525 | -25 | $-0,0476$ |
| 100 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 101 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 102 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 103 | 525 | 500 | 25 | 0 |
| 104 | 525 | 525 | 0 | 0,0500 |
| 105 | 600 | 525 | 75 | 0,0000 |
| 106 | 600 | 600 | 0 | 0,1429 |
| 107 | 600 | 600 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 108 | 600 | 600 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 109 | 625 | 600 | 25 | 0,0417 |
| 110 | 600 | 625 | -25 | $-0,0400$ |
| 111 | 600 | 600 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 112 | 600 | 600 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 113 | 550 | 600 | -50 | $-0,0833$ |
| 114 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 115 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 116 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 117 | 575 | 550 | 25 | 0,0455 |
| 118 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 119 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 120 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 121 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 122 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
|  | Average |  |  | 0,012736815 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| OBS | Pt | Pt-1 | Pt - (Pt - 1) | Ri |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 25 | 20 | 5 | 0,2500 |
| 2 | 30 | 25 | 5 | 0,2000 |
| 3 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 4 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 5 | 25 | 30 | -5 | -0,1667 |
| 6 | 20 | 25 | -5 | -0,2000 |
| 7 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 8 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 9 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 10 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 11 | 25 | 20 | 5 | 0,2500 |
| 12 | 20 | 25 | -5 | -0,2000 |
| 13 | 15 | 20 | -5 | -0,2500 |
| 14 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 15 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 16 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 17 | 15 | 15 | - 0 | 0,0000 |
| 18 | 15 | 15 | ) 0 | 0,0000 |
| 19 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 20 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 21 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 22 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 23 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 24 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 25 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 26 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 27 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 28 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 29 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 30 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 31 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 32 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 33 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 34 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 35 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 36 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 37 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 38 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 39 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 40 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 41 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 42 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 43 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 44 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 45 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 46 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 47 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 48 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 49 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 50 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 51 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 52 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 53 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 54 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 55 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 56 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 57 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 58 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 59 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 60 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |


| 61 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 63 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 64 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 65 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 66 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 67 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 68 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 69 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 70 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 71 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 72 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 73 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 74 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 75 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 76 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 77 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 78 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 79 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 80 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 81 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 82 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 83 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 84 | 15 | 15 | $\bigcirc$ | 0,0000 |
| 85 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 86 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 87 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 88 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 89 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 90 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 91 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 92 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 93 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 94 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 95 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 96 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 97 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 98 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 99 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 100 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 101 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 102 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 103 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 104 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 105 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 106 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 107 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 108 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 109 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 110 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 111 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 112 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 113 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 114 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 115 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 116 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 117 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 118 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 119 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 120 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| 121 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 0,5000 |
| 122 | 10 | 15 | -5 | -0,3333 |
| Average |  |  |  | 6803279 |

Ciputra Development 's Tbk. Return on Security

| OBS | Pt | Pt-1 | Pt - (Pt-1) | Ri |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 150 | 145 | 5 | 0,03448 |
| 2 | 145 | 150 | -5 | -0,03333 |
| 3 | 160 | 145 | 15 | 0,10345 |
| 4 | 165 | 160 | 5 | 0,03125 |
| 5 | 165 | 165 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 6 | 185 | 165 | 20 | 0,12121 |
| 7 | 190 | 185 | 5 | 0,02703 |
| 8 | 185 | 190 | -5 | -0,02632 |
| 9 | 185 | 185 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 10 | 200 | 185 | 15 | 0,08108 |
| 11 | 205 | 200 | 5 | 0,02500 |
| 12 | 195 | 205 | -10 | -0,04878 |
| 13 | 215 | 195 | 20 | 0,10256 |
| 14 | 230 | 215 | 15 | 0,06977 |
| 15 | 215 | 230 | -15 | -0,06522 |
| 16 | 195 | 215 | -20 | -0,09302 |
| 17 | 185 | 195 | -10 | -0,05128 |
| 18 | 185 | 185 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 19 | 185 | 185 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 20 | 190 | 185 | 5 | 0,02703 |
| 21 | 190 | 190 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 22 | 205 | 190 | 15 | 0,07895 |
| 23 | 205 | 205 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 24 | 225 | 205 | 20 | 0,09756 |
| 25 | 300 | 225 | 75 | 0,33333 |
| 26 | 285 | 300 | -15 | -0,05000 |
| 27 | 305 | 285 | 20 | 0,07018 |
| 28 | 320 | 305 | 15 | 0,04918 |
| 29 | 395 | 320 | 75 | 0,23438 |
| 30 | 600 | 395 | 205 | 0,51899 |
| 31 | 350 | 600 | -250 | -0,41667 |
| 32 | 335 | 350 | -15 | -0,04286 |
| 33 | 355 | 335 | 0 | 0,05970 |
| 34 | 340 | 355 | -5 | -0,04225 |
| 35 | 340 | 340 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 36 | 335 | 340 | -5 | -0,01471 |
| 37 | 335 | 335 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 38 | 340 | 335 | 5 | 0,01493 |
| 39 | 350 | -7 340 | -10 | (1)0,02941 |
| 40 | 380 | 350 | 30 | 0,08857 |
| 41 | 420 | 380 | 40 | 0,10526 |
| 42 | 480 | 420 | 60 | 0,14286 |
| 43 | 460 | 480 | -20 | -0,04167 |
| 44 | 460 | 460 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 45 | 470 | 460 | 10 | 0,02174 |
| 46 | 475 | 470 | 5 | 0,01064 |
| 47 | 500 | 475 | 25 | 0,05263 |
| 48 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 49 | 525 | 500 | 25 | 0,05000 |
| 50 | 600 | 525 | 75 | 0,14286 |
| 51 | 625 | 600 | 25 | 0,04167 |
| 52 | 550 | 625 | -75 | -0,12000 |
| 53 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 54 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 55 | 500 | 550 | -50 | -0,09091 |
| 56 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 57 | 475 | 500 | -25 | -0,05000 |
| 58 | 485 | 475 | 10 | 0,02105 |
| 59 | 500 | 485 | 15 | 0,03093 |
| 60 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,00000 |


| 61 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 62 | 475 | 500 | -25 | $-0,05000$ |
| 63 | 480 | 475 | -10 | 0,01053 |
| 64 | 470 | 480 | $-0,0083$ |  |
| 65 | 480 | 470 | 10 | 0,02128 |
| 66 | 500 | 480 | 20 | 0,04167 |
| 67 | 550 | 500 | 50 | 0,10000 |
| 68 | 600 | 550 | 50 | 0,09091 |
| 69 | 525 | 600 | -75 | $-0,02500$ |
| 70 | 525 | 525 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 71 | 550 | 525 | 25 | 0,04762 |
| 72 | 600 | 550 | 50 | 0,09091 |
| 73 | 550 | 600 | -50 | $-0,08333$ |
| 74 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 75 | 575 | 550 | 25 | 0,04546 |
| 76 | 550 | 575 | -25 | $-0,04348$ |
| 77 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 78 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 79 | 525 | 550 | -25 | $-0,04546$ |
| 80 | 500 | 525 | -25 | $-0,04762$ |
| 81 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 82 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 83 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 84 | 475 | 500 | -25 | $-0,05000$ |
| 85 | 465 | 475 | -10 | $-0,02105$ |
| 86 | 465 | 465 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 87 | 450 | 465 | -15 | $-0,03226$ |
| 88 | 400 | 450 | -50 | $-0,11111$ |
| 89 | 365 | 400 | -35 | $-0,08750$ |
| 90 | 390 | 365 | 25 | 0,06849 |
| 91 | 465 | 390 | 75 | 0,19231 |
| 92 | 435 | 465 | -30 | $-0,06452$ |
| 93 | 420 | 435 | -15 | $-0,03488$ |
| 94 | 400 | 420 | -20 | $-0,04762$ |
| 95 | 410 | 400 | 10 | 0,02500 |
| 96 | 415 | 410 | 5 | 0,01220 |
| 97 | 410 | 415 | -5 | $-0,01205$ |
| 98 | 395 | 410 | -15 | $-0,03659$ |
| 99 | 380 | 395 | -15 | $-0,03798$ |
| 100 | 365 | 380 | -15 | $-0,03947$ |
| 101 | 365 | 365 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 102 | 375 | 365 | 10 | 0 |
| 103 | 395 | 375 | 20 | 0,02740 |
| 104 | 405 | 395 | 10 | 0,05333 |
| 105 | 470 | 405 | 65 | 0,02532 |
| 106 | 460 | 470 | -10 | $-0,02128$ |
| 107 | 445 | 460 | -15 | $-0,03261$ |
| 108 | 455 | 445 | 10 | 0,02247 |
| 109 | 455 | 455 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 110 | 450 | 455 | -5 | $-0,01099$ |
| 111 | 445 | 450 | -5 | $-0,01111$ |
| 112 | 445 | 445 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 113 | 445 | 445 | 0 | 0,00000 |
| 114 | 430 | 445 | -15 | $-0,03371$ |
| 115 | 410 | 430 | -20 | $-0,04651$ |
| 116 | 415 | 410 | 5 | 0,01220 |
| 117 | 435 | 415 | 20 | 0,04819 |
| 118 | 425 | 435 | -10 | $-0,02299$ |
| 119 | 420 | 425 | -5 | $-0,01191$ |
| 120 | 415 | 420 | -5 | $-0,01191$ |
| 121 | 420 | 415 | 5 | 0,01205 |
| 122 | 410 | 420 | -10 | $-0,02381$ |
| Average |  |  | 0,01247 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| OBS | Pt | Pt-1 | Pt - ( Pt -1) | Ri |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 85 | 80 | 5 | 0,0625 |
| 2 | 80 | 85 | -5 | -0,0588 |
| 3 | 85 | 80 | 5 | 0,0625 |
| 4 | 90 | 85 | 5 | 0,0588 |
| 5 | 95 | 90 | 5 | 0,0556 |
| 6 | 110 | 95 | 15 | 0,1579 |
| 7 | 115 | 110 | 5 | 0,0455 |
| 8 | 105 | 115 | -10 | -0,0870 |
| 9 | 110 | 105 | 5 | 0,0476 |
| 10 | 105 | 110 | -5 | -0,0455 |
| 11 | 105 | 105 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 12 | 10 | 105 | -5 | -0,0476 |
| 13 | 110 | 100 | 10 | 0,1000 |
| 14 | 140 | 110 | 30 | 0,2727 |
| 15 | 135 | 140 | -5 | -0,0357 |
| 16 | 125 | 135 | -10 | -0,0741 |
| 17 | 125 | 125 | - 0 | 0,0000 |
| 18 | 125 | 125 | $1-0$ | 0,0000 |
| 19 | 125 | 1125 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 20 | 120 | 125 | -5 | -0,0400 |
| 21 | 130 | 120 | 10 | 0,0833 |
| 22 | 140 | -130 | 10 | 0,0769 |
| 23 | 150 | -140 | 10 | 0,0714 |
| 24 | 155 | - 150 | 5 | 0,0333 |
| 25 | 195 | 155 | 40 | 0,2581 |
| 26 | 160 | - 195 | -35 | -0,1795 |
| 27 | 180 | -160 | 20 | 0,1250 |
| 28 | 185 | [180 | - 5 | 0,0278 |
| 29 | 190 | 1185 | 5 | 0,0270 |
| 30 | 220 | - 190 | 30 | 0,1579 |
| 31 | 175 | - 220 | -45 | -0,2045 |
| 32 | 175 | - 175 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 33 | 180 | - 175 | 5 | 0,0286 |
| 34 | 175 | -180 | -5 | -0,0278 |
| 35 | 170 | - 175 | -5 | -0,2857 |
| 36 | 165 | - 170 | -5 | -0,0294 |
| 37 | 165 | 165 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 38 | 170 | 165 | 5 | 0,0303 |
| 39 | 170 | - 4170 | 0 | - 0,0000 |
| 40 | 185 | - 170 | 5 | 0,0882 |
| 41 | 190 | - 185 | 5 | 0,0270 |
| 42 | 200 | 190 | 10 | 0,0526 |
| 43 | 210 | 200 | 10 | 0,0500 |
| 44 | 210 | 210 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 45 | 200 | 210 | -10 | -0,0476 |
| 46 | 205 | 200 | 5 | 0,0250 |
| 47 | 220 | 205 | 15 | 0,0732 |
| 48 | 215 | 220 | -5 | -0,0227 |
| 49 | 205 | 215 | 10 | -0,0465 |
| 50 | 220 | 205 | 15 | 0,0732 |
| 51 | 265 | 220 | 45 | 0,2045 |
| 52 | 250 | 265 | -15 | -0,0566 |
| 53 | 255 | 250 | 5 | 0,0200 |
| 54 | 260 | 255 | 5 | 0,0196 |
| 55 | 245 | 260 | -15 | -0,0577 |
| 56 | 240 | 245 | -5 | -0,0204 |
| 57 | 235 | 240 | -5 | -0,0208 |
| 58 | 235 | 235 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 59 | 255 | 235 | 20 | 0,0851 |
| 60 | 255 | 255 | 0 | 0,0000 |


| 61 | 250 | 255 | -5 | $-0,0196$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 62 | 250 | 250 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 63 | 245 | 250 | -5 | $-0,0200$ |
| 64 | 240 | 245 | -5 | $-0,0204$ |
| 65 | 240 | 240 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 66 | 240 | 240 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 67 | 250 | 240 | 10 | 0,0417 |
| 68 | 245 | 250 | -5 | $-0,0200$ |
| 69 | 240 | 245 | -5 | $-0,0204$ |
| 70 | 235 | 240 | -5 | $-0,0208$ |
| 71 | 235 | 235 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 72 | 240 | 235 | 5 | 0,0213 |
| 73 | 245 | 240 | 5 | 0,0208 |
| 74 | 235 | 245 | -10 | $-0,0408$ |
| 75 | 235 | 235 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 76 | 235 | 235 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 77 | 230 | 235 | -5 | $-0,0213$ |
| 78 | 230 | 230 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 79 | 235 | 230 | 5 | 0,0217 |
| 80 | 230 | 235 | -5 | $-0,0213$ |
| 81 | 230 | 230 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 82 | 210 | 230 | -20 | $-0,0870$ |
| 83 | 205 | 210 | -5 | $-0,0238$ |
| 84 | 200 | 205 | -5 | $-0,024$ |
| 85 | 190 | 200 | -10 | $-0,0500$ |
| 86 | 195 | 190 | 5 | 0,0263 |
| 87 | 185 | 195 | -10 | $-0,0513$ |
| 88 | 190 | 185 | 5 | 0,0270 |
| 89 | 180 | 190 | -10 | $-0,0526$ |
| 90 | 195 | 180 | 15 | 0,0833 |
| 91 | 210 | 195 | 15 | 0,0769 |
| 92 | 205 | 210 | -5 | $-0,0238$ |
| 93 | 195 | 205 | -10 | $-0,0488$ |
| 94 | 195 | 195 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 95 | 200 | 195 | 5 | 0,0256 |
| 96 | 195 | 200 | -5 | $-0,0250$ |
| 97 | 195 | 195 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 98 | 185 | 195 | -10 | $-0,0513$ |
| 99 | 180 | 185 | -5 | $-0,0270$ |
| 100 | 180 | 180 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 101 | 180 | 180 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 102 | 180 | 180 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 103 | 185 | 180 | 5 | 0,0278 |
| 104 | 190 | 185 | 5 | 0,0270 |
| 105 | 205 | 190 | 15 | 0,0789 |
| 106 | 200 | 205 | -5 | $-0,0244$ |
| 107 | 200 | 200 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 108 | 205 | 200 | 5 | 0,0250 |
| 109 | 205 | 205 | 0 | 0 |
| 110 | 200 | 205 | -5 | $-0,0000$ |
| 111 | 195 | 200 | -5 | $-0,0244$ |
| 112 | 200 | 195 | 5 | 0,0250 |
| 113 | 200 | 200 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 114 | 190 | 200 | -10 | $-0,0500$ |
| 115 | 190 | 190 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 116 | 190 | 190 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 117 | 195 | 190 | 5 | 0,0263 |
| 118 | 200 | 195 | 5 | 0 |
| 119 | 190 | 200 | -10 | $-0,0556$ |
| 120 | 185 | 190 | -5 | $-0,0263$ |
| 121 | 190 | 185 | 5 | 0,0270 |
| 122 | Average |  | -5 | $-0,0263$ |
|  |  |  | 0,0068 |  |


| OBS | Pt | Pt-1 | Pt - (Pt-1) | Ri |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 220 | 220 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 2 | 220 | 220 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 3 | 235 | 220 | 15 | 0,0682 |
| 4 | 235 | 235 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 5 | 235 | 235 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 6 | 265 | 235 | 30 | 0,1277 |
| 7 | 265 | 265 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 8 | 270 | 265 | 15 | 0,0189 |
| 9 | 275 | 270 | 5 | 0,0185 |
| 10 | 330 | 275 | 55 | 0,2000 |
| 11 | 315 | 330 | -15 | -0,0455 |
| 12 | 315 | 315 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 13 | 345 | 315 | 30 | 0,0952 |
| 14 | 375 | 345 | 30 | 0,0870 |
| 15 | 385 | 375 | 10 | 0,0267 |
| 16 | 355 | 385 | -30 | -0,0779 |
| 17 | 340 | 355 | [--15 | -0,0423 |
| 18 | 340 | 340 | - 0 | 0,0000 |
| 19 | 345 | 1340 | 5 | 0,0147 |
| 20 | 340 | 345 | -5 | -0,0145 |
| 21 | 340 | 340 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 22 | 400 | - 340 | 60 | 0,1765 |
| 23 | 435 | - 400 | 35 | 0,0875 |
| 24 | 500 | $\checkmark 435$ | 65 | 0,0149 |
| 25 | 475 | 1 1 500 | -25 | -0,0500 |
| 26 | 410 | - 475 | -65 | -0,1368 |
| 27 | 410 | - 410 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 28 | 440 | $1+410$ | 30 | 0,0732 |
| 29 | 465 | 11440 | 25 | 0,0568 |
| 30 | 625 | -1465 | 160 | 0,3441 |
| 31 | 455 | - 625 | -170 | -0,2720 |
| 32 | 460 | - 455 | 5 | 0,0110 |
| 33 | 475 | $\bigcirc 460$ | 15 | 0,0326 |
| 34 | 465 | 475 | -10 | -0,0211 |
| 35 | 465 | $-465$ | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 36 | 465 | - 465 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 37 | 470 | - 465 | 15 | 0,0108 |
| 38 | 480 | 470 | 10 | 0,0213 |
| 39 | 485 | C 480 | 5 | - 0,0104 |
| 40 | 520 | 485 | 35 | 0,0722 |
| 41 | 500 | 520 | -20 | -0,0384 |
| 42 | 525 | 500 | 25 | 0,0500 |
| 43 | 550 | 525 | 25 | 0,0476 |
| 44 | 500 | 550 | -50 | -0,0909 |
| 45 | 500 | 500 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 46 | 525 | 500 | 25 | 0,0500 |
| 47 | 575 | 525 | 50 | 0,0952 |
| 48 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 49 | 600 | 575 | 25 | 0,0500 |
| 50 | 625 | 600 | 25 | 0,0417 |
| 51 | 575 | 625 | -50 | -0,0800 |
| 52 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 53 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 54 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 55 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 56 | 550 | 575 | -25 | -0,0435 |
| 57 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 58 | 550 | 550 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 59 | 600 | 550 | 50 | 0,0909 |
| 60 | 600 | 600 | 0 | 0,0000 |


| 61 | 625 | 600 | 25 | 0,0417 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 62 | 600 | 625 | -25 | $-0,0400$ |
| 63 | 575 | 600 | -25 | $-0,0417$ |
| 64 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 65 | 575 | 575 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 66 | 650 | 555 | 75 | 0,1304 |
| 67 | 855 | 650 | 175 | 0,2692 |
| 68 | 850 | 825 | 25 | 0,0303 |
| 69 | 825 | 850 | -25 | $-0,0294$ |
| 70 | 750 | 825 | -75 | $-0,0909$ |
| 71 | 750 | 750 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 72 | 850 | 750 | 100 | 0,1333 |
| 73 | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 74 | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 75 | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 76 | 850 | 850 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 77 | 825 | 850 | -25 | $-0,0294$ |
| 78 | 825 | 825 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 79 | 825 | 825 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 80 | 775 | 825 | -50 | $-0,0606$ |
| 81 | 725 | 775 | -50 | $-0,0645$ |
| 82 | 700 | 725 | -25 | $-0,0345$ |
| 83 | 675 | 700 | -75 | $-0,0357$ |
| 84 | 675 | 675 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 85 | 700 | 675 | 25 | 0,0370 |
| 86 | 750 | 700 | 500 | 0,0714 |
| 87 | 725 | 750 | -25 | $-0,0333$ |
| 88 | 700 | 725 | -25 | $-0,0345$ |
| 89 | 675 | 700 | -75 | $-0,0357$ |
| 90 | 675 | 675 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 91 | 725 | 675 | 50 | 0,0741 |
| 92 | 675 | 725 | -50 | $-0,0690$ |
| 93 | 700 | 675 | 25 | 0,0370 |
| 94 | 625 | 700 | -75 | $-0,1071$ |
| 95 | 650 | 625 | 25 | 0,0400 |
| 96 | 675 | 650 | 25 | 0,0385 |
| 97 | 700 | 675 | 25 | 0,0370 |
| 98 | 650 | 700 | -50 | $-0,0714$ |
| 99 | 675 | 650 | 25 | 0,0385 |
| 100 | 675 | 675 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 101 | 650 | 675 | -25 | $-0,0370$ |
| 102 | 675 | 650 | 25 | 0,0385 |
| 103 | 650 | 675 | -25 | $-0,0370$ |
| 104 | 675 | 650 | 25 | 0,0385 |
| 105 | 725 | 675 | 50 | 0,0741 |
| 106 | 725 | 725 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 107 | 725 | 725 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 108 | 750 | 725 | 25 | 0,0345 |
| 109 | 750 | 750 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 110 | 725 | 750 | -25 | $-0,0333$ |
| 111 | 725 | 725 | 0 | 0 |
| 112 | 700 | 725 | -25 | $-0,0000$ |
| 113 | 700 | 700 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 114 | 675 | 700 | -25 | $-0,0357$ |
| 115 | 700 | 675 | 25 | 0,0370 |
| 116 | 700 | 700 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 117 | 775 | 700 | 75 | 0,1071 |
| 118 | 750 | 775 | -25 | $-0,0323$ |
| 119 | 750 | 750 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 120 | 725 | 750 | -25 | $-0,0333$ |
| 121 | 725 | 725 | 0 | 0,0000 |
| 122 | 700 | 725 | -25 | $-0,0345$ |
|  | Average |  |  | 0,0109 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Regression

Variables Entered/Removedp

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{RM}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | . |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: RI_SIIP

## Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of <br> the Estimate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.035^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .001 | -.007 | .0698467 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), RM

ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | .001 | 1 | .001 | .149 | $.700^{2}$ |
|  | Residual | .585 | 120 | .005 |  |  |
|  | Total | .586 | 121 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), RM
b. Dependent Variable: RI_SIIP

Coefficients ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}$

| Model |  | Unstandardized <br> Coefficients |  | Standardized <br> Coefficients |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B |  | Std. Error | Beta | t | Sig. |
|  | (Constant) | .006 | .007 |  | .934 | .352 |
|  | RM | .240 | .622 | .035 | .386 | .700 |

a. Dependent Variable: RI_SIIP

## Regression

## Variables Entered/Removed ${ }^{\text {P }}$

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | RM $^{2}$ |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: RI_CTRA

## Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of <br> the Estimate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.068^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .005 | -.004 | .0898895 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), RM

## ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | $F$ | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | .004 | 1 | .004 | .550 | $.460^{a}$ |
|  | Residual | .970 | 120 | .008 |  |  |
|  | Total | .974 | 121 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), RM
b. Dependent Variable: RI_CTRA

Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients |  | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Std. Error | Beta | 1 |  |
| 1 (Constant) | . 011 | . 008 |  | 1.288 | . 200 |
| RM | . 593 | . 800 | 068 | . 741 | . 460 |

a. Dependent Variable: RI_CTRA

## Regression

Variables Entered/Removed ${ }^{\text {P }}$

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{RM}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | . |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: RI_JAKA

## Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of <br> the Estimate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.069^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .005 | -.004 | .2198678 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), RM

ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | .028 | 1 | .028 | .571 | $.451^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Residual | 5.801 | 120 | .048 |  |  |
|  | Total | 5.829 | 121 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), RM
b. Dependent Variable: RI_JAKA

## Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$


a. Dependent Variable: RI_JAKA

## Regression

Variables Entered/Removed ${ }^{\text {P }}$

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{RM}^{2}$ |  | . |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: RI_SMRA

Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of <br> the Estimate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.032^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .001 | -.007 | .0820596 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), RM

ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | .001 | 1 | .001 | .123 | $.727^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Residual | .808 | 120 | .007 |  |  |
|  | Total | .809 | 121 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), RM
b. Dependent Variable: RI_SMRA

## Coefficients ${ }^{2}$

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients |  | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Std. Error | Beta | t |  |
| 1 (Constant) | . 013 | 008 |  | 1.747 | . 083 |
| RM | -. 256 | . 731 | -. 032 | -. 350 | . 727 |

a. Dependent Variable: RI_SMRA

## Regression

## Variables Entered/Removed ${ }^{\text {P }}$

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | RM $^{2}$ |  | . |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: RI_CPRS

## Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of <br> the Estimate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.085^{a}$ | .007 | -.001 | .0704666 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), RM

ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | .004 | 1 | .004 | .882 | $.350^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Residual | .596 | 120 | .005 |  |  |
|  | Total | .600 | 121 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), RM
b. Dependent Variable: RI_CPRS

Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients |  | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Std. Error | Beta | $t$ |  |
| 1 (Constant) | . 013 | . 007 |  | 1.898 | . 060 |
| RM | -. 589 | . 627 | -. 085 | -. 939 | 350 |

a. Dependent Variable: RI_CPRS

## Correlations

## Correlations

|  |  | Expected <br> Return | Systematic <br> Risk |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Expected Return | Pearson Correlation | 1 | -.667 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | . | .219 |
|  | N | 5 | 5 |
| Systematic Risk | Pearson Correlation | -.667 | 1 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .219 | . |
|  | N | 5 | 5 |

## Regression

## Variables Entered/Removed ${ }^{\text {p }}$

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Systematic <br> Risk |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: Expected Return

Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of <br> the Estimate |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.667^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .445 | .260 | .00311345 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Systematic Risk

## ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | .000 | 1 | .000 | 2.402 | $.219^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Residual | .000 | 3 | .000 |  |  |
|  | Total | .000 | 4 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), Systematic Risk
b. Dependent Variable: Expected Return

Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model | A 6 | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients Beta | t | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  |
| 1 | (Constant) | . 011 | - 002 |  | 7.321 | . 005 |
|  | Systematic Risk | -. 003 | . 002 | -. 667 | -1.550 | . 219 |

a. Dependent Variable: Expected Return

