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#### Abstract

Nur Intan Kemalahati. Inventory Changes and Stock Prices: An Empirical Investigation. International Program. Accounting Department. Economics Faculty. UII. Yogyakarta 2006.

This study examines the effect of the informativeness of change in inventory on firm valuation. A firm's change in inventory is informative if its percentage change in cost of goods sold is positively and significantly associated with its lag one percentage of production added to inventory (a measure of change in inventory). Sample firms are divided into two groups: firms with informative change in inventory and other firms without informative change in inventory. Analyses then are performed to examine the association between stock price and earnings. Results consistently show that the association is lower for firms with informative change in inventory. Thus, knowledge on the informativeness of change in inventory is useful for firm valuation. Then, the implication is that investors and analysts do not have to rely more heavily on earnings figures when analyzing firms with informativeness of change in inventory.


Key words: firm valuation, change in inventory, earnings, and stock prices.



#### Abstract

Abstrak

\section*{Nur Intan Kemalahati. Inventory Changes and Stock Prices: An Empirical Investigation. Program International. Jurusan Akuntansi. Fakultas Ekonomi. UII. Yogyakarta. 2006.}

Skripsi ini mempelajari tentang efek informasi dari perubahan dalam persediaan terhadap penilaian perusahaan. Perubahan persediaan dalam suatu perusahaan bersifat informatif jika persentase perubahan dalam harga pokok penjualan barang bersifat positif dan secara signifikan berhubungan dengan tingkat 1 (satu) persen dari produksi yang ditambahkan ke dalam persediaan (penentu dari perubahan dalam persediaan). Sampel perusahaan terbagi dalam 2 (dua) kelompok, yaitu: perusahaan dengan perubahan dalam persediaan yang bersifat informative, dan perusahaan dengan persediaan yang tidak bersifat informative. Analisa kemudian ditampilkan untuk memeriksa/mempelajari hubungan antara harga stok dan pendapatan. Hasilnya secara konsisten menunjukkan bahwa hubungannya rendah untuk perusahaan dengan perubahan dalam persediaan. Maka, pengetahuan akan keinformatifan dari perubahan dalam persediaan berguna untuk penilaian terhadap perusahaan. Kemudian, implikasinya yaitu para investor dan analis tidak perlu terlalu menitikberatkan pendapatan ketika sedang menganalisa perusahaan yang memiliki keinformatifan terhadap perubahan dalam persediaan


Kata kunci: penilaian perusahaan, perubahan dalam persediaan, pendapatan, dan harga stok


## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Background of the Study

In running its activities, company always dealt with production activity, especially for Manufacturing Company. To keep the business run stable, it is required for the Manufacturing Company to produce inventory. From this point of view, inventory is one of the assets of a company that can be fundamental value to determine the liquidity cash of a company. Therefore, the changes of inventory would bring the information that might have effect in doing firm valuation.

Inventory plays important role in a company. It is related to the market sales. Inventory has a strong connection with supply, demand and sales. If its future demand is expected to be decreased, then it sells as much as possible its inventory now, i.e., inventory for the year is decreased. Thus, it has strong association with future sales. The changes of inventory are also determining the future earnings. If a firm's future demand is expected to be decreased, then its future earnings are also expected to be decreased. So a firm can be described as a production smoothing firm if its variance of production is smaller than its variance of sales (Blinder, 1986). And the increasing earnings would determine the welfare of the shareholders. So, the changes of inventory may convey good news to a firm and would be useful information to make firm valuation.

The objective of maximizing the welfare of the shareholders can be achieved by maximizing the present value of all the expected profits that will be
received by the shareholders in the following years. "The welfare of shareholders will automatically increase when the price of the stock they own increase" (Sartono, 1996:11). The higher the stock price, the higher the level of welfare will be for the shareholders.

In relation to the brief explanation above, a firm can also be described as stockout firm if its variance of production is higher than its variance of sales (Blinder, 1986). A decrease in inventory indicates a high frequency of stockouts, and a high level of demand. Therefore, it may also convey bad news to a firm and would become useful information to make a better inventory planning in the future.

Thus, the deeper analysis is focused on the comparison of stock priceearnings association between two sample groups. In sample firms, it is using inventory valuation methods, one of the 12 fundamental signals. That is an increase in inventory, which is measured by percentage change in inventory value minus percentage change in sales (referred to by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997) as PCIS). Change in inventory which is measured by CPAI, presents useful informativeness on firm valuation when the percentage change in cost of goods sold is positively and significantly associated with its lag one percentage change of production added to inventory. Moreover, this kind of information would be an indicator for investors and analysts, so they can rely more heavily on earnings figures when analyzing firms with informative change in inventory. Then this information (increase in inventory) may either convey good or bad news to the market. Therefore, we can find out whether a firm can be described as a
production smoothing or stockout firm. This research was conducted by Nur Intan K .

The concepts in the prior studies, particularly those in Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) and Jiambalvo, Noreen, and Shelvin (1997), are reconciled to define the informativeness of change in inventory. Change in inventory should affect not only the cash component of earnings (Comiskey, Mulford and Choi, 1994/1995; Sloan, 1996; Ozanian and Fluke, 2001) but also the persistence/sustainability of earnings (Comiskey, Mulford and Choi, 1994/1995; Revsine, Collins and Johnson, 1995), i.e., it affects the quality of earnings. It is hypothesized that the association between stock price and earnings is higher for the firms in Group 1 because:

1. Besides affecting the cash component of earnings, their current inventory change is proportionate and can better support future cost of goods sold and sales (Lev and Thiagarajan, 1993), i.e., the change has a higher sustainability.
2. The quality of reported earnings derived from subtracting cost of goods sold and other expenses from sales, therefore, is also higher.

Besides, Bernard and Noel (1991) have investigated the predictive ability of inventory level on sales and earnings. Whether there is any significant relationship between future sales, future earnings, and also with stock price. Their results indicate that increase in finished goods inventory does not have any relation to future sales, but is negatively associated with future earnings. Given the overwhelming empirical evidence on the positive association between
earnings and stock price, increase in inventory most likely is also negatively associated with stock price.

Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) had made a research that had generated the 12 signals for fundamental analysis One of the signals is the increase in inventory, which is measured by percentage change in inventory value minus percentage change in sales (referred to by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997) as PCIS). Their result shows that the increase in inventory is negatively associated with 12 months excess stock returns, i.e., a result that is consistent with that implied in Bernard and Noel (1991).

Jiambalvo, Noreen, and Shelvin (1997) have also studied the association between cumulative abnormal returns (CAR) over a 12 months window with the increase in inventory, measured by the change in percentage of production added to inventory (CPAI). Their result shows that CPAI is positively associated with CAR, i.e., a result seems to be inconsistent with that in Lev and Thiagarajan (1993). Jiambalvo, Noreen, and Shelvin (1997); however, they are unable to explain the inconsistency. They conclude that the measures for increase in inventory in the two studies (PCIS and CPAI) are different; PCIS is negatively associated with CAR while CPAI is positively associated with CAR, but the product moment correlation between PCIS and CPAI is positive and significant. Thus, the increase in inventory is a significant fundamental signal regardless of the different measures and the seemingly inconsistent empirical results.

Bernard and Noel (1991) have also investigated the predictive ability of work-in-process inventory under the lead time model which is different from the
production smoothing model and the stockout model. Results show that work-inprocess inventory level is positively associated with future sales, but it is not associated with future earnings. Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) call this measure a disproportionate inventory increase. As stated in Lev and Thiagarajan's (1993) in the section of the previous study, that in theory, the cost of goods sold, instead of sales, should be used. The empirical results from using cost of goods sold and sales are similar.

Percentage of production added to inventory is the increase in inventory quantity divided by production quantity. It is a measure of the percentage increase in inventory. CPAI is the change of this percentage. The results are seemingly inconsistent because Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) show that CAR is negatively and significantly associated with increase in inventory. Whereas, Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997) show that CAR is positively and significantly associated with increase in inventory. It is found in the two studies that the measures of increase in inventory are different.

The similar studies, in overseas, had also been done by Harry E. Merriken and Walter J. Reinhart (1990). They were studying about The Implication of Tax Reforms on Firm Valuation and Management Decisions. The purpose of this study is to develop a model and methodology to measure market reaction to firm behavior following tax law changes and to determine whether the impact is favorable or unfavorable on firm valuation. This model will allow the management of a firm to anticipate the impact of government policy decisions that alter the fundamentals of firm valuation. An important contribution of this study is
the focus on relative values rather than on share price since certain conventions such as inventory valuation produce countervailing effects. Fiscal policy measures directly linked to investment in real assets such as accelerated depreciation receives predictable market reaction. Thus the study provides a means of anticipating the impact of public policy in influencing the creation of wealth in the private sector. Thus, they had found that the implication for future changes is underscoring the importance of the tax savings of accelerated depreciation. They clearly indicate that the most reliable and consistent method for management to improve value is through the fundamental variables that affect profitability, growth in profits, and leverage. The market discounts discretionary inventory valuation and fully incorporates the impact of accelerated cost recovery system (ACRS) in the year in which the depreciation method was accelerated.

Another similar study also had been done by Wei Zhang, Qing Cao and Marc J. Schniederjans (2004). They were studying about Neural Network Earnings per Share Forecasting Model: A Comparative Analysis of Alternative Methods. This study is focused on comparison on the multivariate models to examine whether the neural network models incorporating the fundamental accounting variables can generate more accurate forecasts of future earnings than the models assuming on a linear combination of these same variables. Thus, they had found that the application of the neural network approach incorporating fundamental accounting variables results in forecasts that are more accurate than linear forecasting models. The results also reveal limitations of the forecasting
capacity of investors in the security market when compared to neural network models.

While in Indonesia, the similar studies are not yet revealed by Indonesian researcher. But there are many overseas researchers using sample firms in Indonesia. One of them is studied by Paquita Y. Davis-Friday, Li Li Eng, and Chao-Shin Liu (December 2002). They were studying about The Effect of Corporate on the Valuation of Book Value and Earnings during the Asian Financial Crisis. This study examines the value relevance of earnings and book values in four Asian countries, Indonesia, South Korea, Malaysia and Thailand, in the period surrounding the Asian financial crisis. Specifically, they examined the impact of the economic environment on the value relevance of book value and earnings, controlling for the quality of financial reporting and corporate governance mechanism. Their results indicate that the value relevance of earnings in Indonesia and Thailand was significantly reduced during the Asian financial crisis while the value relevance of book values increased. In Malaysia, the value relevance of both earnings and book value decreased during the crisis. In Korea, neither book value nor earnings was significantly impacted by the crisis. Their results indicate that the level of certain corporate governance mechanism and financial reporting quality have an impact on how the crisis affected the value relevance of earnings and book values. Specifically, the value relevance of book values decreases when the rule of law is lower, when the level of ownership concentration is higher, and when the quality of audit reports is lower. Finally, their results indicate that Korea's tax-based accounting standards help to mitigate
the effect of the financial crisis on the value relevance of book values, but not the value relevance of earnings.

### 1.2. Problem Identification

This study examines the effect of the informativeness of change in inventory on firm valuation. A firm's change in inventory is informative if its percentage change in cost of goods sold is significantly associated with its lag one percentage of production added to inventory (a measure of change in inventory). Sample firms are divided into two groups: firm with informative change in inventory, and other firms. Analyses then are performed to examine the association between stock price and earnings.

### 1.3. Problem Formulation

Based on the explanation mentioned in the study background, the main problem stated here is: Whether the informativeness of change in inventory affects stock prices.


### 1.4. Problem Limitation

Based on the existing opinion from Bernard and Noel, Lev and Thiagarajan; Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin; Ozanian and Fluke that are being used in this research paper, so, this research, will take a sample of firms which is
basically divided into two groups: firm with informative change in inventory, and other firms, based on fundamental analysis. It would be done as knowledge on the informativeness of change in inventory, whether the effect of increasing in inventory would convey good or bad news, which will be useful for making firm valuation. In order to provide a clear description and to be able to impart useful information, the limitations of the study are indicated below:

1) They are manufacturing firm.
2) Sample that will be used for the research are only two groups, those are firms with informative change in inventory (firms with positive and significant association between percentage change in cost of goods sold and lag one percentage of production added to inventory), and other firms.
3) Fundamental analysis serves as the base of the primary approach of this research.
4) One of the 12 fundamental value drivers that would be used in this research is increase in inventory.
5) Firm group 1 is using annual and pooled regressions under both the levels and changes approaches
6) This study is using firm valuation analyses which consist of two approaches; those are the levels approach and the changes approaches (e.g., Kothari, 1992).
7) This study is investigating the association between CAR (cumulative abnormal returns) with CPAI (change in percentage of production added by inventory).
8) This study is investigating the association between PCIS (Percentage change in inventory value minus percentage change in sales) with CAR (Cumulative Abnormal Returns).
9) This study is investigating the predictive ability of inventory level on sales and earning (based on Bernard and Noel investigation) with firm value.
10) The association between change in inventory in this year and change in sales in the next year, i.e., inventory planning as the formulation for the effect of inventory change on persistency/sustainability of earnings.
11) To define the informativeness of change in inventory, focus has to be placed into two aspects of earnings quality: cash component of earnings (Comiskey, Mulford and Choi, 1994/1995; Sloan, 1996; Ozanian and Fluke, 2001) and persistency/sustainability of earnings (Comiskey, Mulford and Choi, 1994/1995; Revsine, Collins and Johnson, 1999).

### 1.5. Research Objectives

The objective of this research is to test the informativeness of change in inventory on stock prices from year 2003 to 2004.

### 1.6. Research Contributions

Research is a kind of way to acquire information about the problem on the company that concerned with it, thus this research can be used by any company as
well as writer or researcher. The benefits can be taken from this research by those stated as follows:

1. Investors and analysts can rely more heavily on earnings figures when analyzing firms with informative change in inventory.
2. Managers, as an additional consideration in making investment and financing decisions that are designed to maximizing the firm's stock price.
3. Companies, as an additional guideline to show the importance of publishing their financial statements in order to achieve stockholder wealth maximization by maximizing the price of the firm's common stock.
4. The researcher, it will increase her experience in researching and writing, improve her understanding and knowledge of that being studied and the opportunity to implement the theory that the writer studied in the university.
5. The reader, giving sequence knowledge and new a broader perspective especially to students in accounting department, particularly in analyzing how informativeness of the change in inventory will give an effect in doing firm valuation.

### 1.7. Definition of Terms

The author gives the definition of terms in order to make the reader understand about what they are going to read from the thesis.

- Fundamental analysis or valuation analysis is a set of methods for determining the value of an investment.
- Valuation model is the architecture for fundamental analysis that directs what's to be forecast as a payoff, what information is relevant for forecasting, and how forecasts are converted to a valuation.
- Value of the equity is the value of the payoffs a firm is expected to yield for its shareholders (its owners).
- Value of the firm (or enterprise value or unlevered value) is the value of the payoffs a firm is expected to yield for all its claimants.



## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

### 2.1. Financial Reporting

The Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts is one of a series of publications in the Board's conceptual framework for financial accounting and reporting. Statements in the series are intended to set forth objectives and fundamentals that will serve as the basis for development of financial accounting and reporting standards. The objectives identify the goals and purposes of financial reporting. The fundamentals are the underlying concepts of financial accounting-concepts that guide the selection of transactions, events, and circumstances to be accounted for; their recognition and measurement; and the means of summarizing and communicating them to interested parties. Concepts of that type are fundamental in the sense of that other concepts flow from them and repeated reference to them will be necessary in establishing, interpreting, and applying accounting and reporting standards.

The conceptual framework is a coherent system of interrelated objectives and fundamentals that is expected to lead to consistent standards and that prescribes the nature, function, and limits of financial accounting and reporting. It is expected to serve the public interest by providing structure and direction to financial accounting and reporting to facilitate the provision of evenhanded financial and related information that helps promote the efficient allocation of
scarce resources in the economy and society, including assisting capital and other markets to function efficiently.

Establishment of objectives and identification of fundamental concepts will not directly solve financial accounting and reporting problems. Rather, objectives give direction, and concepts are tools for solving problems.

General purpose financial statements are defined in the Preface to International Financial Reporting Standards as follows:

1. IFRSs apply to all general purpose financial statements. Such financial statements are directed towards the common information needs of a wide range of users, for example, shareholders, creditors, employees and the public at large. The objective of financial statements is to provide information about the financial position, performance and cash flows of an entity that is useful to those users in making economic decisions.
2. A complete set of financial statements includes a balance sheet, an income statement, a statement showing either all changes in equity or changes in equity other than those arising from capital transactions with owners and distributions to owners, a cash flow statement, and accounting policies and explanatory notes.
3. The objective of IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements is to prescribe the basis for the presentation of general purpose financial statements, to ensure comparability both with the entity's financial statements of previous periods and with the financial statements of other entities. IAS 1
specifies the purpose of financial statements and the components of a complete set of financial statements are as follows:

Financial statements are a structured representation of the financial position and financial performance of an entity. The objective of general purpose financial statements is to provide information about the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of an entity that is useful to a wide range of users in making economic decisions. Financial statements also show the results of management's stewardship of the resources entrusted to it. To meet this objective, financial statements provide information about an entity's:
(a) Assets;
(b) Liabilities;
(c) Equity;
(d) Income and expenses, including gains and losses;
(e) Other changes in equity; and
(f) Cash flows

This information, along with other information in the notes, assists users of financial statements in predicting the entity's future cash flows and, in particular, their timing and certainty.

### 2.2. Stock Price Determination and Firm Valuation

## Factors that Influence the Price of a Stock

Stock as one of the securities that is traded on the stock exchange is "the proportionate share in the ownership held by an individual stockholder" (Webster,
1996). Investors are willing to pay a certain price for certain stock based on the valuation and that they are expecting to receive higher returns than what they have paid for. "Basically, stock price is determined by the interaction between supply and demand of those stocks" (Sartono 1996:46). The stock price implied here is as big as the present value of the expected cash flows that will be received. In figure 2.1, we can see the interaction between supply and demand of a stock, which influences the stock price.

Figure 2.1
Determining the Stock Price

$\mathrm{Q}_{0}$
$Q_{1} \quad$ Total Shares of Stock
Source: Sartono, Agus R., 1996, Manajemen Keuangan, BPFE, Yogyakarta.

The price of $P$ is the beginning price for some stocks of $Q$ that is shown when supply and demand meet. If there is a change on the investors' perception as a whole, the demand curve will shift to up or down. However, the demand curve will not change if there is increase on demand of stock. The increase on demand of stock will affect the price to increase, however it is still on the same curve. From this figure, the movement of the demand curves that shift up will cause the stock price to increase and the demand of stocks will be higher. This kind of movement occurs because the expected profitability level increases or because of a decrease in the level of risk. The competitive capital market exists because there is pressure on demand and supply continuously, therefore the stock price adjusts quickly with all information changes. There are no individual investors that are capable of influencing the price on the stock market, which is the reason why investors can not get profits consistently.

The demands to purchase and supply to sell the stock are more influenced by the consideration of buyers or sellers about the internal and external condition of the company. The internal perspective, as defined by Sartono (1996: 17) consist of: "earnings per share of the projected stocks, timing of receiving profits, level of business risks, use of debt, dividend policies, and other external factor". Meanwhile Husnan (1996: 272) states that "the price of stocks is affected by two main elements, which are $r$ (level of profitability) and $D$ (dividend). If $r$ increases and $D$ is constant, then the stock price will go down. When $D$ increases and $r$ is constant then the stock price will go up". In knowing what factors influencing the stock price, we need to identify the factors that affect $r$ and $D$. The factors that
influence $r$ are the risk or beta stock and the free risk level of profitability. Furthermore, some things that affect how big or small the stock price are the dividend and the ability of the company to obtain bigger profits. The company can only share bigger dividends if the company can produce bigger profits. In this condition, the price of a stock will increase. For the external side, some factors that can affect the price of a stock are the level of tax laws, the level of interest rate, monetary and fiscal policies, the level and rate of inflation, political factors, government policies in certain industries, competition, etc.

Brigham and Houston (1998: 23) state that "managers should take steps to maximize the firm's stock price, in maximizing the firm's stock price it is decided by factors that influence and affect that stock". In valuing the stock price, every investor has different opinion on valuing the total expected dividend and the level of expected profits. The difference in this valuation is affected by the investors' optimism to the firm. Furthermore, these optimistic differences will cause two different sides that have different objectives.

First are the buyers of stocks and the second is the seller of the stocks. Buyers expect that there will be an increase of price after purchasing the stocks, while sellers expect that there will be a decrease of price on the stocks that they have sold. Jones (1998: 289) stated that "A security's estimated (intrinsic) value determines the price that investors place on it in the open market". If the intrinsic value is higher than the stock price, then this stock is considered to be undervalued, and investors should buy some stocks or hold their stocks. In contrast, if the intrinsic value is smaller than the stock price, then the stock price is
considered to be overvalued, and investors should avoid buying these stocks or sell them if they have this kind of stocks. If the intrinsic value is equal to the stock price, it is considered to be correct and usually there is no transaction for this kind of stock.

These conditions are showing that the price of a stock is changing all the time because each investor holds a different opinion on the valuing of and the intrinsic value of a stock. The valuation of a stock is affected by how big is the expectation, optimism, or the objective of the buyers and the sellers of stocks.

The value of either a stock or earnings has an important meaning to a firm. The increasing of earnings will determine the welfare of the shareholders, because the increasing of earnings can be a sign/information to a firm that their firm is growing as a production smoothing firm. Furthermore, this kind of information will have an effect for doing a firm valuation. Thus, this kind of information can be a sign that this firm can have good distribution of dividend to shareholders. Meaning to say, this firm will be able to maximize the welfare of the shareholders by maximizing the present value of all the expected profits that will be received by the shareholders in the following years. "The welfare of shareholders will automatically increase when the price of the stock they own increase" (Sartono, 1996:11). Firm valuation is necessary need in purpose to maximize the wealth of shareholders and the firm itself.

Empirical evidence indicates that increasing shareholder value does not conflict with the long-run interests of other stakeholders. Winning companies seem to create relatively greater value for all stakeholders: customers, labor, the
government (via taxes paid), and suppliers of capital. Yet, there are additional reasons-more conceptual in nature, but equally compelling-to adopt a system that emphasizes shareholder value. First, value is the best metric for performance that we know. Second, shareholders are the only stakeholders of a corporation who simultaneously maximize everyone's claim in seeking to maximize their own. And finally, companies that do not perform will find that capital flows toward their competitors.

Value (discounted cash flows) is best for it is the only measure that requires complete information. To understand value creation one must use a longterm point of view, manage all cash flows on both the income statement and the balance sheet, and understand how to compare cash flows from different time periods of risk-adjusted basis. It is nearly impossible to make good decisions without complete information.

Information in here can be obtained through the deeper analyses of the changes in inventory. The increase of inventory may convey good or bad news to a firm. In here, stock price serves as a tool for investigating the incremental value of increase in inventory over earnings for manufacturing firms.

Increase in inventory may convey good or bad news to the market for different reasons. It may convey good news under the production smoothing model (Blinder, 1986; Bernard and Noel, 1991). A firm can be described as a production smoothing firm if it variance of production is smaller than its variance of sales (Blinder, 1986). If its future demand is expected to be decreased, then it sells as much as possible its inventory now, i.e., inventory for the year is
decreased. Inventory levels, therefore, are positively associated with future sales. If a firm's future demand is expected to be decreased, then its future earnings are also expected to be decreased. Inventory level, therefore, is also positively associated with future earnings (Bernard and Noel, 1991). Empirical results from the economic literature, however, show that the variance of production is higher than the variance of sales for manufacturing, whole sale trade, and retail trade industries, i.e., production smoothing model is not adequate with descriptive model (Blinder and Maccini, 1991).

Increase in inventory may also convey good news when managers anticipate an increase in future sales (Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin, 1997). Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997) investigate the incremental value of increase in inventory over earnings for manufacturing firms from 1975 to 1992 using cumulative abnormal returns approach. The results of the pooled regression show that both unexpected earnings and increase in inventory are positively and significantly associated with cumulative abnormal earnings. The results annual regressions show that unexpected earnings are positively and significantly associated with CAR for all 18 years while CPAI (Change in Percentage of Production Added to Inventory) is positively and significantly associated with CAR in 11 of the 18 years. Thus, results in Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997) are consistent with the good news scenario.

Increase in inventory may convey bad news under the stockout model (Bernard and Noel, 1991). A firm can be described as a stockout firm if its variance of production is higher than its variance of sales (Blinder, 1986). A
decrease in inventory indicates a high frequency of stockouts, and a high level of demand. Thus, inventory levels are negatively associated with future sales, and therefore, future earnings (Bernard and Noel, 1991).

Increase in inventory may also convey bad news when the firm doing the things stated as follows:

1. Adds production to inventory in anticipation of a strike (Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin, 1997)
2. Faces an unexpected sales decrease (Lev and Thiagarajan, 1993; Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin, 1997).
3. Loses production or inventory control (Lev and Thiagarajan, 1993)
4. Has a growth of obsolete inventory items (Lev and Thiagarajan, 1993).
5. Tries to manipulate absorption-costing net income by increasing production volume (Lev and Thiagarajan, 1993; Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin, 1997)

Strictly speaking, an informative inventory planning process should be described as a process that matches percentage of production added to inventory with one year ahead percentage change in cost of goods sold, instead of sales (Lev and Thiagarajan, 1993). A good inventory planning firm, therefore, can be described as a firm that has a positive and significant association between its percentage change in cost of goods sold and its lag one percentage of production added to inventory. Its change in inventory is informative and can sustain future sales and cost of goods sold, and the quality of its earnings is higher. The association between its stock price and its earnings, therefore, is also higher.

### 2.3. Fundamental Information Analysis

Fundamental analysis refers to the process of using basic accounting measures or "fundamentals" like accounting earnings, cash flows, or book values to estimate a company's worth. Fundamental is aimed at determining the value of corporate securities by a careful examination of key value-drivers, such as earnings, risk, growth, and competitive position. In fact, to identify a set of fundamentals that will be used to evaluate firm's performance, firstly we have to put a mind set on the effect of the association between stock price and earnings on firm valuation.

Analysts generally attach a unique interpretation to a fundamental signal. In this research, we are studying on how the changes in inventory can bring useful information for firm valuation or convey bad news to the market. The fundamental value driver that is used in this study is the increase in inventory. Under this fundamental value driver here, increase in inventory may convey good or bad news to the market for different reasons. According to Blinder (1986) and Bernard and Noel (1991), it may convey good news under the production smoothing model. Whereas an increase in inventory may convey bad news under stockout model.

The interpretation that were built here is that a firm can be described as a production smoothing firm if its variance of production is smaller than its variance of sales (Blinder, 1986). If its future demand is expected to be decreased, then it sells as much as possible its inventory now, i.e., inventory for the year is decreased. Inventory levels, therefore, are positively associated with future sales.

If a firm's future demand is expected to be decreased, then its future earnings are also expected to be decreased. Inventory level, therefore, is also positively associated with future earnings (Bernard and Noel, 1991). Therefore, a disproportionate (to sales) inventory increase might sometimes provide a positive signal about manager's expectation of sales increases. Moreover, a decrease in inventory provide a negative signal, indicates a high frequency of stockouts, and a high level of demand. Nevertheless, initially, in the noncontextual part of this study, we follow a parsimonious approach (Ender, 1995) of examining the extent to which a single interpretation of a fundamental (i.e., the one used by analysts) is valid for a large-cross section of firms.

### 2.4. Inventories

One of the 12 signals for fundamental analysis that is examined here is inventory. Inventory increases that outrun cost of sales increases are frequently considered a negative signal because such increases suggest difficulties in generating sales. Furthermore, such inventory increases suggest that earnings are expected to decline as management attempts to lower the inventory levels (e.g., car manufacturers' periodic price concessions).

Disproportionate inventory increases may also suggest the existence of slow-moving or obsolete items that will be written off in the future. Another point, not mentioned by analysts, is that inventory buildups increase current earnings at the expense of future earnings by absorbing overhead costs. Inventory decreases, through infrequently noted by analysts, generally suggest higher than
expected sales and a decrease in overhead cost absorption, boding well for current and future earnings.

Because there are many inventory-holding motives, such as smoothing production in the face of fluctuating sales, minimizing stock-out costs, and speculating or hedging against future price movements, an inventory increase might sometimes convey a positive rather than a negative signal. Nevertheless, viewing a disproportionate inventory increase as a negative signal is consistent with the major inventory-holding motive-production smoothing. Such as been stated by Blinder and Maccini (1991, p.781) that economists have singled out the production-smoothing/buffer-stock motive for attention.

When production varies less than sales, a disproportionate inventory increase may result from an unexpected sales decrease, loss of production or inventory control, or growth of obsolete inventory items-all reflecting negatively on future earnings. Since these arguments apply particularly to the "finished goods" component of inventory, our empirical tests are based on this component when it is available on compustat and on "total inventories" otherwise. The formula for each sample firm and year the following inventory signal:

Percentage Change in Inventory - Percentage Change in Sales The annual percentage change in inventory (and correspondingly for sales) is defined as:
[ Inventory ${ }_{\mathrm{t}}-E\left(\right.$ Inventory $\left.\left._{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right] / E\left(\right.$ Inventory $\left._{\mathrm{t}}\right)$,
where $E($.$) denotes expected value. Since the writer of this thesis is regressing$ unexpected returns on the fundamental signals, the signals should reflect the
unexpected component of the fundamental variable. The writer used two expectation models: a random walk and a two-year averaging model $\left(E\left(\right.\right.$ Inventory $\left._{\mathrm{t}}\right)=1 / 2\left(\right.$ Inventory $_{\mathrm{t}-1}+$ Inventory $\left.\left._{\mathrm{t}-2}\right)\right)$. The empirical test indicated that the two expectation models yield very similar results; the findings reported below are those based on the two-year average model for all the fundamental signals. Since a positive value of the inventory signal is a priori perceived as "bad news", the signal is expected to be negatively correlated with stock returns.

### 2.5. Sales and Earnings and the Connectivity with Inventory

For manufacturers, especially those whose production is less variable relative to sales, unexpected changes in raw materials and work-in-process inventory (after controlling for current sales) are positive leading indicators of future sales, consistent with a "lead time" or "production smoothing" model of inventory. However, such changes are essentially neutral as far as earnings are concerned. In contrast, unexpected changes in manufacturers' finished goods inventory have little or no relation with future sales, and are negative leading indicators of future earnings, even after controlling for the impact of current sales on inventory levels; this is consistent with a "stockout model" of inventory.

### 2.6. The effect on Stock Prices regarding information in accruals and cash flows about the future earnings.

The nature of the information contained in the accrual and cash flow components of earnings and the extent to which this information is reflected in
stock prices. Meanwhile, the stock price itself, based on what is described in a nutshell, are formed by a company's ability to generate cash flows in present and in the future. It can be laid on based on three basic facts, those are:

1. Any financial asset, including a company's stock, is valuable only to the extent that it generates cash flows.
2. The timing of cash flows matters - cash received sooner is better, because it can be reinvested in the company to produce additional income or else be returned to investors.
3. Investors, generally, are averse to risk, so all else equal, they will pay more for a stock whose cash flows are relatively certain than for one whose cash flows are more risky.

Because of these three facts, managers can enhance their firm's stock prices by increasing the size of the expected cash flows, by speeding up their receipt, and by reducing their risk. In here, the effect of stock prices can bring good or bad news to a firm. Stocks commonly are purchased because shareholders want to earn a good return on their investment without undue risk exposure. In addition, in a firm, the primary goal is stockholder wealth maximization by maximizing the price of the firm's common stock. Beside of explanation above, there are three primary determinants of cash flows, those are:

1. Unit sales.
2. After-tax operating margins.
3. Capital requirements.

The first factor has two parts, the current level of sales and their expected future growth rate. Managers can increase sales; hence, cash flows, by truly understanding their customers and then providing the goods and services that customers want. Some companies may find it fortunate to come into a situation that creates rapid sales growth, but the unfortunate reality is that market saturation and competition will, in the long-term, cause their sales growth rate to decline to a level that is limited by population growth and inflation. Therefore, managers must constantly strive to create new products, services, and brand identifies that cannot be easily replicated by competitors, and thus to extend the period of high growth for as long as possible.

The second determinant of cash flows is the amount of after-tax profit that the company can keep after it has paid its employees and suppliers. One possible way to increase operating profit is to charge higher prices. However, in a competitive economy such as ours, higher prices can be charged only for products that meet the needs of customers better than competitors' products. Another way to increase operating profit is to reduce direct expenses, such as labor and materials. However, and paradoxically, sometimes companies can create even higher profit by spending more on labor and materials.

The third factor affecting cash flows is the amount of money a company must invest in plant and equipment. In short, it takes cash to create cash. For example, as a part of their normal operations, most companies must invest in inventory, machines, buildings, and so forth. However, each dollar tied up in operating assets is a dollar that the company must "rent" from investors and pay
for by paying interest or dividends. Therefore, reducing asset requirements tends to increase cash flows, which increases the stock price. For example, companies that successfully implement just-in-time inventory systems generally increase their cash flows, because they have less cash tied up in inventory. Each of investment and financing decisions that are taken by a manager is likely to affect the level, timing, and risk of the firm's cash flows, and, therefore, the price of its stock. Naturally, managers should make investment and financing decisions that are designed to maximize the firm's stock price.

Although managerial actions affect stock prices, stocks are also influenced by such external factors as legal constraints, the general level of economic activity, tax laws, interest rates, and conditions in the stock market. Working within the set of external constraints, management makes a set of longrun strategic policy decisions that chart a future course for the firm. These policy decisions, along with the general level of economic activity and the level of corporate income taxes, influence expected cash flows, their timing, and their perceived risk. These factors all affect the price of the stock, but so do another factor, the stock market's overall condition.

After a brief explanation about the things that forming and will be affecting stock price, it has been investigated that the results indicate that earnings performance attributable to the accrual component of earnings exhibits lower persistence than earnings performance attributable to the cash flow component of earnings. The results also indicate that stock prices act as if investors "fixate" on earnings, failing to distinguish fully between the different properties of the accrual
and cash flow components of earnings. Consequently, firms with relatively high (low) levels of accruals experience negative (positive) future abnormal stock returns that are concentrated around future earnings announcements.

Instead of relying on a statistically motivated model to predict future earnings, it is using model that relies on the characteristics of the underlying accounting process that are documented in texts on financial statement analysis. While Ou and Penmann (1989) and Bernard and Thomas (1990) use a random walk model to represent investors' naive earnings expectations, then it would be using a less restrictive model that assumes investors might not fully discriminate between different component of earnings.

Bernard and Stober (1989) find no evidence that stock prices respond in a systematic manner to the release of information about the cash flow and accrual components of earnings and conjecture that the information content of these two components of earnings may not be systematically different. However, the results demonstrate that the information content of these components is systematically different, but that stock prices do not reflect this information fully until it gives an impact on future earnings.

Information in prices is assumed useful in forecasting more-than-one-period-ahead earnings changes in examining its effect on the estimated slope coefficient and explanatory power of alternative specifications of the priceearnings relation.

Because prices reflect information about future earnings changes, therefore:

1. Compared to the change specification, the levels specification yields higher explanatory power and a less biased earnings response coefficient estimate, where the 'true' coefficient is the slope coefficient from a timeseries regression of unexpected return on scaled unexpected earnings.
2. The levels specification yields a biased earnings response coefficient when prices contain information about more-than-one-period-ahead earnings changes.
3. If an accurate proxy for the market's unexpected earnings is used, the earnings response coefficient estimate is unbiased and the explanatory power is greater than that using the levels and change specifications.
4. Beginning-of-the-year price as a deflator, compared to the previous year's earnings, yields a less biased earnings response coefficient estimate and higher explanatory power.

The explanatory power of the typically estimated price-earnings regression is expected to be low, perhaps only about $15-20$ percents.

If most of a stock's value is due to long-term cash flows, managers and analysts pay much attention to quarterly earnings, because it all lies in the information conveyed by short-term earnings. For example, if actual quarterly earnings are lower than expected, it is not because of fundamental problems but only because a company has increased its R\&D expenditure, studies have shown that the stock price probably will not decline and may actually increase. This is logical, because R\&D should increase future cash flows. On the other hand, if quarterly earnings are lower than expected because customers do not like the
company's new products, then this new information will have negative implication for future values of g , the long-term growth rate. Even small changes in $g$ can lead to large changes in stock prices. Therefore, while the quarterly earnings themselves might not be very important, the information they convey about future prospects can be terribly important. Another reason why a number of managers focus on short-term earnings is that some firms pay managerial bonuses on the basis of current earnings rather than stock prices (which reflect future earnings). For these managers, the concern with quarterly earning is not due to their effect on stock prices-it is due to their effect on bonuses.

### 2.7. Previous Studies

The previous studies that serve as the base of this research are:

1. Bernard and Noel (1991)

Bernard and Noel (1991) have investigated the predictive ability of inventory level on sales and earnings. Whether there is any significant relationship between future sales, future earnings and with stock price.

Their results indicate that increase in finished goods inventory is not related to future sales, but it is negatively associated with future earnings. Given the overwhelming empirical evidence on the positive association between earnings and stock price, increase in inventory most likely is also negatively associated with stock price.
2. Lev and Thiagarajan (1993)

Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) had been made any investigation then had been generated 12 signals for fundamental analysis. One of the signals is increase in inventory, which is measured by percentage change in inventory value minus percentage change in sales (referred to by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997) as PCIS).

Their result shows that increase in inventory is negatively associated with 12 months excess stock returns, i.e., a result that is consistent with that implied in Bernard and Noel (1991).
3. Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997)

Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997) have also studied the association between cumulative abnormal returns (CAR) over a 12 months window with the increase in inventory, measured by the change in percentage of production added to inventory (CPAI).

Their result shows that CPAI is positively associated with CAR, i.e., a result seems to be inconsistent with that in Lev and Thiagarajan (1993). Jiambalvo, Noreen, and Shelvin (1997), however, are unable to explain the inconsistency. They conclude that the measures for increase in inventory in the two studies (PCIS and CPAI) are different; PCIS is negatively associated with CAR while CPAI is positively associated with CAR, but the product moment correlation between PCIS and CPAI is positive and significant. Thus, increase in inventory is a significant
fundamental signal regardless of the different measures and the seemingly inconsistent empirical results.

While in Indonesia, the similar study is not yet conducted by Indonesian researcher. Nevertheless, there are many overseas researchers using sample firm in Indonesia

### 2.8. Theoretical Approach

Besides using valuation approach to examine the association between firm value and earnings, this research is also using the cumulative abnormal returns approach that had been done by Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) and Jiambalvo, Noreen, and Shelvin (1997), in which they measured the incremental value of increase in inventory over earning for manufacturing firms from 1975 to 1992. Increase in inventory is defined as the change in percentage of production added to inventory (CPAI). In order to reconcile the results in Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997) and Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) as well as to define the informativeness of change in inventory, the focus has to be placed on two aspects of earnings quality: cash component of earnings (Comiskey, Mulford and Choi, 1994/1995; Sloan, 1996; Ozanian and Fluke, 2001) and persistence/sustainability of earnings (Comiskey, Mulford and Choi, 1994/1995; Revsine, Collins and Johnson, 1999).

Cash component generally is defined as cash from operating activities (e.g., Collins and Hribar, 1999). An increase in inventory does not hurt earnings but does hurt cash flow from operations (Ozanian and Fluke, 2001) and, therefore,
quality of earnings. Results of Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) support the cash component argument while results of Jiambalvo, Noreen, and Shelvin (1997) do not support the cash component. Thus, the cash component argument alone does not explain the impact of change in inventory; the persistence/sustainability of earnings has to be considered.

The effect of inventory change on persistence/sustainability of earnings can be formulated by the association between change in inventory in this year and change in sales in the next year, i.e., inventory planning. Low association may imply a disproportionate inventory increase (Lev and Thiagarajan, 1993) resulting from, from example, unexpected sales decrease or loss of production control. Low association may also imply a disproportionate inventory decrease resulting from, for example, high degree of demand or high frequency of stockouts. Thus, all the events associated with low association reflect negatively on earnings. High association implies that change in inventory is proportionate, can sustain future sales and cost of goods, and results in higher quality of earnings, which are derived from subtracting cost of goods sold and other expenses from sales.

Production smoothing is not a good inventory planning in that it does not match current inventory level with future sales. Neither are increases in inventory due to a sales slowdown, a loss of inventory control, a growth of obsolete inventory, or manipulation of absorption-costing net income. Thus, an informative inventory planning process can be described as a process that matches change in inventory with change in future sales.

### 2.8.1 Cumulative Abnormal Return

The abnormal return for a day is the actual return for that day minus the return predicted for that day. Once the size of the abnormal return has been estimated for each day in the event window, the daily abnormal returns can be summed to find the cumulative abnormal return, or CAR, which is a measure of the impact of the event on the security's return. Hypothesis testing is used to test the statistical significance of the CAR to determine the probability that a CAR of that particular size had occurred due to random chance rather than in response to the incorporation of new information.

Once the event window has been selected and it has been determined whether the event in the event window has been partially anticipated, the actual calculation of the CAR is straightforward. The essence of the analysis is to find a "benchmark" level of performance of a comparable security during the event period and then subtract that level of performance from the security's actual performance during the event window. The benchmark is constructed to mimic the rate of return that the subject security would have had during the event window if the event under analysis had not occurred. Historically this benchmark has been constructed by calculating the average rate of return that is observed for stocks in general that day, and then adjusting that average return for the risk of the subject security. Recent evidence has cast doubt on some of the risk adjustment methods and, independently, models using unadjusted returns seem to perform as well as adjusted-return models. However, the benchmark is calculated, subtracting the benchmark level of performance from the stocks actual performance for a
particular day in the event window gives the abnormal return (AR) on the stock for that day. If the stock's AR for a day is positive, it becomes an evidence that the stock is reacting to the release of some positive news, while a negative AR is an evidence that the stock is reacting to some negative news. Often economists believe that it takes more than one day for new information to be fully reflected in a stock's price, so it is typical to add together the stock's ARs for two or three trading days. This summation is called as the "cumulative abnormal return" or "CAR" and the CAR is the subject of the hypothesis tests discussed infra.

If the estimated CAR is near zero, this is an evidence that the event hypothesized to have affected the value of the security did not actually affect the value of the security. On the other hand, if the CAR differs substantially from zero, which is evidence that the event did affect the value of the security. Indeed, the investigation of whether the CAR is about zero or whether it differs substantially from zero is the financial economics analog of the epidemiology inquiry that the Court required in Daubert. Such an inquiry is conducted by specifying a hypothesis, called the null hypothesis, that CAR is equal to zero, and then testing CAR to see if the scientist can reject (or falsify, to use the word that so concerned the Chief Justice in Daubert) that hypothesis. If the scientist can reject the null hypothesis, we can say that CAR differs from zero in a statistically significant manner and the event had an effect on the value of the security. If we fail to reject the null hypothesis, we are unable to determine that the event affected the value of the security.

### 2.9. Hypotheses Formulation

In $1^{\text {st }}$ alternative hypothesis, it is formed in line with what had been stated on previous studies; Bernard and Noel (1991) have investigated the predictive ability of inventory level on sales and earnings. Bernard and Noel (1991) have investigated the predictive ability of inventory level on sales and earnings. Whether there is any significant relationship between future sales, future earnings and with stock price. Their results indicate that increase in finished goods inventory does not have any relation to future sales, but it is negatively associated with future earnings. Given the overwhelming empirical evidence on the positive association between earnings and stock price, increase in inventory most likely is also negatively associated with stock price. Considering the explanation above, it leads to form the alternatives hypothesis as below:

## H1 : Earnings is positively associated with stock price

In $2^{\text {nd }}$ alternative hypothesis, it is formed in line with what had been stated on previous studies, that Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) had made a research that had generated the 12 signals for fundamental analysis One of the signals is the increase in inventory, which is measured by percentage change in inventory value minus percentage change in sales (referred to by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997) as PCIS). Their result shows that the increase in inventory is negatively associated with 12 months excess stock returns, i.e., a result that is consistent with that implied in Bernard and Noel (1991). Considering the explanation above, it leads to form the $2^{\text {nd }}$ alternatives hypothesis as follows:

## H2 : There is negative association between increase in inventory and stock price.

Besides of what have been stated by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997) on previous studies, they also investigate the incremental value of increase in inventory over earnings for manufacturing firms from 1975 to 1992 using the cumulative abnormal returns approach. The results of the pooled regression show that both unexpected earnings and increase in inventory are positively and significantly associated with cumulative abnormal earnings. The results of annual regression show that unexpected earnings are positively and significantly associated with CAR for all 18 years while CPAI is positively and significantly associated with CAR in 11 of 18 years. Considering the explanation above, it leads to form the $3^{\text {rd }}$ alternatives hypothesis as follows:

## H3 : There is positive association on earnings between increase in inventory

 and stock price.
## CHAPTER III

## RESEARCH METHOD

### 3.1. Type of Research Method

This thesis used the quantitative analysis method. The quantitative analysis is a characteristic of variables when the value is stated on the numerical form. The characteristic of the measurement variable makes the value being placed in interval.

Furthermore, this study is also using a research methodology that is fundamentally different from those in the prior studies in two respects, those are as follows:

1. It classifies firms into two groups which Group I consists of firms with positive and significant association between percentage changes in cost of goods sold and lag one percentage of production added to inventory while Group 0 consists of other firms. Whereas Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) and Jiambalvo, Noreen, and Shelvin (1997) did not. To classify the sample firms in this research, the researcher was using 7 (seven) years, from year the 1998 to 2004, and then two years are found with its dummies to conduct this research that is from 2003 and 2004.
2. It uses the firm valuation analysis that consists of two approaches, those are the level approach and the changes approach. Those two approaches are used to examine the association between firm value and earnings while Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) and Jiambalvo, Noreen, and Shelvin
(1997) use the cumulative abnormal returns approach to study the incremental value of increase in inventory over earnings.

### 3.2. Population and Sample

The population from which the sample was taken for this study referred to all companies that were listed in JSX from the period January 1998 to December 2004. The samples for the study were those companies that meet following criteria:

1. Companies that developing as manufacturing firm.
2. Companies which annual total inventory data from 1998 to 2004 available in the Research Insight database.
3. Companies which annual cost of goods sold data from 1998 to 2004 available in the Research Insight database.
4. Companies which close price per share, basic earnings per share excluding extraordinary items, and book value per share data from 2002 to 2004 available in the Research Insight database.
5. Companies which common equity and shares used to calculate basic earnings per share data from 2002 to 2004 available in the Research Insight database.
6. Companies with inventory methods data from 2003 to 2004; gross profit, selling and administrative expenses data from 2002 to 2004; then 152 firms are selected from the database.

### 3.3. Data Collection

Data collection was conducted by compiling the secondary data that were available and quoted properly from the data sources in the library of Faculty of Economics UII Yogyakarta, MM UGM Library Yogyakarta, and Jakarta Stock Exchange Corner. The data collection and the sources of data are described below:

1. The data samples are manufacturing firms
2. Their annual total inventory data from 1998 to 2004 are available in the Research Insight database.
3. Their annual costs of goods sold data from 1998 to 2004 are available in the Research Insight database
4. Their close price per share, basic earnings per share excluding extraordinary items, and book value per share data from 2002 to 2004 are available in the Research Insight database.
5. Their common equity and shares used to calculate basic earnings per share data from 2002 to 2004 are available in the Research Insight database.
6. 152 firms are selected from the database. In addition to the above data, their inventory methods data from 2003 to 2004, gross profit and selling and administrative expenses data from 2002 to 2004 are also collected.

### 3.4. Research Variables

There are two variables in this research. Those are dependent variable and independent variable.

1) Independent Variable

Independent Variable is a variable that is not depending on other variables. It is usually called as free variable. The independent variables in this research are: unexpected earnings and CPAI (Change in Percentage of Production Added to Inventory)
2) Dependent Variable

Dependent variable is a variable that depends on other variables. Dependent variable in this research is CAR (Cumulative Abnormal Returns) over 12 months. CAR is acquired at closing stock price in the research period.

- There are two models that can be used in calculating the increase in inventory under cumulative abnormal return, in purpose to know whether increase in inventory may convey good or bad news to the market, then they are:
a. $\quad$ Model : $\left.\left.\mathrm{CPAI}_{\mathrm{t}}=\left(\left(\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{QS}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) / \mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)-\left(\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}-\mathrm{QS}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right) / \mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)\right)$

Where:
$\mathrm{QP}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ is quantity produced in year $t$
$\mathrm{QS}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ is quantity sold in year $t$.

The statistical model was used to show whether the association between unexpected earnings and increase in inventory are positively and significantly associated with cumulative abnormal earnings under pooled regression and to show whether unexpected earnings are positively and significantly associated with CAR under annual regression
b. Model : $\mathrm{PAI}_{t}=\left(\mathrm{QP}_{t}-\mathrm{QS}_{t}\right) / \mathrm{QP}_{t}=\Delta \mathrm{INV}_{\mathrm{abs}, t} /\left(\mathrm{COG}_{\mathrm{abs}, t}+\right.$ Where: $\Delta \mathrm{INV}_{\text {abs }, t}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{INV}_{\mathrm{abs}, t}= & \text { is change in inventory value under absorption } \\
& \text { costing in year } t \\
\mathrm{COG}_{\text {abs }, t}= & \text { is cost of goods sold under absorption-costing } \\
& \text { in year } t .
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation above can be used to measure the change in inventory by the percentage of production added to inventory (PAI), which the concept is defined by and derived from Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997)

### 3.5. Technique of Data Analysis

The data analysis used in this research is Linear Multiple Regression Analysis. Linear Multiple Regression Analysis is used to test the hypothesis. In this research, researcher used a Linear Multiple Regression in level model in order to know the relationship between CAR variable, unexpected earnings, and CPAI
(Change in Percentage of Production Added to Inventory). The steps of analysis are divided into some groups of framework based on the hypothesis followed by forming the regression model and formulate the hypothesis testing. The steps analyses of the hypotheses are explained as follows:
a) The identification of the event date.
b) Determining the event window, which were the five days before and five days after the listing date of the stock price.
c) Obtaining the data of firms that meets the criteria written in 3.3
d) Making statistical comparisons on the increase in inventory, to find out if there were significant differences between those two firms and periods.
e) Making statistical comparisons on annual total inventory data from 1998 to 2004
f) Making statistical comparisons on annual cost of goods sold data from 1998 to 2004.
g) Making grouping/classification firms from 2003 to 2004.
h) Making computation of dummies for several variables that are needed to support the hypotheses tests.
i) Making statistical comparisons on close price per share, basic earnings per share excluding extraordinary items, and book value per share data from 2002 to 2004.
j) Making statistical comparisons on common equity and shares used to calculate basic earnings per share data from 2002 to 2004.
k) Making statistical comparisons inventory methods data from 2003 to 2004, gross profit, selling and administrative expenses data from 2002 to 2004.

To examine the hypothesis, the following models were used:
a. A paired different test with the $t$-test parameter. This model was used to test some hypotheses about the variation between two population means for unexpected earnings and CPAI (Change in Percentage of Production Added to Inventory) variables.
b. Multiple regression analysis. This method was used to examine hypotheses (the relationship between earnings level and price level with increase in inventory, effect of the informativeness of change in inventory on firm valuation). Regressions were performed for each of the two sample groups by pooling data from 2003 to 2004.

## The regression model:

1. $\% \Delta \operatorname{COG}_{\mathrm{t}+1}=\left(\mathrm{COG}_{\mathrm{t}+1}-\mathrm{COG}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) / \mathrm{COG}_{\mathrm{t}}=\alpha_{1}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{PAI}_{\mathrm{t}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}$

This regression model was used to classify the firms.
Where:
$\% \Delta \operatorname{COG}_{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}}=$ is percentage change in cost of goods sold for year $t+1$
$\mathrm{PAI}_{t}=$ is percentage of production added to inventory for year $t$ defined by Equation (3.2).
2. Levels analysis. The levels approach can be represented by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{t}}=\alpha_{2}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{t}= & \text { close price per share for year } t \\
E_{t}= & \text { basic earnings per share excluding extraordinary items } \\
& \text { for year } t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Both the dependent and independent variables are normalized by beginning common equity per share. $\mathbf{B}_{2}$ is expected to be positive and significant, i.e., earnings level is positively and significantly associated with stock price level.
3. Changes analysis. The changes approach can be represented by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{t}-P_{t-1}\right)=\alpha_{3}+\beta_{3}\left(E_{1}-E_{1-1}\right)+\varepsilon_{t} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both the dependent and independent variables are normalized by beginning common equity per share. $\beta_{3}$ is expected to be positive and significant, i.e., earnings change is positively and significantly associated with stock price change.
4. Combined and pooled regressions. Barth, Elliot and Finn (1999) suggested the usage of an indicator variable to combine both sample groups into one regression. For the level approach, then the equation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}=\alpha_{4}+\beta_{4} E_{t}+\beta_{5}\left(D^{2} \times E_{t}\right)+\varepsilon_{t} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where:
D is an indicator variable; it equals one for Group 1, and zero for Group 0 .

Both the dependent and the independent variables are normalized by beginning common equity per share. The rationale for using the indicator variable is explained in Neter, Wasserman and Kuther (1985) as follows: For Group 1 firms $(\mathrm{D}=1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[P_{t}\right]=\alpha_{4}+\left(\beta_{4}+\beta_{5}\right) E\left[E_{t}\right] \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where: $\mathrm{E}=$ is the expectations operator.
For Group 0 firms ( $D=0$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}\right]=\alpha_{4}+\beta_{4} \mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{E}_{1}\right] \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. The difference between the two groups, therefore, is represented by $\beta_{5}$. If Group 1 firms do have a higher price-earnings multiple, then $\beta_{5}$ should be positive and statistically significant. For the changes approach, the regression equation is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{t}-P_{t-1}\right)=\alpha_{5}+\beta_{6}\left(E_{t}-E_{t-1}\right)+\beta_{7}\left(D \times\left(E_{t}-E_{t-1}\right)\right)+\varepsilon_{t} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both the dependent variable and the independent variables are normalized by beginning common equity per share. $\beta_{7}$ should be positive and statistically significant if Group 1 firms have a higher price-earnings multiple than Group 0 firms.
6. Following are the levels and the changes regressions by incorporating the control variable:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathbf{t}}=\alpha_{6}+\beta_{8} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}+\beta_{9} \text { InvM1 }+\varepsilon_{t} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)=\alpha_{7}+\beta_{10}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)+\beta_{11} \text { InvM1 }+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where:
InvM1 equals 1 if inventory method is FIFO and 0 otherwise
7. Price, price change, earnings, and earnings change are normalized by beginning common equity per share. An indicator variable can also be included in the levels and the changes regressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=\alpha_{8} & +\beta_{12} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}+\beta_{13} \operatorname{InvM} 1+\beta_{14}\left(\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}  \tag{3.12}\\
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right) & =\alpha_{9}+\beta_{15}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{1-1}\right)+\beta_{16} \text { InvM1 } \\
& +\beta_{17}\left(\mathrm{D} \times\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)\right)+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

8. Analysis by decomposing earnings. Earnings per share before extraordinary items are decomposed as the following in this study:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{GP}-\mathrm{SA}-\text { Other } \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where:
E = earnings per share before extraordinary
GP = gross profit per share
$\mathrm{SA}=$ selling and administrative expense per share
Other = other expense per share

$$
\text { (i.e., Other }=G P-S A-E \text { ). }
$$

9. Level analyses are performed for each group, and for the combined sample is using indicator variables:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{t}=\alpha_{10}+\beta_{18}{G P_{t}}^{+}+\beta_{19} S A_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}  \tag{3.15}\\
& P_{t}=\alpha_{11}+\beta_{20} G_{t}+\beta_{21} S A_{t}+\beta_{22}\left(\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\beta_{23}\left(\mathrm{D} \times \mathrm{SA}_{1}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dependent and the independent variables are normalized by beginning common equity per share.
10. Changes analyses are also performed for each group, and for the combined sample using indicator variables:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)= & \alpha_{12}+\beta_{24}\left(\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-G \mathrm{PP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)+\beta_{25}\left(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}(3.17) \\
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)= & \alpha_{13}+\beta_{26}\left(\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)+\beta_{27}\left(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right) \\
& +\beta_{28}\left(\mathrm{Dx}\left(\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)\right)+\beta_{29}\left(\mathrm{D} \times\left(\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\right)\right) \\
& +\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}} \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Both the dependent and the independent variables are normalized by beginning common equity per share.

### 3.5.1. The period of Observation

The time of observation for this research was five days before and five days after the listing date of the stock price. Those times were applied for all samples during January 2003 to December 2004. The eleven days of research observation was sufficient to see the changes of inventory resulting from the change in inventory activity.

### 3.6. Formulated Hypothesis and Hypothesis Testing.

Based on the problem statements and the review of the related literature, the alternatives hypotheses and the null hypotheses that are proposed in this research are as follows:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ol}}: \beta_{\mathrm{i}} \leq 0
$$

An earnings is not positively associated with stock price.

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A} 1}: \beta_{\mathrm{i}}>0
$$

An earnings is positively associated with stock price.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o} 2}: \beta_{\mathrm{i}} \geq 0$

There is no negative association between increase in inventory and stock price.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A} 2}: \beta_{\mathrm{i}}<0$

There is negative association between increase in inventory and stock price.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o3}}: \beta_{\mathrm{i}} \leq 0$

There is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A} 3}: \beta_{\mathrm{i}}>0$
There is positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price.

The hypothesis testing will be done by using the Linear Multiple Regression in order to find the relationship between the dependent and independent variables that are used in this research. This research used the significant level of $95 \%$ or $\alpha=5 \%$. The data, then, were processed by using SPSS 12.0 (Statistical Package for Social Science) computer software and E-views to do such classical assumption test in multiple regressions and to overcome them. After
finding the regression results, which all the hypothesis testing was done by using all the regression equation models, the researcher analyzed the significance of coefficient and variable.

To test the hypotheses, researcher used model developed by:
a) To test the $1^{\text {st }}$ hypothesis, the research was using $2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ model of Linear Multiple Regression equation.

The $2^{\text {nd }}$ model was used to find the association of earnings with stock price for year $t$. Meanwhile, the $3^{\text {rd }}$ model here was used to test the association of changes of earnings for year t to year $t-l$ with stock price for year $t$ to year $t-1$. Thus, the $4^{\text {th }}$ model here was used to combine both sample groups into one regression, namely by pooled regression. Then the $5^{\text {th }}$ model was used to test which group sample that has informativeness of change in inventory that would be useful for firm valuation.
b) To test the $2^{\text {nd }}$ null hypothesis, the research was using $6^{\text {th }}$ model of Linear Multiple Regression equation.

In the $6^{\text {th }}$ model here, the researcher wanted to see the association of changes in inventory and stock price, both in year $t$ and the changes between year $t$ and
$t-I$.
c) To test the $3^{\text {rd }}$ hypothesis, the research used $7^{\text {h }}, 9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ model of Linear Multiple Regression equation.

In this case, $7^{\text {th }}$ model was used to test $3^{\text {rd }}$ null hypothesis, because the researcher wants to know whether there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price, both in year $t$ and the changes between year $t$ to year $t-1$. In the $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ models of regression here are used to test the connection between earnings and stock price whether earnings has side effect on stock price, including to have a deeper analysis on them.

### 3.7. Testing and Detecting the Classical Assumption on Multiple Regression

a. The outliers test.

The outliers test is an unusual observation in the data set. It happens when your data is included as extreme data. The impacts of the existence of outliers are as follows: $\beta_{i}$ is changed, T-test will change, F-test will change, and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ adj will change too. Two useful methods for detecting outliers are box plots and Z-scores. The Cook's Distance of 2.5 ranges is also used to detect the outliers. In addition, the treatment of it is by deleting the data or excluding the extreme data.

## b. The multicollinearity test.

The multicollinearity test means there is a correlation among the independent variables. The impacts of the existence of multicollinearity are as the following: $\beta_{\mathrm{i}}$ is changed, T-test will change, F-test will change, and $R^{2}$ adj will change too. The existence of multicollinearity can be seen
in the Calculation results of the Tolerance (TOL) and Variation Inflation Factor (VIF). Gujarati (1995) stated that a variable would have high collinearity if its VIF is more than 10 (ten) or its tolerance tend to be close to 0 (zero). In addition, the treatment of it is by:

1) Ignoring it; if there is no evidence of multicollinearity on your data.
2) Dropping a variable(s) and specification bias.

## c. The autocorrelation test.

The term autocorrelation may be defined as "correlation between members during a series of observations ordered in time (as in time series data) or space (as in cross-sectional data)" Gujarati (2003: 442). Autocorrelation test is used to detect the serial correlation between disturbance terms. The impacts of the existence of autocorrelation are: $\beta_{\mathrm{i}}$ is change, T-test will change, $F$-test will change, and $R^{2}$ adj will change too. The most celebrated test for detecting serial correlation is that developed by statisticians Durbin-Watson $d$ statistic. As for other test to detect autocorrelation, we can use Lagrance multiplier test (Breusch-Godfrey Statistic), and Q statistic (Box-Pierce and Ljungbox). As long as the data by Durbin-Watson close to 2 , then those data do not have any problem nor auto correlation. In addition, the treatment of it is by:

1) Ignoring it when your test is not a time series (pooling or crosssectional test).
2) Transform all variable. When your test is time series, transform them into another form. You do that until there is no auto correlation anymore.

Test of time series is normally to see the relationship of data from one series to another series.

Durbin Watson d Statistics, Gujarati p. 469

| Reject Ho Evidence of <br> positive autocorrelation | Zone of indecision | Do not reject Ho or $\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{o}$ or both. No autocorrelation | Zone of indecision | Reject H* evidence of negative autocorrelation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Ho : No positive auto correlation
$\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{o}$ : No negative auto correlation

Durbin Watson d Test : Decision Rules, Gujarati p. 470

| Null Hypothesis | Decision | If |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No positive | Reject | $0<d<d L$ |
| autocorrelation | No |  |
| No positive | decision | $\mathrm{dL}<\mathrm{d}<\mathrm{dU}$ |
| autocorrelation | Reject | $4-\mathrm{dL}<\mathrm{d}<4$ |
| No negative | No |  |
| autocorrelation | No negative <br> autocorrelation | decision <br> Do not <br> reject |
| No correlation, positive <br> or negative | $\mathrm{dU}<\mathrm{dU}<\mathrm{d}<4-\mathrm{dL}$ |  |

## d. The heteroscedasticity test.

The heteroscedasticity test means there is no difference in the standard value of deviation of dependent variable in each independent variable value. It is occurred when the variance $\left(\sigma^{2}\right)$ does not equal to $0\left(\sigma^{2}\right.$ $\neq 0$ ). The impacts of the existence of heteroscedasticity are as the following: $\beta_{i}$ is changed, T-test will change, F-test will change, and $R^{2}$ adj will change too. Heteroscedasticity can be detected by analyzing the coefficient of the Spearman's correlation test. In addition, it can use the scatter diagram and the park test. The treatment of it is by: transforming all the data until there is no heteroscedasticity (into homocedasticity). To detect the existence of heteroscedasticity on your data is by:

1) First, we run $Y=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ then finds the error ( $\varepsilon$ ) or residual.
2) Calculate the $\varepsilon^{2}$ (variance).
3) Run regression: $\varepsilon^{2}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$. If $\beta_{i} \neq 0$, then there is heteroscedasticity on your test.
4) Do t-test on $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$, from step 3 . If there is one coefficient of variable $\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ then there is heteroscedasticity.

## CHAPTER IV

## RESEARCH FINDINGS, DISCUSSIONS, AND IMPLICATIONS

### 4.1 Research Description

### 4.1.1. Research Population and Sample

"Population is the total collection of elements which is we wish to make some inferences" (Cooper \& Pamela, 1998). The meaning of this statement is that the population will determine the overall conclusion which will be made. The population on this research is the companies that are already go public and are listed in the Indonesia Capital Market Directory (ICMD) and in the Jakarta Stock Exchange (JSX) until the end of December 2004.

The population on this research is the companies that are already go public and listed in the Index Capital Market Directory book on JSX corner until the end of December 2004 and there are 153 companies that have been included as manufacturing firms (See Appendix 1). Among these 153 companies, based on the inventory method that I used, I eliminate one company that has standard cost criteria as its inventory method. The company is PT. Ryane Adibusana Tbk. It is omitted because in this research the inventory method that I use is only FIFO. There are 152 manufacturing firms after the elimination based on inventory method. Then, after the elimination based on inventory method, among these 152 companies I found that there are 40 companies which do not have a complete data and financial report for the research period (See Appendix 1, table A.1). The 112
selected companies that become the samples of this research can be seen in Appendix 1 in table 4.1.

After we classify the data above, the total population that really fulfills the research criteria is only 112 companies (See Appendix 1). Then, the next task is grouping or classifying firms (See Appendix 3). As mentioned in Chapter 3, the samples are divided into 2 (two) groups. Group 1 consists of firms with positive and significant association between percentage change in cost of goods sold and lag one percentage of production added to inventory, i.e., $\beta_{1}$ is positive and statistically significant at $\alpha=0.10$ level. Meanwhile Group 0 consists of other firms. From several computations from the data samples that I acquired after doing some observation, 5 years sample observation from 2000 up to 2004 is obtained for the change in cost of goods sold from 1999 to 2004. The same things happen also for computation of lag one percentage of production added to inventory. From several computations from 1998 to 2003, it is obtained 5 years sample observation that is from 1999 up to 2003. This grouping is purposed to determine the dummies for each sample group. Because my research is time series and using pooled data method, therefore after doing grouping, I determined which firm is included in Group 1 or 0 by making correlation between change in cost of goods sold and production added of inventory. Then, from those computations that I have done, it is only provided 2 (two) years from 2003 up to 2004 that will be used in this research, with 112 companies as the sample on this research.

After eliminating the missing data, doing grouping, making computation to get dummies for 2003 and 2004 (See Appendix 4), then we removed several
companies that are considered to be the outliers which did not correlate significantly to the stock price. In this research, based on classifying firms that have been made, I removed companies per each different equation for 2003 up to 2004.

### 4.1.2. Sources and Data Collection Method

The required data in this research is the stock price, companies' financial statements, and companies' inventory method. The stock price is a price that happens a day after the companies' financial statement is published to the mass media. The stock price that is used for the annual report is the stock price at the end of the fourth months (closing price) after the date of financial statements, with some considerations that the companies' annual financial statements are published to media on average four months later from the date of the financial statements.

The companies' financial statements which are used in this research are the financial statements that are published in annual financial statements. From the year 2002 to 2004, there are 3 periods of financial statements published by the company. In here, closing price year 2002 is used as base year for changes level computation. In relation with this condition, at first the total observation (N) in this research is 304 for 152 companies after eliminating one company which inventory method does not meet the requirement on the test. Then, there are several companies that are eliminated because of missing data; therefore, the total observation ( N ) in this research is 224 for 112 companies. However, in order to
get better result, we removed some companies that do not have strong correlation with the stock price and they are considered to be the outliers of the data. Thus, the final total observations $(\mathrm{N})$ in this research after removing some companies are different, based on different equation that are presented to support the hypothesis test.

The data that is used in this research are collected from the secondary data, such as financial statements, the company's stock price, the company's inventory method and the company's total shares. The data collection method is through literature search, obtaining data from mass media such as Indonesian Capital Market Directory, and Info Pasar Modal. The data for the stock price and the summary of the financial statement from 112 sample companies which have been selected can be seen in Appendix 1.

### 4.2 Research Findings.

In order to find out how big the influence on informativeness of change in inventory on stock price, the analysis is done by doing several tests of hypotheses. Therefore, in each hypothesis, there will be some testing on several equations that are provided to support the result of the hypothesis test. From the financial statements of 112 sample companies, we then calculated the result by using multiple regression analysis, which is shown in the tables below:

TABLE 4.2
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.4 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T - STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 1.035 | 6.180 |
| ET | -1.444 | -72.764 |
| R-Squared $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.960$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared | $=0.960$ |  |
| F-Statistic | $=5294.529$ |  |
| Durbin-Watson Statistic | $=1.771$ |  |

Source: Appendix 5

TABLE 4.3
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.5 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | -0.268 | -0.975 |
| ETET1 | -0.551 | -18.931 |
| R-Squared $\left(\right.$ R $\left.^{2}\right)$ | $=0.617$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared | $=0.616$ |  |
| F-Statistic | $=358.368$ |  |
| Durbin-Watson Statistic | $=1.282$ |  |

Source: Appendix 5

TABLE 4.4
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.6 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T- STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 1.056 | 6.792 |
| E | -1.452 | -78.593 |
| DE | 1.710 | 6.041 |
| R-Squared (R |  |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared $=0.965$ <br> F-Statistic $=0.965$ <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=3088.752$ |  |  |
| Source: Appendix 5 |  |  |

TABLE 4.5
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.9 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | -0.266 | -0.966 |
| ETET1 | -0.551 | -18.871 |
| DETET1 | 0.123 | 0.246 |
| R-Squared (R ${ }^{2}$ ) | $=0.618$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared | $=0.614$ |  |
| F-Statistic | $=178.455$ |  |
| Durbin-Watson Statistic | $=1.280$ |  |
| Source: Appendix 5 |  |  |

Tables 4.2 up to table 4.5 are performed in order to support the $1^{\text {st }}$ hypothesis test.

TABLE 4.6

## THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON

 EQUATION 3.10 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T- STATISTIC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 1.043 | 6.307 |
| E | -1.444 | -73.692 |
| METET1 | -1.948 | -2.606 |
| R-Squared $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ |  |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared | $=0.961$ |  |
| F-Statistic | $=0.961$ |  |
| Durbin-Watson Statistic | $=1.801$ |  |

Source: Appendix 5

TABLE 4.7
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON
EQUATION 3.11 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=\mathbf{5 \%}$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COEFFICIENTS |  |  |
| ETET1 | -0.262 | -0.955 |
| METET1 | -0.550 | -18.920 |
| R-Squared (R ${ }^{2}$ ) | -1.510 | -1.219 |
| Adjusted R-Squared $=0.620$  <br> F-Statistic $=0.617$  <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=180.319$  |  |  |

Source: Appendix 5
Tables 4.6 to 4.7 are performed in order to support the $2^{\text {nd }}$ hypothesis test.

TABLE 4.8
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON
EQUATION 3.12 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 1.067 | 7.020 |
| E | -1.452 | -80.444 |
| DE | 1.793 | 6.458 |
| METET1 | -2.341 | -3.394 |
| R-Squared (R |  |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared $=0.967$ <br> F-Statistic  <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=0.967$ <br>  $=2161.023$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 5

TABLE 4.9

THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.13 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T -STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | -0.254 | -0.925 |
| ETET1 | -0.551 | -18.917 |
| METET1 | -1.914 | -1.424 |
| DETET1 | 0.421 | 0.777 |
| R-Squared (R ${ }^{2}$ ) | $=0.621$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared $=0.616$ <br> F-Statistic  <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=120.199$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 5

TABLE 4.10
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.15 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.963 | 5.531 |
| GP | -2.698 | -40.827 |
| SA | 3.987 | 67.009 |
| R-Squared (R ${ }^{2}$ ) | $=0.960$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared | $=0.960$ |  |
| F-Statistic |  |  |
| Durbin-Watson Statistic | $=1.673$ |  |

Source: Appendix 5

TABLE 4.11
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.16 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=\mathbf{5 \%}$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.773 | 6.328 |
| GP | -2.287 | -31.648 |
| SA | 4.343 | 76.245 |
| DGP | 3.822 | 11.304 |
| DSA | -5.327 | -14.283 |
| R-Squared (R ${ }^{2}$ ) | $=0.981$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared | $=0.981$ |  |
| F-Statistic | $=2846.503$ |  |
| Durbin-Watson Statistic | $=1.959$ |  |

Source: Appendix 5

TABLE 4.12
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.17 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.09922 | 0.319 |
| GTGT10 | -3.691 | -7.562 |
| SASAT1 | -1.239 | -1.388 |
| R-Squared $\left(R^{2}\right)$ |  |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared $=0.522$ <br> F-Statistic $=120.674$ <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=1.517$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 5

TABLE 4.13
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.18 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T - STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | -0.146 | -0.554 |
| GTGT10 | -0.681 | -1.183 |
| SASAT1 | -9.458 | -7.643 |
| DGTGT10 | -0.276 | -0.231 |
| DSASAT1 | 10.955 | 6.855 |
| R-Squared (R ${ }^{2}$ ) | $=0.668$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared $=0.662$ <br> F-Statistic  <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=109.975$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 5
Tables 4.6 to table 4.13 are performed in order to support the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ hypothesis test.

Next, we need to remove several companies that are considered to be the outliers, which did not have strong correlation to the stock price. Thus, the result for each equation after removing the outliers can be seen in the tables below:

TABLE 4.14
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.4 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 1.071 | 8.154 |
| ET | 0.853 | 2.089 |
| R-Squared ( $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ ) <br> Adjusted R-Squared <br> F-Statistic <br> Durbin-Watson Stati | $\begin{aligned} & =0.020 \\ & =0.015 \\ & =4.365 \\ \text { istic } & =0.864 \end{aligned}$ |  |

Source: Appendix 6
From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.14 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}}=1.071+0.853 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}
$$

Tstatistic $=(8.154) \quad(2.089)$
TABLE 4.15

## THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON

$$
\text { EQUATION 3.5 WITH PROBABILITY } \alpha=5 \%
$$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T - STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.03123 | 1.171 |
| ETET1 | -0.0111 | -0.407 |


| R-Squared $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.001$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adjusted R-Squared | $=-0.004$ |
| F-Statistic | $=0.166$ |
| Durbin-Watson Statistic $=1.004$ |  |
| Source: Appendix 6 |  |

From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.15 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{S P}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathbf{S P} \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=0.03123-0.0111 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \\
& \text { Tstatistic }=(1.171) \quad(-0.407)
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 4.16
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.6 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.841 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 4 7 4}$ |
| E | 0.163 | 0.695 |
| DE | 0.144 | 0.379 |
| R-Squared $\left(R^{2}\right)$  <br> Adjusted R-Squared  <br> F-Statistic  <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=0.007$ <br>  $=0.002$ | 1.654 |  |

Source: Appendix 6
From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.16 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.841+0.163 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}+0.144 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\text {statistic }}=(14.474) \quad(0.695)
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 4.17

## THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON

EQUATION 3.9 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T- STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.03143 | 1.185 |
| ETET1 | -0.0226 | -0.698 |
| DETET1 | 0.319 | 2.136 |
| R-Squared (R ${ }^{2}$ ) | $=0.023$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared <br> F-Statistic <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic$=0.013$ |  |  |
| $=2.309$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 6
From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.17 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=0.03143-0.0226 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+0.319 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \\
& \text { Tstatistic }=(1.185) \quad(-0.698) \tag{2.136}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE 4.18
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.10 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T- STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.859 | 14.183 |
| E | 0.308 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 5 9}$ |
| METET1 | -0.458 | -0.698 |
| R-Squared (R ${ }^{2}$ ) | $=0.012$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared | $=0.003$ |  |
| F-Statistic | $=1.272$ |  |
| Durbin-Watson Statistic | $=1.732$ |  |

[^0]From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.18 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.859+0.308 \mathrm{E}_{1}-0.458 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \\
& \text { Tstatistic }=(14.183) \quad(1.559) \tag{-0.698}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE 4.19
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.11 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=\mathbf{5 \%}$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.03059 | 1.142 |
| ETET1 | -0.0103 | -0.377 |
| METET1 | -0.101 | -0.331 |
| R-Squared $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.001$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared $=-0.009$ <br> F-Statistic  <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=0.137$ <br> Source: Appendix 6  |  |  |

From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.19 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=0.03059-0.0103 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}-0.101 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \\
& \text { Tstatistic }=(1.142) \quad(-0.377) \tag{-0.331}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE 4.20

## THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON

 EQUATION 3.12 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFICIENTS | T - STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.859 | 14.148 |
| E | 0.272 | 1.117 |
| DE | -0.497 | -0.736 |
| METET1 | 0.104 | 0.257 |
| R-Squared (R2) | $=0.013$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared $=-0.002$ <br> F-Statistic  <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=0.866$ | 1.812 |  |

Source: Appendix 6
From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.20 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SP}_{\mathbf{t}}=0.859+0.272 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-0.497 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}+0.104 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{l}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \\
& \text { Tstatistic }=(14.148) \quad(1.117) \quad(-0.736) \tag{1.117}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE 4.21
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON

$$
\text { EQUATION 3.13 WITH PROBABILITY } \alpha=5 \%
$$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.02851 | 1.075 |
| ETET1 | -0.0222 | -0.686 |
| METET1 | -0.466 | -1.390 |
| DETET1 | 0.416 | 2.528 |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hline \text { R-Squared }\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right) & =0.033 \\
\text { Adjusted R-Squared } & =0.018 \\
\text { F-Statistic } & =2.191 \\
\text { Durbin-Watson Statistic } & =1.932
\end{array}
$$

Source: Appendix 6
From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.21 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=0.02851-0.0222 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}-0.466 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+0.416 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \\
& \text { Tstatistic }=(1.075)
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE 4.22
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.15 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=\mathbf{5 \%}$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.403 | 8.297 |
| GP | 1.267 | 8.390 |
| SA | -0.611 | -3.332 |
| R-Squared $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.445$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared | $=0.439$ |  |
| F-Statistic |  |  |
| Durbin-Watson Statistic $=1.953$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 6
From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.22 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.403-1.267 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-0.611 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\text {statistic }}=(8.297)
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 4.23
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.16 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.393 | 7.931 |
| GP | -1.217 | 5.978 |
| SA | -0.332 | -1.286 |
| DGP | 0.143 | 0.486 |
| DSA | -0.527 | -1.416 |
| R-Squared $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ $=0.464$ <br> Adjusted R-Squared $=0.453$ <br> F-Statistic $=41.119$ <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=1.690$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 6
From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.23 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:
$\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.393+1.217 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-0.332 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}+0.143 \mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}-0.527 \mathrm{DSA}_{\mathrm{t}}$
Tstatistic $=(7.931) \quad(5.978) \quad(-1.286) \quad(0.486) \quad(-1.416)$

TABLE 4.24
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON
EQUATION 3.17 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T- STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.02053 | 0.740 |
| GTGT10 | 0.168 | 1.707 |
| SASAT1 | -0.00236 | -0.012 |

```
R-Squared (R') =0.015
Adjusted R-Squared =0.005
F-Statistic =1.459
Durbin-Watson Statistic = 1.549
```

Source: Appendix 6
From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.24 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{SP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbf{t}-1}=0.02053+0.168 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}-0.00236 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \\
& \text { Tstatistic }=(0.740) \tag{-0.012}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE 4.25
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS ON 112 COMPANIES ON EQUATION 3.18 WITH PROBABILITY $\alpha=5 \%$

| VARIABLE | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T - STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.01778 | 0.640 |
| GTGT10 | 0.08706 | 0.655 |
| SASAT1 | 0.003156 | 0.009 |
| DGTGT10 | 0.602 | 2.337 |
| DSASAT1 | -0.947 | -1.380 |
| R-Squared (R ${ }^{2}$ ) | $=0.056$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Squared $=0.036$  <br> F-Statistic $=2.808$  <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=1.757$   |  |  |

Source: Appendix 6
From the analysis result as shown in Table 4.25 above, we can arrange the regression equation as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{SP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SP}_{\mathbf{t}-1}= & 0.01778+0.08706 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+0.003156 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \\
& +0.602 \mathrm{DGP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t}-1}-0.947 \mathrm{DSA}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}-1} \\
\text { Tstatistic }= & \left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.640) & (0.655) & (0.009)(2.337)(-1.380)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Afterward, we test the hypothesis in order to know whether there is a significant influence or not in the independent variables to the dependent variable in statistics. To test the independent variables in partial for each hypothesis, I use $\rho$ - value test.

### 4.2.1 Testing the Regression Coefficients for Independent Variables

4.2.1.1. Testing the $1^{\text {st }}$ hypothesis: The Association of Earnings with Stock Price
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{1} \leq 0$; Earnings is not positively associated with stock price.
$H_{A}: \beta_{1}>0$; Earnings is positively associated with stock price.
If : $\rho$ - value $\geq \alpha$ then do not reject Ho.
If : $\rho$ - value $<\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ then reject Ho.
Based on the calculation that I have done, it shows that:

1. In Table 4.14 (Equation 3.4), the test for year $t$, shows that the coefficient of earnings in here is positive and its $\rho$-value is 0.038 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value is lower than $\alpha$ or $\rho$-value $<\alpha$. Therefore $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is rejected and accepts the alternative hypothesis. It means that an earnings is positively associated with stock price for year $t$.
2. In Table 4.15 (Equation 3.5), the test for changes of earnings for year $t$ to year $t-1$, shows that the coefficient of earnings in here is negative and its $\rho$ - value is 0.684 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value is bigger than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted. It means that an earning is not positively associated with stock price for the changes level.
3. In Table 4.16 (Equation 3.6), the test is using pooled data to know which sample group has the informativeness of change in inventory that would be useful for firm valuation for year $t$. Within this computation, the result shows that the coefficient both of earnings and $\mathrm{DE}_{t}$ in here are positive. The $\rho$ - value of earnings is 0.488 and $\rho$-value of $\mathrm{DE}_{1}$ is 0.705 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value for both independent variable are bigger than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted. It means that earnings are not positively associated with stock price for year $t$.
4. In Table 4.17 (Equation 3.9), the test using pooled data to know which sample group has informativeness of change in inventory that would be useful for firm valuation for changes level. Within this computation, the result shows that coefficient of earnings here is negative meanwhile the coefficient of $\mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ in here is positive. The $\rho$ - value of earnings is 0.486 and $\rho$-value of $D E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ is 0.034 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value of earnings is bigger than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore $H_{0}$ is accepted. It means that an earnings is not positively associated with stock price for changes level. But an earnings is positively associated with stock price for changes level in relationship with $\mathrm{DE}_{\mathfrak{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$.

Therefore, based on the explanation of results on several tests on regression equation models above, in overall, we can conclude that we have to accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or accept null hypothesis. It means that earnings are not positively associated with stock price. This is based on the analyses by using p-value approach that has shown above. During the year 2003 up to 2004, we can see that p-value for variable of earnings for each of regression test (from equation 3.5 to equation 3.9) is mostly bigger than $\alpha=5 \%$. And it is the same for the coefficient for each of regression test above, for changes level, it shows that earnings have negative sign instead of positive sign on year level.

### 4.2.1.2. Testing the $2^{\text {nd }}$ hypothesis: The Association of Increase in Inventory with Stock Price

$H_{0}: \beta_{1} \geq 0$; There is no negative association between increase in inventory and stock price
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \beta_{1}<0$; There is negative association between increase in inventory and stock price.

If : $\rho$ - value $\geq \alpha$ then do not reject Ho.
If : $\rho$ - value $<\alpha$ then reject Ho.
Based on the calculation that I have done, it shows that:

1. In Table 4.18 (Equation 3.10), the test for year $t$, shows that the coefficient of earnings in here is positive, meanwhile coefficient of inventory method here is negative. Thus, the $\rho$ - value of earnings is 0.121 and $\rho$ - value of inventory method is 0.486 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 ,
in which it means that $\rho$ - value both for earnings and inventory method are bigger than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore $H_{0}$ is accepted. It means that there is no negative association between increase in inventory and stock price for year $t$.
2. In Table 4.19 (Equation 3.11), the test for changes level, shows that coefficient for both of earnings and inventory method in here are negative. Thus, the $\rho$ - value of earnings is 0.707 and $\rho$-value of inventory method is 0.741 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value both for earnings and inventory method are bigger than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore $H_{0}$ is accepted. It means that there is no negative association between increase in inventory and stock price for changes level.

Therefore, based on the explanation of results on several tests on regression equation models above, in overall, we can conclude that we have to accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or accept null hypothesis. It means that there is no negative association between increase in inventory and stock price. This is based on the analyses by using p-value approach that has been shown above. During the year 2003 up to 2004, we can see that $p$-value for variable of earnings for each of regression test (from equation 3.10 to equation 3.11 ) is bigger than $\alpha=5 \%$. And it is the same for the coefficient for each of regression test above, for changes level, it shows that earnings is have negative sign instead positive sign on year level.

### 4.2.1.3. Testing the $3^{\text {rd }}$ hypothesis: Association on Earnings between Increase in Inventory and Stock Price.

$\mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{1} \geq 0$; There is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \beta_{1}<0$; There is positive association on earnings between increase in
inventory and stock price.
If : $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$ then do not reject Ho.
If : $\rho$ - value $<\alpha$ then reject Ho.
Based on the calculation that I have done, it shows that:

1. In Table 4.20 (Equation 3.12), the test for year $t$, for two sample groups, shows that coefficient for both of earnings and $\mathrm{DE}_{1}$ in here are positive, meanwhile the coefficient for inventory method is negative. Thus, the $\rho$ - value of earnings is $0.265, \rho$ - value of inventory method is 0.463 , and $\rho-$ value of $D E_{1}$ is 0.797 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value both for earnings, inventory method, and $\mathrm{DE}_{t}$ are bigger than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price for year $t$.
2. In Table 4.21 (Equation 3.13), the test for changes level for two sample groups, shows that the coefficient for both of earnings and inventory method is negative, meanwhile the coefficient for $\mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ in here is positive. Thus, the $\rho$ - value of earnings is $0.493, \rho$ - value of inventory method is 0.166 , and $\rho$-value of $\mathrm{DE}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ is 0.012 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$
degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value both for earnings and inventory method are bigger than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Meanwhile for $\mathrm{DE}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$, its $\rho$ - value is lower than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $<\alpha$. Therefore $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price for changes level. However, there is a positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price for changes level in relationship with $\mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$.
3. In Table 4.22 (Equation 3.15), the test to know the connection between earnings and stock price for year $t$, shows that the coefficient for both of Gross Profit and Selling and Administrative Expense are positive. Thus, the $\rho$ - value of Gross Profit is 0.000 , and $\rho$ - value of Selling and Administrative Expense is 0.001 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value both for Gross Profit and Selling and Administrative Expense are lower than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $<\alpha$. Therefore $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is rejected and accept the alternative hypothesis. It means that there is positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price in relationship both with Gross Profit and Selling and Administrative Expense in year $t$.
4. In Table 4.23 (Equation 3.16), the test to know connection between earnings and stock price for year $t$ between two sample groups, shows that coefficient for both of Gross Profit and $\mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}$ are positive meanwhile the coefficient for both Selling and Administrative Expense and DSA $_{t}$ are negative. Thus, the $\rho$ - value of Gross Profit is $0.000, \rho-$ value of Selling
and Administrative Expense is $0.200, \rho$ - value of DGP is 0.628 , and $\rho-$ value of DSA is 0.080 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value for Gross Profit is lower than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $<\alpha$. Therefore, $H_{0}$ is rejected and accept the alternative hypothesis. It means that there is a positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price in relationship with gross profit for year $t$. Meanwhile for Selling and Administrative Expense and DSA $_{4}$, its $\rho$ - value is higher than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price in relationship with Selling and Administration Expense.
5. In Table 4.24 (Equation 3.17), the test to know the connection between earnings and stock price for changes level, shows that coefficient of Gross Profit is positive meanwhile the coefficient for Selling and Administrative Expense is negative. Thus, the $\rho$ - value of Gross Profit is 0.089 , and $\rho$ - value of Selling and Administrative Expense is 0.991 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value for both Gross Profit and Selling and Administrative Expense are bigger than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore, $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is accepted. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price in relationship both with gross profit and Selling and Administrative Expense.
6. In Table 4.25 (Equation 3.18), the test to know connection between earnings and stock price for changes level for two sample groups, shows
that coefficient of Gross Profit, Selling and Administrative Expense and $D G_{t}-G_{t-1}$ are positive. Meanwhile, the coefficient for DSA $_{t}-S A_{t-1}$ is negative. Thus, the $\rho$-value of Gross Profit is $0.513, \rho$-value of Selling and Administrative Expense is $0.992, \rho-$ value of $\mathrm{DG}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ is 0.020 and $\rho-$ value of $\mathrm{DSA}_{t}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-1$ is 0.169 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value for both Gross Profit, Selling and Administrative Expense, and $\mathrm{DSA}_{t}-\mathrm{SA}_{t-1}$ are bigger than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore $H_{0}$ is accepted. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price in relationship both with Gross Profit, Selling and Administrative Expense and $D S A_{t}-S_{t-1}$. Meanwhile, $\rho$-value for $D G G_{t}-G_{t-1}$ is lower than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $<\alpha$. Therefore, it means that there is positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price in relationship with $\mathrm{DG}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$.

Therefore, based on explanation of results on several tests on regression equation models above, in overall, we can conclude that we have to accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or accept null hypothesis. Meaning to say, there is not any positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price. This thing is based on analyses by using $p$-value approach that has been shown above. During the year 2003 up to 2004, we can see that p-value for the variable of earnings for each of regression test (from equation 3.12 to equation 3.18) is mostly bigger than $\alpha=5 \%$. Although for only equation 3.13 of variable of earnings has negative sign instead of positive sign on the rest.

### 4.2.2. Classical Assumptions test in Multiple Regressions

In a linear multiple regression analysis, the deviation of classical assumption should be avoided. Therefore, in order to find out whether the result of the regression equations above can be used, it needs to be tested further to know whether the deviations of the classical assumption occur in the model or not. Thus, there are several kinds of tests that are being used in this research to find out and overcome the classical assumptions' problem that occurs within the computation of regression.

### 4.2.2.1. Test of Multicollinearity

Multicollinearity refers to the situation where there is an existence of a "perfect", or exacts, linear relationship among some or all-explanatory variables of a regression model. Strictly speaking, multicollinearity refers to the existence of more than one exact linear relationship, and collinearity refers to the existence of a single linear relationship. If there is a linear relationship among independent variables, then this regression is considered to have a multicollinearity problem. If there is multicollinearity in the model, then it is hard to separate the influence of each independent variable to the dependent variable.

In detecting whether the regression model has a multicollinearity or not, we can see that from the value of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ or the coefficient determination is high (such as: between 0.7 and 1 ), and the partial coefficient correlation between independent variable is bigger or equal compare to the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$, then this regression is considered to have a multicollinearty problem.

Based on the calculation results:

1. The value of $R^{2}$ is relatively low (See Appendix 6).
2. The values of Variance Inflation Factor (VIF), the reciprocal of the tolerance. As the variance inflation factor increases, so does the variance of the regression coefficient, making it an unstable estimation. Large VIF values higher than 10 are an indicator of multicollinearity or its tolerance tend to be closer to 0 (zero).

From table equation 3.16 a significance of multicollinearity is found. However, its tolerance still above 0 (not exactly close to 0 (zero)). Therefore, it can be concluded that multicollinearity problem is not found in this regression model. And from table 3.4 up to 3.15 , and 3.17 up to 3.18 , it can be said that there is no multicollinearity problems, considered both its VIF tend to be lower than 10 (ten) and its Tolerance (TOL) is not close to 0 (zero). Therefore, in that case, we can say that in these regression models, multicollinearity problems have not occurred.

### 4.2.2.2. Test of Autocorrelation

The objective of this test is to find out whether this regression consists of serial correlation between the disturbance terms (e) or not. The most celebrated test for detecting serial correlation is developed by statisticians Durbin-Watson $d$ statistic. While using d statistic, it is important to note the assumption underlying d statistic:

1. The regression model includes an intercept term.
2. The explanatory variables, the X 's, is nonstochastic, or fixed in repeated sampling.
3. the disturbances $u_{t}$ are generated by the first-order autoregressive scheme:

$$
u_{t}=\rho=u_{t-1}+\varepsilon_{t} .
$$

4. The regression model does not include lagged value(s) of the dependent variable as one of the explanatory variables. Thus, the test is inapplicable to models of the following type:

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \mathrm{X}_{1 \mathrm{t}}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{X}_{2 \mathrm{t}}+\ldots+\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{kt}}+\gamma \mathrm{Y}_{\mathbf{t}-1}+\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}}
$$

5. There are no missing observations in the data.

The hypothesis for this test is:
$H_{0}: \rho=0$ : there are no positive autocorrelation.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}: \rho \neq 0$ : there are positive autocorrelation.
If $\mathrm{d}>\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{U}}$ then Ho is accepted. It means that there is no positive correlation. If $\mathrm{d}<\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{L}}$ then Ho is rejected. It means that there is a positive correlation. If $d_{L}<d<d_{U}$, then the result of the Durbin-Watson calculation is in the area of no conclusion, therefore the result of the test can not be concluded.

In here, I'm using E-Views program to detect and overcome the autocorrelation problem. Because, the computer program E-Views performs an exact $d$ test (it gives the $\rho$ value, the exact probability, of the computed $d$ value), and those with access to this program may want to use that test in case the usual $d$ statistic lies in the indecisive zone. From the result of the calculation, I found that there are positive autocorrelations in the equations $3.4,3.5,3.6,3.10,3.11,3.16$,
and 3.17. Besides, it is found a greater autocorrelation in these regression models because of unnormalized data. So, first of all, we have to normalize them before transforming them into a new regression model.

In order to handle the autocorrelation in these regression models, therefore, we need to transform the data into a new equation by using generalized difference equation method (Gujarati, 2003). It means that the regression of Y to X is not in the original form, but it is on the difference which is received from eliminating a proportion ( $\rho$ ) of related value variable with the previous value variable. The equation is as follows:

$$
\left(Y_{t}-\rho Y_{t-1}\right)=A(1-\rho)+B\left(X_{t}-\rho X_{t-1}\right)+\mu_{t}
$$

In the procedure of elimination, the first observation will be lost because there are no previous observations before it. To prevent the missing value in the first observation, then at the first observation Y and X is change into this form:

$$
Y \sqrt{ } 1-\rho^{2} \text { and } X \sqrt{ } 1-\rho^{2}
$$

The value of $\rho$ is from $d$ (Durbin-Watson Statistic) by using the formula which is written by Gujarati, Damodar (2003) as follows:

$$
\rho=1-\mathrm{d} / 2
$$

The benefits that are received from doing the transformation data into a new form theoretically will make the value among the observation in each variable to be smaller so that there is a possibility to remove the influence of the autocorrelation in the model.

## Regression Result after Transforming the Data

The result of the regression analysis after the transformation data as what we seen previously using the generalized difference equation method can be seen in tables below:

TABLE 4.26 (EQUATION 3.4)
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS AFTER DATA
TRANSFORMATION

| VARIABLES | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | -0.051500 | -0.714276 |
| Diff Et | 0.904773 | 2.732013 |
| R-Squared $\left(R^{2}\right)$  <br> Adjusted R-Square $=0.046285$ <br> F-Statistic $=0.041890$ <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=2.533119$  |  |  |

Source: Appendix 7

TABLE 4.27 (EQUATION 3.5)
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS AFTER DATA TRANSFORMATION

| VARIABLES | $\begin{aligned} & \text { REGRESSION } \\ & \text { COEFFICIENTS } \end{aligned}$ | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | -0.002000 | -0.158943 |
| Diff ETET1 | -0.017660 | -0.981200 |
| R-Squared ( $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ ) $=0.003280$ <br> Adjusted R-Square $=-0.001857$ <br> F-Statistic $=0.638464$ <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=2.911313$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 7

TABLE 4.28 (EQUATION 3.6)
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS AFTER DATA
TRANSFORMATION

| VARIABLES | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | -0.000347 | -0.009319 |
| Diff Et | 0.175630 | 0.370432 |
| Diff DEt | 0.511803 | 1.050526 |
| R-Squared $\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ | $=0.032633$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Square  <br> F-Statistic  <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=0.023008$ <br>  $=3.960286$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 7

TABLE 4.29 (EQUATION 3.10)
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS AFTER DATA
TRANSFORMATION
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline \text { VARIABLES } & \text { REGRESSION } & \text { T-STATISTIC } \\ & \text { COEFFICIENTS }\end{array}\right]-0.023131$

Source: Appendix 7

TABLE 4.30 (EQUATION 3.11)
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS AFTER DATA
TRANSFORMATION

| VARIABLES | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | -0.002176 | -0.214205 |
| Diff ETET1 | -0.013881 | -0.599581 |
| Diff METET1 | -0.094117 | -0.343103 |
| R-Squared $\left(R^{2}\right)$ | $=0.005001$ |  |
| Adjusted R-Square $=-0.005310$ <br> F-Statistic $=0.484986$ <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=2.973147$  |  |  |

Source: Appendix 7

TABLE 4.31 (EQUATION 3.16)
THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS AFTER DATA
TRANSFORMATION

| VARIABLES | REGRESSION | T-STATISTIC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COEFFICIENTS |  |
| CONSTANT | 0.005646 | 0.208549 |
| Diff GP | 0.783735 | 2.971209 |
| Diff SA | 0.179462 | 0.497390 |
| Diff DGP | 1.171764 | 2.334638 |
| Diff DSA | -1.701002 | -2.999913 |
| R-Squared ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) $=0.520706$ <br> Adjusted R-Square $=0.510563$ <br> F-Statistic $=51.33258$ <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=2.934553$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 7

## TABLE 4.32 (EQUATION 3.17)

## THE RESULT OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS AFTER DATA

TRANSFORMATION

| VARIABLES | REGRESSION <br> COEFFICIENTS | T-STATISTIC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CONSTANT | 0.002348 | 0.164177 |
| Diff GTGT10 | 0.105494 | 1.044606 |
| Diff SATSAT1 | 0.163739 | 1.190498 |
| R-Squared $\left(R^{2}\right)$ $=0.012194$ <br> Adjusted R-Square $=0.001958$ <br> F-Statistic <br> Durbin-Watson Statistic $=2.853203$ |  |  |

Source: Appendix 7
The result of the regression analysis after the transformation data as what we seen previously will be retested in order to know whether these models contain any classical assumption problem or not.

1. Test of Multicollinearity.

In testing multicollinearity, we still see the value of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ or the coefficients determination and the VIF (Variance Inflation Factor).
a. The value of $R^{2}$ is low (See Appendix 7)
b. The values of VIF that is not close to zero and not higher than 10 . Based on this test we can say that there are no multicollinearity problems that occur in these regression models.
2. Test of Autocorrelation.

Based on the results of the regression after transforming the data from tables 4.26 up to 4.32 , we can see that the values of Durbin-Watson in these tables
are quite higher than the $d_{U}$ for each equation. Therefore, we reject $H_{o}$ and accept the alternate hypothesis. In this case we find no autocorrelation problems anymore and this model is considered to be free from autocorrelation problems.

### 4.2.2.3. Test of Heteroscedasticity

Heteroscedasticity is a situation where the variance is not constant, the consequences from heteroscedasticity will be bias on variance, and therefore the test of significant will not be perfect. To see whether there is any heteroscedasticity in the model or not, we can use the Park test or White's test. In this term, to make it easier in detecting and treating the heteroscedasticity problem, therefore, I'm using E-views to investigate whether my data contains any heteroscedasticity by using White's test and Newey-West HAC test. The general test of heteroscedasticity proposed by White does not rely on the normality assumption and is easy to implement. As an illustration of the basic idea, consider the following three-variable regression model (the generalization to the $k$-variable model is straightforward):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i}=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} X_{2 i}+\beta_{3} X_{3 i}+u_{i} \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The White test proceeds as follows:

1. Given the data, we estimate (a) and obtain the residual, $\hat{u}_{i}$.
2. We then run the following (auxiliary) regression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}_{i}^{2}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} X_{2 i}+\alpha_{3} X_{3 i}+\alpha_{4} X_{2 i}{ }^{2}+\alpha_{5} X_{3 i}{ }^{2}+\alpha_{6} X_{2 i} X_{3 i}+v_{i} \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, the squared residuals from the original regression are regressed on the original $X$ variables or regressors, their squared values, and the cross
product(s) of the regressors. Higher powers of regressors can also be introduced. Note that there is a constant term in this equation even though the original regression may or may not contain it. Obtain the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ from this (auxiliary) regression.
3. Under the null hypothesis that there is no heteroscedasticity, it can be shown that the sample size $(n)$ times the $R^{2}$ obtained from the auxiliary regression asymptotically follows the chi-square distribution with df equal to the number of regressors (excluding the constant term) in the auxiliary regression. That is:

$$
\mathrm{n} \cdot \mathrm{R}_{\text {asy }}^{2} \sim X_{d f^{2}}^{2}
$$

(c)
where df is as defined previously. In our example, there are 5 df since there are 5 regressors in the auxiliary regression.
4. If the chi-square value obtained in (c) exceeds the critical chi-square value at the chosen level of significance, the conclusion is that there is heteroscedasticity. If it does not exceeds the critical chi-square value, there is no heteroscedasticity, which is to say that in the auxiliary regression (b), $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{4}=\alpha_{5}=\alpha_{6}=0$.

After long testing on this term, it is found heteroscedasticity in all equation tables. So, I have to transform them until there is no more heteroscedasticity in those regression models.

TABLE 4.33 (EQUATION 3.4)

## THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 2.732013 | 0.0068 (not significant) |

Source: Appendix 7
Based on the regression result between the $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.33), we can see that the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ is bigger than the $\mathrm{t}_{\text {critical }}$. However, the beta parameter is not significant in statistic. So it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:
$P_{t}=-0.0515+0.904773 E_{1}$.

TABLE 4.34 (EQUATION 3.5)
THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critcal }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | -0.9812 | 0.3277 (not significant |

Source: Appendix 7
Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.34), we can see that the value of $t_{\text {observed }}$ is lower than the $t_{\text {critical }}$, and the beta parameter is not significant in statistic. So it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The
regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:
$P_{t}-P_{t-1}=-0.002-0.01766 E_{t}-E_{1-1}$.
TABLE 4.35 (EQUATION 3.6)

## THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 0.370432 | 0.7115 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{D E}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 1.050526 | 0.2947 (not significant) |

Source: Appendix 7
Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.35), we can see that the values of tobserved for all of independent variables are lower than the $\mathrm{t}_{\text {critical }}$, and the beta parameter is not significant in statistic. So it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:
$P_{t}=-0.000347+0.17563 E_{t}+0.511803 D_{t}$
TABLE 4.36 (EQUATION 3.9)
THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | -1.263058 | 0.2081 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{D E}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 2.508614 | 0.0129 (not significant) |

Source: Appendix 7

Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.36), we can see that the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ is lower for $E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ than the $t_{\text {critical }}$ and bigger for $D E_{t}-E_{1-1}$ than $t_{\text {critical. }}$ However, the beta parameter for all independent variables is not significant in statistic. So it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:

$$
P_{t}-P_{t-1}=0.031427-0.022607 E_{t}-E_{t-1}+0.318501 D E_{1}-E_{t-1} .
$$

## TABLE 4.37 (EQUATION 3.10)

THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 1.569609 | 0.1101 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{M E}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t} \mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 1.492456 | 0.1371 (not significant) |
| Source: Appendix 7 |  |  |  |

Source: Appendix 7
Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.37), we can see that the value of $t_{\text {observed }}$ for all of independent variables are lower than the $\mathrm{t}_{\text {critical }}$ and, the beta parameter for all independent variables is not significant in statistic. So it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:
$P_{t}=-0.002134+1.796325 E_{t}+4.561975 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$

TABLE 4.38 (EQUATION 3.11)
THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | -0.599581 | 0.5495 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{M E}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | -0.343103 | 0.7319 (not significant) |

Source: Appendix 7
Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.38), we can see that the value of $t_{\text {observed }}$ for all of independent variables are lower than the $t_{\text {critical }}$ and, the beta parameter for all independent variables is not significant in statistic. So it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:
$P_{t}-P_{t-1}=-0.002176-0.013881 E_{t}-E_{t-1}-0.094117 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$.

## TABLE 4.39 (EQUATION 3.12)

## THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 0.619767 | 0.5361 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{D E}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 0.246079 | 0.8059 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{M E}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | -1.096639 | 0.2741 (not significant) |

[^1]Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.39), we can see that the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ for all of independent variables are lower than the $\mathrm{t}_{\text {critical }}$ and, the beta parameter for all independent variables is not significant in statistic. So it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:
$P_{t}=0.858662+0.271604 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-0.496965 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+0.104203 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}$.

TABLE 4.40 (EQUATION 3.13)
THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t - 1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | -1.308802 | 0.1922 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{M E}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | -1.615605 | 0.1078 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{D E}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 2.148177 | 0.033 (not significant) |

Source: Appendix 7
Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.40), we can see that the value of $t_{\text {observed }}$ for both $E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ and $M E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ are lower than the $t_{\text {critical }}$, meanwhile the value of $t_{\text {observed }}$ of $\mathrm{DE}_{t}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-1$ is bigger than the $\mathrm{t}_{\text {critical }}$. However, the beta parameter for all independent variables is not significant in statistic. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The regression
model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}-1}= & 0.028515-0.022176 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}-0.465998 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \\
& +0.4156 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

TABLE 4.41 (EQUATION 3.15)

## THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{G P}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 4.525983 | 0.0000 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{S A}_{\mathbf{t}}$ |  |  |  |
| Source: Appendix 7 | $\pm 1.96$ | -1.719138 | 0.0872 (not significant) |

Source: Appendix 7
Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.41), we can see that the value of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ of GP is bigger than the $t_{\text {critical, }}$, meanwhile the value of $t_{\text {observed }}$ of $S A_{t}$ is lower than the $\mathrm{t}_{\text {critical }}$. However, the beta parameter for all independent variables is not significant in statistic. So it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.402955+1.267113 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-0.610769 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}$.
TABLE 4.42 (EQUATION 3.16)
THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{G P}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 2.971209 | 0.0034 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{S A}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 0.49739 | 0.6195 (not significant) |


| DGP $_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 2.334638 | 0.0206 (not significant) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DSA $_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | -2.999913 | 0.0031 (not significant) |

Source: Appendix 7
Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.42), we can see that the values of $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{observed}}$ for both $\mathrm{GP}_{t}$ and $\mathrm{DGP}_{t}$ is bigger than the $t_{\text {critical }}$, and the value of $t_{\text {observed }}$ of $\mathrm{DSA}_{t}$ is far
 independent variables is not significant in statistic. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is no heteroscedasticity anymore in this regression model. The regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.005646+0.783735 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}+0.179462 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}+1.171764 \mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}-1.701002 \mathrm{DSA}_{\mathrm{t}}$.

## TABLE 4.43 (EQUATION 3.17)

THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{G P}_{\mathbf{t}}-$ GP $_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ |  | 1.044606 |
| $\mathbf{S A}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{S A}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 1.190498 | 0.2975 (not significant) |
| Sour | 0.2353 (not significant) |  |  |

Source: Appendix 7
Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.43), we can see the values of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ for both $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t - 1}}$ and $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}_{-1}}$ are lower than the $\mathrm{t}_{\text {critical }}$, and the beta parameter for all independent variables is not significant in statistic. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The
regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}=0.002348+0.105494 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t}-1}+0.163739 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1} .
$$

TABLE 4.44 (EQUATION 3.18)

## THE RESULT OF HETEROSCEDASTICITY TRANSFORMATION

| Independent <br> Variables | $\mathbf{T}_{\text {critical }}$ | $\mathbf{t}_{\text {observed }}$ | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{G P}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{G P}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 0.854093 | 0.3941 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{S A}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{S A}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | 0.011756 | 0.9906 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{D G P}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{G P}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 3 5 4 3 3}$ | 0.0002 (not significant) |
| $\mathbf{D S A}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{S A}_{\mathbf{t} \mathbf{1}}$ | $\pm 1.96$ | -1.459688 | 0.146 (not significant) |
|  |  |  |  |

Source: Appendix 7
Based on the regression result between $\log$ residual square value and the independent variables (Table 4.44), we can see that the values of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ for both $G P_{t}-G P_{t-1}, S A_{t}-S A_{t-1}$, and $D S A_{t}-S A_{t-1}$ are lower than the $t_{\text {critical }}$, but the values of $\mathrm{t}_{\text {observed }}$ for $\mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ is higher than the $\mathrm{t}_{\text {critical }}$. However, the beta parameter for all independent variables is not significant in statistic. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is no more heteroscedasticity in this regression model. The regression model in this research is the regression model after transforming the data for the autocorrelation problem, that is:
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}=0.017776+0.087057 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+0.003156 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+0.602228$

$$
\mathrm{DG}_{t-} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}-1}-0.946918 \mathrm{DSA}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1} .
$$

After the model is stated to be free from the classical assumption, we need to retest the independent variables influences, whether there are any significant influences on the dependent variable or not by using $p$ - value approach.

### 4.2.3. Test of Regression Coefficients after Transforming the Data

After we conducted the data transformation as the action of repairing the data to prevent the classical assumption problems, we find the result of twelve regression models as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}=-0.0515+0.904773 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}} . \tag{2.732013}
\end{equation*}
$$

T Statistic $=(-0.714276)$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=-0.002-0.01766 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$.
T Statistic $=(-0.158943) \quad(-0.9812)$

$$
P_{t}=-0.000347+0.17563 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}+0.511803 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}} .
$$

T Statistic $=(-0.009319) \quad(0.370432) \quad(1.050526)$
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{1}}=0.031427-0.022607 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-\mathbf{1}}+0.318501 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$.
T Statistic $=(0.898662) \quad(-1.263058)$
(2.508614)

The five regression models above are performed to support the $1^{\text {st }}$ hypothesis test.

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}}=-0.002134+1.796325 \mathrm{E}_{1}+4.561975 \mathrm{ME}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{1-1} .
$$

T Statistic $=(-0.023131) \quad(1.569609) \quad(1.492456)$
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathbf{P}_{1-1}=-0.002176-0.013881 \mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{1-1}-0.094117 \mathrm{ME}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$.
T Statistic $=(-0.214205) \quad(-0.599581)$
(-0.343103)

The two regression models above are performed to support the $2^{\text {nd }}$ hypothesis test. Meanwhile, the rest of regression models below are performed to support the $3^{\text {rd }}$ hypothesis, those are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{t}=0.858662+0.271604 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-0.496965 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+0.104203 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}} . \\
& \mathrm{T} \text { Statistic }=(11.61229) \quad(0.619767) \quad(0.246079)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T} \text { Statistic }=(0.164177) \quad(1.044606) \\
& \text { (1.190498) } \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=0.017776+0.087057 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t}-1}+0.003156 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}-1} \\
& +0.602228 \mathrm{DG}_{\mathrm{t}-} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{t}-1}-0.946918 \mathrm{DSA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \text {. } \\
& \mathrm{T} \text { Statistic }=(0.570109)(0.854093)(0.011756)(3.835433)(-1.459688)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.2.3.1. Test of Regression Coefficients in Partial

### 4.2.3.1.1. Test of $1^{\text {st }}$ hypothesis: The Association of Earnings with Stock Price

From all regression models that we have conducted which are required to support the $1^{\text {st }}$ hypothesis, levels and changes regressions are performed for each
of the two sample groups by pooling data from 2003 to 2004. Thus it can be concluded as follows:

- The result of equation 3.4 after conducting the data transformation shows that coefficient of earnings in here is positive and its $\rho-$ value is 0.0068 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value is lower than $\alpha$ or $\rho$ - value $<\alpha$. Therefore, we reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or accept the alternative hypothesis. It means is that the variable of $E_{t}$ is positively associated with stock price. It is consistent with the prior research, which is done by Bernard and Noel (1991). The positive value indicate that the higher the earning power, the higher association between earnings level and price level for firms with informative change in inventory. Therefore, in this term, we can conclude that the association between earnings level and price level is higher for firms with informative change in inventory.
- The result of equation 3.5 after conducting the data transformation shows that the coefficient of earnings here is negative and its $\rho$-value is 0.3277 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value is higher than $\alpha$ or $\rho$-value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore, we accept $H_{0}$ or null hypothesis. It means that the variable of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ is not positively associated with stock price. Therefore, in this case for changes level, it is not consistent with the prior research that was once done by Bernard and Noel (1991). The negative value indicates that the lower the earning power, the lower association between earnings changes level and price changes level for firms with informative change in inventory. Therefore, in this term, we can
conclude that the association between earnings change and price change is lower for firms with informative change in inventory.
- The result of equation 3.6 after conducting the data transformation (performing combined and pooled regression) shows that all coefficients of independent variables in here are positive. Thus, $\rho$ - value of earnings is 0.7115 and $\rho$ - value of $\mathrm{DE}_{t}$ is 0.2947 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value for all independent variable here is higher than $\alpha$ or $\rho$-value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore, we accept $H_{0}$ or null hypothesis. It means that the both of variable of $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{DE}_{1}$ are not positively associated with stock price. So, in this case for earnings level, it is not consistent with the prior research that was once done by Bernard and Noel (1991). The positive value indicate that the higher the earning power, the higher association between earnings level and price level for firms with informative change in inventory. Therefore, in this term, we can conclude that the association between earnings level and price level is lower for firms with informative change in inventory.
- The result of equation 3.9 after conducting the data transformation (performing combined and pooled regression) showing that coefficient of earnings in here is negative meanwhile coefficient of $D E_{t}-E_{1-1}$ is positive. Thus, $\rho$ - value of earnings is 0.2081 and $\rho$-value of $D E_{t}-E_{1-1}$ is 0.0129 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value for earnings here is higher than $\alpha$ or $\rho$ - value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore, we accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or null hypothesis. It means that the variable of $\mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{1-1}$ is not
positively associated with stock price. So, in this case for earnings level, it is not consistent with the prior research which is ever done by Bernard and Noel (1991). The positive value indicate that the higher the earning power, the higher association between earnings change and price change for firms with informative change in inventory. Meanwhile for $D E_{1}-E_{t}-1$, its $\rho$ - value $<\alpha$. Therefore, we can conclude that the association between earnings change and price change is lower for firms with informative change in inventory.

As a result, based on the explanation of results on several tests on regression equation models above, in overall, we can conclude that for $1^{\text {st }}$ hypothesis, we have to accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or accept null hypothesis. Meaning to say, earnings are not positively associated with stock price. This is based on the analyses by using p-value approach that has been shown above. During year the 2003 up to 2004, we can see that p -value for variable of earnings for each of regression test (from equation 3.5 to equation 3.9) is mostly bigger than $\alpha=5 \%$. And for the coefficient for each of regression test above, for changes level, it shows that earnings have negative sign instead of positive sign on year level.

### 4.2.3.1.2. Test of $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ hypothesis: The Association of Increase in Inventory with Stock Price

From all regression models that we have done which are required to support the $2^{\text {nd }}$ hypothesis, the levels and changes regressions are performed for each of the two sample groups by pooling data from 2003 to 2004. Additional
analyses are performed by including inventory valuation method as a control variable. Thus it can be concluded as follows:

- The result of equation 3.10 after conducting the data transformation (using inventory valuation method as a control variable) shows that all coefficients of the independent variables in here are positive. Thus, $\rho$ - value of earnings is 0.1181 and $\rho-$ value of $M E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ is 0.1371 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value for all independent variables here are higher than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore, we accept $H_{0}$ or null hypothesis. It means that there is no negative association between increase in inventory and stock price. So, in this case for earnings level, it is inconsistent with the prior research that was once done by Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) and also not consistent with what was implied by Bernard and Noel (1991). The positive value indicates that the higher the earning power, the higher association between earnings level and price level for firms with informative change in inventory. Therefore, we can conclude that the conclusion is changed by incorporating inventory method as a control variable.
- The result of equation 3.11 after conducting the data transformation (using inventory valuation method as a control variable) shows that all coefficients of independent variables in here are negative. Thus, $\rho$ - value of earnings is 0.5495 and $\rho$ - value of $\mathrm{ME}_{t}-\mathrm{E}_{1-1}$ is 0.7319 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value for all independent variables here are higher than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore, we accept $H_{0}$ or
null hypothesis. The meaning of this is that there is no negative association between increase in inventory and stock price. So, in this case for earnings change, it is inconsistent with the prior research which is ever done by Lev and Thiagarajan (1993) and also inconsistent with what was implied by Bernard and Noel (1991). The negative value indicates that the lower the earning power, the lower association between earnings change and price change for firms with informative change in inventory. Therefore, we can conclude that the conclusion is changed by incorporating inventory method as a control variable.

As a result, based on the explanation of results on several tests on regression equation models above, in overall, we can conclude that for $2^{\text {nd }}$ hypothesis, we have to accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or accept null hypothesis. Meaning to say, there is no negative association between increase in inventory and stock price. This is based on analyses by using p-value approach that has shown above. During the year 2003 up to 2004, we can see that $p$-value for variable of earnings for each of regression test (from equation 3.10 to equation 3.11) is higher than $\alpha=5 \%$. And for the coefficient for each of regression test above, for changes level, it shows that earnings have negative sign instead of positive sign on year level.

### 4.2.3.1.3. Test of $3^{\text {rd }}$ hypothesis: Association on Earnings between Increase in Inventory and Stock Price.

From all regression models that we have done which are required to support the $3^{\text {rd }}$ hypothesis, levels and changes regressions are performed for each
of the two sample groups by pooling data from 2003 to 2004. Additional analyses are also performed by including inventory valuation method as a control variable and also performing additional analysis by including decomposing earnings into their components. Thus it can be concluded as follows:

- The result of equation 3.12 after do data transformation, showing that both of coefficients of earnings and $\mathrm{DE}_{\mathfrak{t}}$ in here are positive. Meanwhile, coefficient of $\mathrm{ME}_{\mathfrak{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ is negative. Thus, $\rho$ - value of earnings is 0.5361 , $\rho$ - value of $\mathrm{DE}_{t}$ is 0.8059 and $\rho-$ value of $\mathrm{ME}_{t}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ is 0.2741 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value for all independent variables here are bigger than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore, we accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or null hypothesis. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price. So, in this case for earnings level, it is inconsistent with the prior research that was once done by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997). The positive value indicate that the higher the earning power, the higher association between earnings level and price level for firms with informative change in inventory. Therefore, we can conclude that the conclusion is changed by incorporating inventory method as a control variable.
- The result of equation 3.13 after conducting the data transformation shows that both of coefficients of earnings and $\mathrm{ME}_{t}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ in here are negative Meanwhile, coefficient of $D E_{t}-E_{1-1}$ is positive. Thus, $\rho$ - value of earnings is $0.1922, \rho$ - value of $D E_{1}-E_{t-1}$ is 0.0330 and $\rho$-value of $M E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ is 0.1078 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means
that $\rho$ - value for both earnings and inventory method here are higher than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore, we accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or null hypothesis. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price. So, in this case for earnings change, it is inconsistent with the prior research that was once done by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997). Meanwhile, for variable of $\mathrm{DE}_{t}-\mathrm{E}_{\uparrow-1}$, its $\rho$-value $<\alpha$. The positive value indicates that the higher the earning power, the higher association between earnings change and price change for firms with informative change in inventory. Therefore, we can conclude that the conclusion is changed by incorporating inventory method as a control variable.
- The result of equation 3.15 after conducting the data transformation shows that coefficient of Gross Profit in here is positive; meanwhile for Selling and Administrative Expense, it is negative. Thus, $\rho$ - value of Gross Profit is 0.0000 , and $\rho-$ value of Selling and Administrative Expense is 0.0872 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value for earnings here is lower than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $<\alpha$. Therefore, we reject $H_{0}$ or accept alternative hypothesis. It means that there is positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price in relationship with Gross Profit. So, in this term for earnings level, it is consistent with the prior research which is ever done by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997). Meanwhile for variable Selling and Administrative Expense, its $\rho$ - value $\geq \alpha$. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price in relationship with Selling and

Administrative Expense. Thus, the positive value indicates that the higher the earning power, the higher association between earnings level and price level for firms with informative change in inventory.

- The result of equation 3.16 after conducting the data transformation shows that coefficients of Gross Profit, Selling and Administrative Expense, and DGP $_{t}$ in here are positive, whereas for DSA $_{t}$ is negative. Thus, $\rho$ - value of Gross Profit is $0.0034, \rho$ - value of Selling and Administrative Expense is $0.6195, \rho$ - value of DGP $_{t}$ is 0.0206 , and $\rho$ - value of DSA $_{t}$ is 0.0031 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value for Gross Profit, DGP $_{t}$ and DSA $_{t}$ in here are lower than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $<\alpha$. Therefore, we reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or accept alternative hypothesis. It means that there is positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price in relationship with Gross Profit. So, in this case for earnings level, it is consistent with the prior research which is ever done by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997). Meanwhile for variable SA $_{t}$, its $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price in relationship with Selling and Administrative Expense. Thus, the positive value indicates that the higher the earning power, the higher association between earnings level and price level for firms with informative change in inventory.
- The result of equation 3.17 after conducting the data transformation shows that the coefficient of all independent variables here are positive. Thus, $\rho$ - value of Gross Profit is 0.2975 , and $\rho$ - value of Selling and

Administrative Expense is 0.2353 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$-value for all independent variables here is higher than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore, we accept $H_{0}$ or null hypothesis. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price. So, in this case for earnings changes, it is inconsistent with the prior research that was once done by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997). Thus, the positive value indicates that the higher the earning power, the higher association between earnings change and price change for firms with informative change in inventory.

- The result of equation 3.18 after conducting the data transformation shows that coefficients of Gross Profit, Selling and Administrative Expense, and DGP $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-1$ in here are positive, meanwhile for $\mathrm{DSA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-1$, it is negative. Thus, $\rho$ - value of Gross Profit is $0.3941, \rho$ - value of Selling and Administrative Expense is $0.9906, \rho$ - value of $\mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ is 0.0002 , and $\rho$ - value of $\mathrm{DSA}_{t}-\mathrm{SA}_{t-1}$ is 0.1460 . The $\alpha$ with the $5 \%$ degree of significant is 0.05 , in which it means that $\rho$ - value for Gross Profit, Selling and Administrative Expense in here are higher than $\alpha$ or $\rho-$ value $\geq \alpha$. Therefore, we accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or null hypothesis. It means that there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price. So, in this case for earnings changes, it is inconsistent with the prior research that was once done by Jiambalvo, Noreen and Shelvin (1997). Meanwhile, only variable $\mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ that has $\rho-$ value $<\alpha$. Thus, the positive value indicate that the higher the earning power, the higher association between
earnings change and price change for firms with informative change in inventory.

As a result, based on the explanation of results on several tests on regression equation models above, in overall, we can conclude that for $3^{\text {rd }}$ hypothesis, we have to accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or accept null hypothesis. Meaning to say, there is no positive association on earnings between increase in inventory and stock price. This is based on the analyses by using p-value approach that has shown above. During the year 2003 up to 2004, we can see that p-value for variable of earnings for each of regression test (from equation 3.12 to equation 3.18 ) is mostly higher than $\alpha=5 \%$. Although for equation 3.13 of variable of earnings have negative sign instead of it has positive sign on the rest.

### 4.2.4. Interpretation on the Result of the Calculation

### 4.2.4.1. Determination Coefficients (Adjusted $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ )

The result of the analysis shows that the value of Adjusted $R^{2}$ (determination coefficients), for each equation regression models are explained as follows:

1. In Table 4.45 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ is 0.04189 . It means that $4.19 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $E_{t}$ (Earnings Levels) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $95.81 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
2. In Table 4.46 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $R^{2}$ is -0.001857 . It means that $0.186 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $E_{1}-E_{t-1}$ (Earnings changes) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $99.814 \%$ is explained with the other variables that are not included in this research. Adjusted $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ here is in negative sign, because $\mathrm{k}>1$.
3. In Table 4.47 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ is 0.023008 . It means that $2.3 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{l}}$ (Earnings level) and $\mathrm{DE}_{1}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $97.7 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
4. In Table 4.48 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ is 0.01325 . Means $1.325 \%$ of total variation on stock price can be explained by $E_{t}-E_{l_{-1}}$ (Earnings changes) and $\mathrm{DEt}-\mathrm{DE}_{t-1}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $98.675 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
5. In Table 4.49 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $R^{2}$ is 0.191423 . It means that $19.1423 \%$ of total variation on stock price can be explained by $E_{t}$ (Earnings level) and $\mathrm{ME}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{1-1}$ (indicator variable for inventory valuation method) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and
sample size. Meanwhile, the other $80.8577 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
6. In Table 4.50 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ is -0.005310 . It means that $0.531 \%$ of total variation on stock price can be explained by $E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ (Earnings changes) and $\mathrm{ME}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad 1$ (indicator variable for inventory valuation method) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $99.469 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research. Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ here is in negative sign, because $\mathrm{k}>1$.
7. In Table 4.51 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $R^{2}$ is -0.001950 . It means $0.195 \%$ of total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Earnings level), $D E_{t}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups), and $M E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ (indicator variable for inventory valuation method) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $99.805 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research. Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ here is in negative sign, because $\mathrm{k}>1$.
8. In Table 4.52 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $R^{2}$ is 0.017988 . It means that $1.8 \%$ of total variation on stock price can be explained by $E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ (Earnings changes), $\mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups), and $\mathrm{ME}_{t}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ (indicator variable for inventory valuation method) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $98.2 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
9. In Table 4.53 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ is 0.43874 . It means that $43.874 \%$ of total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Gross Profit levels), and $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Selling and Administrative Expense Levels) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $56.126 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
10. In Table 4.54 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $R^{2}$ is 0.510563 . It means that $51.056 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by GP $_{t}$ (Gross Profit Levels), and $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}}$ (Selling and Administrative Expense Levels) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $48.944 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
11. In Table 4.55 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $R^{2}$ is 0.001958 . It means that $0.196 \%$ of total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ (Gross Profit changes), and $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-1$ (Selling and Administrative Expense changes) after adjusting for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $99.804 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
12. In Table 4.56 (See Appendix 7), its adjusted $R^{2}$ is 0.03593 . It means that $3.6 \%$ of total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-1$ (Gross Profit changes), $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ (Selling and Administrative Expense changes), $\mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups), and $\mathrm{DSA}_{t}-\mathrm{SA}_{t-1}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups) after adjusting
for the number of explanatory variables and sample size. Meanwhile, the other $96.4 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.

### 4.2.4.2. Determination Coefficients ( $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ )

The result of the analysis shows that the value of $R^{2}$ (determination coefficients), for each equation regression models are explained as follows:

1. In Table 4.45 (See Appendix 7), its $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ is 0.046285 . It means that $4.63 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{l}}$ (Earnings Levels). Meanwhile, the other $95.81 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
2. In Table 4.46 (See Appendix 7), its $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is 0.00328 . It means that $0.33 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{E}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ (Earnings changes). Meanwhile, the other $99.67 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
3. In Table 4.47 (See Appendix 7), its $R^{2}$ is 0.032633 . It means that $3.26 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Earnings level) and $\mathrm{DE}_{1}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups). Meanwhile, the other $96.74 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
4. In Table 4.48 (See Appendix 7), its $R^{2}$ is 0.023371 . It means that $2.33 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ (Earnings changes) and $D E_{t}-D E_{t-1}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups).

Meanwhile, the other $97.67 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
5. In Table 4.49 (See Appendix 7), its $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is 0.199312 . It means that $19.93 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Earnings level) and $M E_{1}-E_{1}-1$ (indicator variable for inventory valuation method). Meanwhile, the other $80.07 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
6. In Table 4.50 (See Appendix 7), its $R^{2}$ is 0.005001 . It means that $0.5 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $E_{1}-E_{1-1}$ (Earnings changes) and $M E_{t}-E_{t}-1$ (indicator variable for inventory valuation method). Meanwhile, the other $99.95 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
7. In Table 4.51 (See Appendix 7), its $R^{2}$ is 0.012642 . It means that $1.264 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Earnings level), $\mathrm{DE}_{\uparrow}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups), and $M E_{1}-E_{t-1}$ (indicator variable for inventory valuation method). Meanwhile, the other $98.736 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
8. In Table 4.52 (See Appendix 7), its $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is 0.033096 . It means that $3.3096 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ (Earnings changes), $D E_{t}-E_{t-1}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups), and $M E_{1}-E_{1}-1$ (indicator variable for inventory valuation method).

Meanwhile, the other $96.6904 \%$ is explained with the other variables that are not included in this research.
9. In Table 4.53 (See Appendix 7), its $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is 0.444556 . It means that $44.456 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by GP $_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Gross Profit levels), and $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Selling and Administrative Expense Levels). Meanwhile, the other $55.544 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
10. In Table 4.54 (See Appendix 7), its $R^{2}$ is 0.520706 . It means that $52.07 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Gross Profit Levels), and $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}$ (Selling and Administrative Expense Levels). Meanwhile, the other $47.93 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
11. In Table 4.55 (See Appendix 7), its $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is 0.012194 . It means that $1.2194 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{-1}$ (Gross Profit changes), and $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-1$ (Selling and Administrative Expense changes). Meanwhile, the other $98.7806 \%$ is explained by the other variables that are not included in this research.
12. In Table 4.56 (See Appendix 7), its $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ is 0.055808 . It means that $5.58 \%$ of the total variation on stock price can be explained by $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ (Gross Profit changes), $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}}-1$ (Selling and Administrative Expense changes), $\mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups), and $\mathrm{DSA}_{t}-\mathrm{SA}_{t-1}$ (indicator variable for both sample groups). Meanwhile, the
other $94.42 \%$ is explained with the other variables that are not included in this research

### 4.2.4.3. Interpretation on the Analysis Result of the Regression.

The regression equation that can be arranged based on the result of the calculation for each equation regression models are explained as follows: $P_{t}=-0.0515+0.904773 E_{1}$.

From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(C)=-0.0515$. The sample $Y$ intercepts $\beta_{0}$, computed as -0.0515 , and estimates the expected amount of Stock Price if Earnings is equal to zero $(\mathrm{X} 1=0)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=0.904773$. It is stated that every increase of $\operatorname{Rp} 1$ in $\mathrm{E}_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ will also increase the Stock Price $(\mathrm{Y})$ for 0.904773 with the assumption that $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ is constant. Therefore, the influence of $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ to the Stock Price is positive.
$P_{t}-P_{t-1}=-0.002-0.01766 E_{t}-E_{t-1}$.
From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(\mathrm{C})=-0.002$. The changes in the Stock Price $(\mathrm{Y})$ will be 0.002 if the independent variable of earnings level which changes the Stock Price is equal to zero $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}=0\right)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=-0.01766$. It is stated that every increase of $R p 1$ in $E_{t}-E_{1-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$, while other independent variables are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is decreased by 0.01766 .
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=-0.000347+0.17563 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}+0.511803 \mathrm{DE}_{1}$.
From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(\mathrm{C})=-0.000347$. The changes in the Stock Price $(\mathrm{Y})$ will be 0.000347 if all the independent variables which change the Stock Price are equal to zero $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}=0\right)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=0.175630$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$, while $\mathrm{DE}_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.175630 .
c. The value of coefficient $\beta_{2}=0.511803$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $\mathrm{DE}_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, while $\mathrm{E}_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.511803 .
$P_{t}-P_{t-1}=0.031427-0.022607 E_{t}-E_{t-1}+0.318501 D E_{t}-E_{t-1}$.
From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(C)=0.031427$. The changes in the Stock Price (Y) will be 0.031427 if all the independent variables which change the Stock Price are equal to zero $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}=0\right)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=-0.022607$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$, while $D E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is decreased by 0.022607 .
c. The value of coefficient $\beta_{2}=0.318501$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $D E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$, while $E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.318501 .
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=-0.002134+1.796325 \mathrm{E}_{1}+4.561975 \mathrm{ME}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$.
From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(\mathrm{C})=-0.002134$. The changes in the Stock Price $(\mathrm{Y})$ will be 0.002134 if all the independent variables which change the Stock Price are equal to zero $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}=0\right)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=1.796325$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $E_{t}\left(X_{1}\right)$, while $M E_{t}-E_{1-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 1.796325 .
c. The value of coefficient $\beta_{2}=4.561975$. It is stated that every increase of $R p 1$ in $M E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$, while $E_{t}\left(X_{1}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 4.561975 .
$P_{t}-P_{t-1}=-0.002176-0.013881 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}-0.094117 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$.
From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(C)=-0.002176$. The changes in the Stock Price $(Y)$ will be 0.002176 if all the independent variables which change the Stock Price are equal to zero $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}=0\right)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=-0.013881$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$, while $M E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is decreased by 0.013881 .
c. The value of coefficient $\beta_{2}=-0.094117$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $M E_{t}-E_{1-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$, while $E_{t}-E_{1-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is decreased by 0.094117 .

$$
P_{t}=0.858662+0.271604 \mathrm{E}_{1}-0.496965 \mathrm{ME}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+0.104203 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}} .
$$

From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(\mathrm{C})=0.858662$. The changes in the Stock Price $(\mathrm{Y})$ will be 0.858662 if all the independent variables which change the Stock Price are equal to zero ( $\left.\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}, \mathrm{X}_{3}=0\right)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=0.271604$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $E_{1}\left(X_{1}\right)$, while $M E_{1}-E_{1}-1\left(X_{2}\right)$ and $D E_{f}\left(X_{3}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.271604 .
c. The value of coefficient $\beta_{2}=-0.496965$. It is stated that every increase of $R p 1$ in $M E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$, while $E_{t}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $D E_{t}\left(X_{3}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is decreased by 0.496965 .
d. The value of coefficient $\beta_{3}=0.104203$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $\mathrm{DE}_{t}\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$, while $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{ME}_{1}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.104203 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{t}-P_{t-1}= & 0.028515-0.022176 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}-0.465998 \mathrm{ME}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1} \\
& +0.4156 \mathrm{DE}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(\mathrm{C})=0.028515$. The changes in the Stock Price $(\mathrm{Y})$ will be 0.028515 if all the independent variables which change the Stock Price are equal to zero $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}=0\right)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=-0.022176$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$, while $M E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$ and $D E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{3}\right)$ are
constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is decreased by 0.022176 .
c. The value of coefficient $\beta_{2}=-0.465998$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $M E_{t}-E_{1-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$, while $E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $D E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{3}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is decreased by 0.465998 .
d. The value of coefficient $\beta_{3}=0.4156$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $D E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{3}\right)$, while $E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $M E_{t}-E_{t-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.4156 .
$P_{t}=0.402955+1.267113 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-0.610769 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}$.
From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(\mathrm{C})=0.402955$. The changes in the Stock Price $(\mathrm{Y})$ will be 0.402955 if all the independent variables which change the Stock Price are equal to zero $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}, \mathrm{X}_{2}=0\right)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=1.267113$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$, while $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 1.267113
c. The value of coefficient $\beta_{2}=-0.610769$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, while $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is decreased by 0.610769 .
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=0.005646+0.783735 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}+0.179462 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}+1.171764 \mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}-1.701002 \mathrm{DSA}_{\mathrm{t}}$. From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(\mathrm{C})=0.005646$. The changes in the Stock Price $(\mathrm{Y})$ will be 0.005646 if all the independent variables which change the Stock Price are equal to zero $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}=0\right)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=0.783735$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $\mathrm{GP}_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$, while $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right), \mathrm{DGP}_{\mathbf{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{DSA}_{t}\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.783735 .
c. The value of coefficient $\beta_{2}=0.179462$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $\operatorname{SA}_{t}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, while $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$, $\mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{DSA}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.179462 .
d. The value of coefficient $\beta_{3}=1.171764$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $\operatorname{DGP}_{t}\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$, while $\mathrm{GP}_{1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right), \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, and $\operatorname{DSA}_{t}\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 1.171764 .
e. The value of coefficient $\beta_{4}=-1.701002$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in and $\operatorname{DSA}_{t}\left(X_{4}\right)$, while $\operatorname{GP}_{t}\left(X_{1}\right), \operatorname{SA}_{t}\left(X_{2}\right)$, and $\operatorname{DGP}_{t}\left(X_{3}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is decreased by 1.701002.
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}-1}=0.002348+0.105494 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+0.163739 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$.
a. The value Constant $(\mathrm{C})=0.002348$. The changes in the Stock Price $(\mathrm{Y})$ will be 0.002348 if all the independent variables which change the Stock Price are equal to zero $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}=0\right)$.
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=0.105494$. It is stated that every increase of $\operatorname{Rp} 1$ in $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$, while $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1}$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.105494 .
c. The value of coefficient $\beta_{2}=0.163739$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $S A_{\mathbf{t}}-$ SA $_{t-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, while $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{1-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ is constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.163739
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{t}-1}=0.017776+0.087057 \mathrm{GP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}+0.003156 \mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}-1}+0.602228$ DGP $_{t-}$ GP $_{t-1}-0.946918$ DSA $_{\mathbf{t}}-$ SA $_{t-1}$.

From the above equation, we can explain that:
a. The value Constant $(C)=0.017776$. The changes in the Stock Price $(Y)$ will be 0.017776 if all the independent variables which change the Stock Price are equal to zero $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}=0\right)$
b. The value of coefficient $\beta_{1}=0.087057$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $G_{t}-\operatorname{GP}_{t-1}\left(X_{1}\right)$, while $S A_{t}-S_{t-1}\left(X_{2}\right), \operatorname{DGP}_{t}-\operatorname{GP}_{t-1}\left(X_{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{DSA}_{4}-\mathrm{SA}_{t-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.087057
c. The value of coefficient $\beta_{2}=0.003156$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, while $\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$, $\mathrm{DGP}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{DSA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.003156 .
d. The value of coefficient $\beta_{3}=0.602228$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in $\operatorname{DGP}_{t}-\operatorname{GP}_{t-1}\left(X_{3}\right)$, while $\operatorname{GP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right), \mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, and
$\mathrm{DSA}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{SA}_{\mathbf{t}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{4}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is increased by 0.602228 .
e. The value of coefficient $\beta_{4}=-0.946918$. It is stated that every increase of Rp 1 in and DSA $_{t}-$ SA $_{t-1}\left(X_{4}\right)$, while $\operatorname{GP}_{t}-$ GP $_{t-1}\left(X_{1}\right), S A_{t}-S A_{t-1}\left(X_{2}\right)$, and $\mathrm{DGP}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathrm{GP}_{\mathrm{t}-1}\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ are constant, the estimated average amount of stock price is decreased by 0.946918

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.1. Conclusion.

Based on the analysis result in the previous chapter, we can take some conclusions. Those are as follows

1. Compared to the previous study, based on what we have found within this study, the findings are: $1^{\text {st }}$ hypothesis is in not in line with the previous study that had been done by Bernard and Noel (1992) and also with $2^{\text {nd }}$ hypothesis, however the researcher found that her hypotheses results still in line with previous study that have been done by Jiambalvo, Noreen, and Shelvin (1997). Thus, the increase in inventory seems to have big association with stock price. And within this study, after several computation and analyses, the researcher found that earnings do not have any effect both with increase in inventory and stock prices and does not impact on the relationship between increase in inventory and stock prices Therefore, it is true that informativeness of change in inventory is affecting stock prices.
2. The result of the analyses consistently show that the association between stock price and earnings, both in level and changes form, is lower for firms with the informativeness of change in inventory. The implication is that investors and analysts do not have to rely more heavily on earnings figures when analyzing firms with informativeness of change in inventory.
3. Results in this study are also showing that inventory methods are insignificant in some analyses and significant in other analyses. Thus, this variable is not consistent in explaining the variation in stock price.
4. The association between gross profit and stock price is higher for levels form. The implication of this study, therefore, is the importance of knowledge on a firm's inventory planning (i.e., the association between percentage change in cost of goods sold and lag one percentage of production added to inventory) for valuation purposes.
5. The contributions of change in inventory, in explaining the change on stock price, are weak and have a small relationship (See Appendix 7). The low Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ gives a clue that the movements of changes on stock price are characteristic random that can not be decided or fully influenced only by the increase on inventory. In this case, the stock price changes are more influenced by the other outside factors of changes in inventory.

### 5.2. Limitations and Recommendation.

1. This research is only using 2 (two) years sample observation. Therefore, in order to get better result, it is recommended for other researchers to use at least 14 years sample observation because this study is using firms classification analysis in the short-run with three consecutives years.
2. In this research, the researcher analyzes the companies' performance by using the financial statements of the companies. The financial statements which are used in this research are the annual financial statements. It is
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APPENDIX 1
LISTS OF FIRST COMPANIES' SAMPLE COMPANIES THAT BECOME THE SAMPLE OF RESEARCH

## Table A

## THE COMPANIES' STOCK PRICES LIST

## FIRST SAMPLE OF 153 COMPANIES IN THE RESEARCH

| No | Firms | Closing Price per Share (PRICE) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 123456789 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk <br> PT Aqua Golden Mississippi Tbk <br> PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk <br> PT Davomas Abadi Tbk <br> PT Delta Djakarta Tbk <br> PT Fast Food Indonesia Tbk <br> PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk <br> PT Mayora Indah Tbk <br> PT Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk <br> PT Pioneerindo Gourmet International (d/h Putra Sejahtera Pioneerindo (CFC)) | 1150 | 550 | 975 |
|  |  | 38000 | 40000 | 47550 |
|  |  | 190 | 220 | 255 |
|  |  | 300 | 100 | 490 |
|  |  | 9200 | 9000 | 10500 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 825 | 600 | 775 |
|  |  | 420 | 365 | 975 |
|  |  | 34250 | 30000 | 40000 |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 11 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | Tbk <br> PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk | 125 | 125 | 125 |
|  | PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk <br> PT Sari Husada Tbk | 10250 | 10000 | 17800 |
| 13 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk <br> PT Siantar Top Tbk <br> PT Sierad Produce Tbk | 400 | 275 | 500 |
|  |  | 270 | 215 | 185 |
| 15 |  | 45 | 20 | 25 |
| 16 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMART) Tbk | 925 | 1125 | 2900 |
| 17 | PT Suba Indah Tbk | 40 | 25 | 125 |
| 18 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia Intiselera) <br> PT Tunas Baru Lampung Tbk | 165 | 330 | 195 |
| 19 |  | 290 | 140 | 170 |
| 20 | PT Ultra Jaya Milk Industry and Trading Company Tbk PT BAT Indonesia Tbk | 750 | 500 | 295 |
| 21 |  | 7900 | 9150 | 9100 |
| 22 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 10950 | 7400 | 13000 |
| 23 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk | 4575 | 2900 | 4500 |
| 24 | PT Argo Pantes Tbk | 700 | 700 | 1275 |
| 25 | PT Century Textile Industry (Centex) Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 |  | 460 | 200 | 200 |
| 27 | PT Eratex Djaja Limited Tbk <br> PT Panasia Filament Inti Tbk <br> PT Panasia Indosyntec Tbk | 195 | 70 | 45 |
| 28 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | PT Roda Vivatex Tbk | 1125 | 1000 | 850 |
| 30 | PT Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk | 330 | 175 | 115 |
| 31 | PT Teijin Indonesia Fiber Corporation (Tifico) Tbk | 300 | 165 | 240 |
| 32 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | PT APAC Citra Centertex Tbk | 210 | 85 | 170 |
| 34 | PT Daeyu Orchid Indonesia Tbk | 100 | 60 | 80 |
| 35 | PT Ever Shine Textile Industry Tbk | 335 | 100 | 125 |
| 36 | PT Fortune Mate Indonesia Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | PT Great River International Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | PT Hanson Industri Utama Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | PT Indorama Syntetics Tbk | 725 | 420 | 455 |
| 40 | PT Karwell Indonesia Tbk | 455 | 350 | 420 |
| 41 | PT Kasogi International Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | PT Pan Brothers Tex Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | PT Primarindo Asia Infrastructure Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | PT Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk | 160 | 45 | 320 |

## PT Sarasa Nugraha Tbk <br> PT Sepatu Bata Tbk

PT Surya Intrindo Makmur Tbk
PT Barito Pacific Timber Tbk
PT Daya Sakti Unggul Corporation Tbk
PT Sumalindo Lestari Jaya Tbk
PT Surya Dumai Industri Tbk
PT Tirta Mahakam Plywood Industry Tbk
PT Fajar Surya Wisesa Tbk
PT Indah Kiat Pulp \& Paper Corporation Tbk
PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk
PT Suparma Tbk
PT Surabaya Agung Industry Pulp Tbk
PT Aneka Kimia Raya Tbk
PT Budi Acid Jaya Tbk
PT Colorpak Indonesia Tbk
PT Eterindo Wahanatama Tbk
PT Lautan Luas Tbk
PT Polysindo Eka Perkasa Tbk
PT Sorini Corporation Tbk
PT Unggul Indah Cahaya Tbk
PT Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk
PT Ekadharma Tape Industries Tbk
PT Intan Wijaya International Tbk
PT Resource Alam Indonesia Tbk (Kurnia Kapuas Utama Glue Industries) Tbk
PT Argha Karya Prima Industry Tbk
PT Asahimas Flat Glass Co LId Tbk
PT Asiaplast Industries Tbk
PT Berlina Co Ltd Tbk
PT Dynaplast Tbk
PT Fatrapolindo Nusa Industri Tbk
PT Inti Indah Karya Plasindo Tbk
PT Kageo Igar Jaya Tbk (Igarjaya)
PT Langgeng Makmur Plastik Industry Ltd Tbk
PT Lapindo International Tbk
PT Palm Asia Corpore Tbk ( PT Plaspak Prima Industri Tbk) PT Siwani Makmur Tbk
PT Summiplast Interbenua Tbk
PT Trias Sentosa Tbk
PT Wahana Jaya Perkasa Tbk
PT Indocement Tunggal Perkasa Tbk
PT Semen Cibinong Tbk
PT Semen Gresik (Persero) Tbk
PT Alakasa Industrindo Tbk
PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk
PT Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk
PT Citra Tubindo Tbk
PT Indal Aluminium Industry Tbk
PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Ltd Tbk
PT Jaya Pari Steel Tbk
PT Lion Mesh Prima Tbk
PT Lion Metal Works Tbk
PT Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk
PT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk

| 100 | 40 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16500 | 14000 | 15000 |
| 1000 | 375 | 200 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 95 | 75 | 130 |
| 105 | 65 | 110 |
| 500 | 445 | 385 |
| 150 | 95 | 110 |
| 440 | 340 | 750 |
| 230 | 205 | 775 |
| 195 | 215 | 775 |
| 85 | 75 | 190 |
| 75 | 65 | 65 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 135 | 90 | 100 |
| 450 | 365 | 480 |
| 75 | 70 | 195 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | 15 | 60 |
| 475 | 390 | 750 |
| 1300 | 1100 | 2075 |
| 415 | 200 | 220 |
| 550 | 490 | 165 |
| 495 | 270 | 305 |
| 240 | 90 | 160 |
| 220 | 230 | 750 |
| 1225 | 1150 | 2300 |
| 45 | 20 | 35 |
| 1500 | 1400 | 1275 |
| 1200 | 975 | 1725 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 80 | 80 | 120 |
| 80 | 35 | 55 |
| 550 | 420 | 525 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 210 | 170 | 150 |
| 110 | 165 | 225 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 850 | 825 | 1900 |
| 320 | 150 | 375 |
| 8450 | 7350 | 9950 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 625 | 145 | 235 |
| 140 | 125 | 200 |
| 7900 | 8050 | 8000 |
| 310 | 115 | 150 |
| 35 | 20 | 125 |
| 100 | 140 | 385 |
| 525 | 350 | 925 |
| 725 | 850 | 925 |
| 175 | 60 | 160 |
| 2750 | 2600 | 2300 |


| 99 | PT Tira Austenite Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | PT Kedaung Indah Can Tbk | 350 | 370 | 150 |
| 101 | PT Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk | 285 | 145 | 150 |
| 102 | PT Arwana Citra Mulia Tbk | 105 | 95 | 295 |
| 103 | PT Intikeramik Alamasri Industry Tbk | 125 | 80 | 135 |
| 104 | PT Keramika Indonesia Assosiasi Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 105 | PT Mulia Industrindo Tbk | 155 | 110 | 250 |
| 106 | PT Surya Toto Indonesia Tbk | 5500 | 5500 | 4450 |
| 107 | PT Komatsu Indonesia Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 108 | PT Texmaco Perkasa Engineering Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 109 | PT GL Kabel Indonesia Tbk | 80 | 50 | 80 |
| 110 | PT Jembo Cable Company Tbk | 600 | 775 | 235 |
| 111 | PT Kabelindo Murni Tbk | 90 | 50 | 75 |
| 112 | PT Sumi Indo Kabel Tbk | 725 | 300 | 400 |
| 113 | PT Supreme Cable Manufacturing Corporation (Sucaco) Tbk | 925 | 1000 | 1025 |
| 114 | PT Voksel Electric Tbk | -150 | 110 | 120 |
| 115 | PT Astra Graphia Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 116 | PT Metrodata Electronics Tbk | - 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 117 | PT Multi Agro Persada Tbk | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |
| 118 | PT Multipolar Corporation Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 119 | PT Andhi Chandra Automotive Products Tbk | 340 | 465 | 475 |
| 120 | PT Astra International Tbk | 2700 | 2550 | 5350 |
| 121 | PT Astra Otoparts Tbk | 1600 | 1250 | 1325 |
| 122 | PT Branta Mulia Tbk | 800 | 550 | 800 |
| 123 | PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk | 170 | 210 | 600 |
| 124 | PT Goodyear Indonesia Tbk | 4500 | 4100 | 4000 |
| 125 | PT GT Petrochem Industries Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 126 | PT Hexindo Adiperkasa Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 127 | PT Indomobil Sukses International Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 128 | PT Indospring Tbk | 525 | 700 | 650 |
| 129 | PT Intraco Penta Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 130 | PT Multi Prima Sejahtera Tbk | 550 | 600 | 1025 |
| 131 | PT Nipress Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 132 | PT Prima Alloy Steel Tbk | 270 | 210 | 320 |
| 133 | PT Selamat Sempurna Tbk | 1725 | 1500 | 270 |
| 134 | PT Sugi Samapersada Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 135 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 136 | PT United Tractors Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 137 | PT Inter Delta Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 138 | PT Modern Photo Film Company Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 139 | PT Perdana Bangun Pusaka Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 140 | PT Bristol-Myers Squibb Indonesia Tbk | 10500 | 9800 | 15600 |
| 141 | PT Dankos Laboratories Tbk | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 142 | PT Darya-Varia Laboratoria Tbk | 425 | 650 | 775 |
| 143 | PT Indofarma (Persero) Tbk | 235 | 200 | 160 |
| 144 | PT Kalbe Farma Tbk | 325 | 305 | 475 |
| 145 | PT Kimia Farma (Persero) Tbk | 230 | 165 | 185 |
| 146 | PT Merck Tbk | 12800 | 9000 | 21000 |
| 147 | PT Pyridam Farma Tbk | 310 | 275 | 60 |
| 148 | PT Schering Plough Indonesia Tbk | 16000 | 6750 | 10500 |
| 149 | PT Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk | 4850 | 4625 | 5200 |
| 150 | PT Mandom Indonesia Tbk | 2100 | 1625 | 2750 |
| 151 | PT Mustika Ratu Tbk | 1775 | 525 | 465 |
| 152 | PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk | 20500 | 18000 | 3550 |

Table A. 1

## THE COMPANIES' LIST THAT REMOVED WITHIN

## THIS RESEARCH

| No. | Firms |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1 | PT Fast Food Indonesia Tbk |
| 2 | PT Pioneerindo Gourmet International (d/h Putra Sejahtera Pioneerindo (CFC)) |
| 3 | Tbk |
| 4 | PT Century Textile Industry (Centex) Tbk |
| 5 | PT Texanile Manufacturing Company Jaya (Texmaco Jaya) Tbk |
| 6 | PT Fortune Mate Indonesia Tbk |
| 7 | PT Great River International Tbk |
| 8 | PT Hanson Industri Utama Tbk |
| 9 | PT Kasogi International Tbk |
| 10 | PT Pan Brothers Tex Tbk |
| 11 | PT Primarindo Asia Infrastructure Tbk |
| 12 | PT Ryane Adibusana Tbk |
| 13 | PT Barito Pacific Timber Tbk |
| 14 | PT Aneka Kimia Raya Tbk |
| 15 | PT Lautan Luas Tbk |
| 16 | PT Fatrapolindo Nusa Industri Tbk |
| 17 | PT Inti Indah Karya Plasindo Tbk |
| 18 | PT Palm Asia Corpore Tbk ( PT Plaspak Prima Industri Tbk) |
| 19 | PT Siwani Makmur Tbk |
| 20 | PT Wahana Jaya Perkasa Tbk |
| 21 | PT Alakasa Industrindo Tbk |
| 22 | PT Tira Austenite Tbk |
| 23 | PT Keramika Indonesia Assosiasi Tbk |
| 24 | PT Komatsu Indonesia Tbk |
| 25 | PT Texmaco Perkasa Engineering Tbk |
| 26 | PT Astra Graphia Tbk |
| 27 | PT Metrodata Electronics Tbk |
| 28 | PT Multi Agro Persada Tbk |
| 29 | PT Multipolar Corporation Tbk |
| 30 | PT GT Petrochem Industries Tbk |
| 31 | PT Hexindo Adiperkasa Tbk |
| 32 | PT Indomobil Sukses International Tbk |
| 33 | PT Intraco Penta Tbk |
| 34 | PT Nipress Tbk |
| 35 | PT Sugi Samapersada Tbk |
| 36 | PT Tunas Ridean Tbk |
| 37 | PT United Tractors Tbk |
| 38 | PT Inter Delta Tbk |
| 39 | PT Modem Photo Film Company Tbk |
| 40 | PT Perdana Bangun Pusaka Tbk |
| 41 | PT Dankos Laboratories Tbk |

TABLE 4.1
THE COMPANIES' STOCK PRICES LIST
THAT BECOME THE SAMPLE OF THE RESEARCH

| No | firms | Closing Price per Share |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk | 1150 | 550 | 975 |
| 2 | PT Aqua Golden Mississippi Tbk $\quad$ | 38000 | 40000 | 47550 |
| 3 | PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk | 190 | 220 | 255 |
| 4 | PT Davomas Abadi Tbk | 300 | 100 | 490 |
| 5 | PT Delta Djakarta Tbk | 9200 | 9000 | 10500 |
| 6 | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk | 825 | 600 | 775 |
| 7 | PT Mayora Indah Tbk | 420 | 365 | 975 |
| 8 | PT Muti Bintang Indonesia Tbk | 34250 | 30000 | 40000 |
| 9 | PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk | 125 | 125 | 125 |
| 10 | PT Sari Husada Tbk | 10250 | 10000 | 17800 |
| 11 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk | 400 | 275 | 500 |
| 12 | PT Siantar Top Tbk | 270 | 215 | 185 |
| 13 | PT Sierad Produce Tbk | 45 | 20 | 25 |
| 14 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMART) Tbk | 925 | 1125 | 2900 |
| 15 | PT Suba Indah Tbk | 40 | 25 | 125 |
| 16 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia Intiselera) | 165 | 330 | 195 |
| 17 | PT Tunas Baru Lampung Tbk | 290 | 140 | 170 |
| 18 | PT Ultra Jaya Milk Industry and Trading Company Tbk | 750 | 500 | 295 |
| 19 | PT BAT Indonesia Tbk | 7900 | 9150 | 9100 |
| 20 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 10950 | 7400 | 13000 |
| 21 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk | 4575 | 2900 | 4500 |
| 22 | PT Argo Pantes Tbk | 700 | 700 | 1275 |
| 23 | PT Eratex Djaja Limited Tbk | 460 | 200 | 200 |
| 24 | PT Panasia Filament Inti Tbk | 195 | 70 | 45 |
| 25 | PT Roda Vivatex Tbk | - 1125 | 1000 | 850 |
| 26 | PT Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk | 330 | 175 | 115 |
| 27 | PT Teijin Indonesia Fiber Corporation (Tifico) Tbk | 300 | 165 | 240 |
| 28 | PT APAC Citra Centertex Tbk | 210 | 85 | 170 |
| 29 | PT Daeyu Orchid Indonesia Tbk | 100 | 60 | 80 |
| 30 | PT Ever Shine Textile Industry Tbk | 335 | 100 | 125 |
| 31 | PT Indorama Syntetics Tbk | 725 | 420 | 455 |
| 32 | PT Karwell Indonesia Tbk | 455 | 350 | 420 |
| 33 | PT Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk | 160 | 45 | 320 |
| 34 | PT Sarasa Nugraha Tbk | 100 | 40 | 35 |
| 35 | PT Sepatu Bata Tbk | 16500 | 14000 | 15000 |
| 36 | PT Surya Intrindo Makmur Tbk | 1000 | 375 | 200 |
| 37 | PT Daya Sakti Unggul Corporation Tbk | 95 | 75 | 130 |
| 38 | PT Sumalindo Lestari Jaya Tbk | 105 | 65 | 110 |
| 39 | PT Surya Dumai Industri Tbk | 500 | 445 | 385 |
| 40 | PT Tirta Mahakam Plywood Industry Tbk | 150 | 95 | 110 |



| 91 | PT Andhi Chandra Automotive Products Tbk | 340 | 465 | 475 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 92 | PT Astra International Tbk | 2700 | 2550 | 5350 |
| 93 | PT Astra Otoparts Tbk | 1600 | 1250 | 1325 |
| 94 | PT Branta Mulia Tbk | 800 | 550 | 800 |
| 95 | PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk | 170 | 210 | 600 |
| 96 | PT Goodyear Indonesia Tbk | 4500 | 4100 | 4000 |
| 97 | PT Indospring Tbk | 525 | 700 | 650 |
| 98 | PT Multi Prima Sejahtera Tbk | 550 | 600 | 1025 |
| 99 | PT Prima Alloy Steel Tbk | 270 | 210 | 320 |
| 100 | PT Selamat Sempurna Tbk | 1725 | 1500 | 270 |
| 101 | PT Bristol-Myers Squibb Indonesia Tbk | 10500 | 9800 | 15600 |
| 102 | PT Darya-Varia Laboratoria Tbk | 425 | 650 | 775 |
| 103 | PT Indofarma (Persero) Tbk | 235 | 200 | 160 |
| 104 | PT Kalbe Farma Tbk | 325 | 305 | 475 |
| 105 | PT Kimia Farma (Persero) Tbk | 230 | 165 | 185 |
| 106 | PT Merck Tbk | 12800 | 9000 | 21000 |
| 107 | PT Pyridam Farma Tbk | 310 | 275 | 60 |
| 108 | PT Schering Plough Indonesia Tbk | 16000 | 6750 | 10500 |
| 109 | PT Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk | 4850 | 4625 | 5200 |
| 110 | PT Mandom Indonesia Tbk | 2100 | 1625 | 2750 |
| 111 | PT Mustika Ratu Tbk | 1775 | 525 | 465 |
| 112 | PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk | 20500 | 18000 | 3550 |



APPENDIX 2
LISTS OF FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS OF SAMPLE COMPANIES, STOCK PRICES \& INVENTORY METHODS
SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT OF 112 COMPANIES Per 31 Desember
(In Million Rupiahs)

| No. | Firms | Annual Inventory |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk | 12553 | 10217 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | PT Aqua Goiden Mississippi Tbk | 4575 | 5883 | 11792 | 9987 | 9193 | 9981 | 7775 |
| 3 | PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk | 48785 | 5883 | 9453 | 9129 | 7561 | 7816 | 23453 |
| 4 | PT Davomas Abadi Tbk | 487838 | 59133 | 69432 | 73129 | 72637 | 84726 | 63799 |
| 5 | PT Detta Djakarta Tbk | 77438 | 78175 | 91678 | 92412 | 53655 | 86736 | 86933 |
| 6 | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk | $\frac{11773846}{}$ | 14949 | 20619 | 33052 | 32126 | 41630 | 40032 |
| 7 | PT Mayora Indah Tbk | 1938112 | 1348653 | 1970598 | 2137103 | 2743304 | 2218210 | 2284332 |
| 8 | PT Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk | 56450 | 69434 | 113461 | 104526 | 88223 | 122798 | 184596 |
| 9 | PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk | 232612 | 52658 | 60105 | 62420 | 59628 | 60829 | 72001 |
| 10 | PT Sari Husada Tbk | 232612 | 241162 | 104915 | 100055 | 110680 | 33337 | 39958 |
| 11 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk | 21854 | 79076 | 111931 | 102492 | 106022 | 75409 | 130829 |
| 12 | PT Siantar Top Tbk | 20605 | 21503 | 24957 | 20895 | 20388 | 16692 | 16465 |
| 13 | PT Sierad Produce Tbk | 20605 | 26719 | 47726 | 56802 | 112023 | 111783 | 94850 |
| 14 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMART) Tbk | 1150737 | 177845 | 211776 | 252921 | 202218 | 175659 | 178808 |
| 15 | PT Suba Indah Tbk | 7160 | 232646 | 300743 | 292710 | 348610 | 475677 | 506080 |
| 16 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia Intiselera) | 17012 | 7591 | 10287 | 8508 | 19768 | 47458 | 70338 |
| 17 | PT Tunas Baru Lampung Tbk | 79659 | 7672 | 7557 | 5096 | 28804 | 26799 | 43809 |
| 18 | PT Ultra Jaya Milk Industry and Trading Company Tbk |  | 77537 | 80233 | 48588 | 51313 | 115595 | 129297 |
| 19 | PT BAT Indonesia Tbk | 440558 | 74072 | 103146 | 101132 | 103295 | 147635 | 150020 |
| 20 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 4467864 | 499487 | 472260 | 392531 | 392566 | 365959 | 411373 |
| 21 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk | 1527374 | 4250502 | 7197500 | 9103779 | 9381700 | 9528579 | 10875860 |
| 22 | PT Argo Pantes Tbk | 273430 | 2242541 | 4125651 | 5294415 | 5333008 | 4658728 | 4887583 |
| 23 | PT Eratex Djaja Limited Tbk | 273430 | 200763 | 268510 | 368059 | 337625 | 243585 | 286419 |
| 24 | PT Panasia Filament Inti Tbk | 221852 | 85540 | 148336 | 134613 | 129730 | 92091 | 100356 |
|  |  | 221852 | 168926 | 180958 | 247833 | 201632 | 180478 | 196469 |


| 25 | PT Roda Vivatex Tbk | 49330 | 53790 | 48098 | 52135 | 48473 | 35259 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | PT Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk | 161236 | 188370 | 177258 | 52135 | 48473 | 35259 | 30865 |
| 27 | PT Teijin Indonesia Fiber Corporation (Tifico) Tbk | 126222 | 182991 | 177258 | 196511 | 182564 | 198368 | 248464 |
| 28 | PT APAC Citra Centertex Tbk | 324210 | 182991 | 224207 | 256011 | 201326 | 145926 | 152619 |
| 29 | PT Daeyu Orchid Indonesia Tbk | 324210 | 273773 | 361534 | 294583 | 296451 | 279575 | 290080 |
| 30 | PT Ever Shine Textile Industry Tbk | 157 | 690 | 436 | 1282 | 3948 | 1588 | 190558 |
| 31 | PT Indorama Syntetics Tbk | 132268 | 134571 | 168838 | 224616 | 200845 | 188298 | 191405 |
| 32 | PT Karwell Indonesia Tbk | 261117 | 353410 | 502932 | 515393 | 436176 | 466764 | 617172 |
| 33 | PT Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk | 180583 | 126285 | 146646 | 102217 | 113039 | 86557 | 135218 |
| 34 | PT Sarasa Nugraha Tbk | 73276 | 88746 | 116056 | 111644 | 93218 | 92316 | 101512 |
| 35 | PT Sepatu Bata Tbk | 45660 | 47307 | 52808 | 66852 | 65063 | 39410 | 6827 |
| 36 | PT Surya Intrindo Makmur Tbk | 53457 | 75038 | 89030 | 89193 | 82828 | 106015 | 105050 |
| 37 | PT Daya Sakti Unggul Corporation Tbk | 11898 | 40462 | 73676 | 84677 | 77156 | 45382 | 36262 |
| 38 | PT Sumalindo Lestari Jaya Tbk | 89720 | 113664 | 147749 | 134292 | 138011 | 136990 | 142612 |
| 39 | PT Surya Dumai Industri Tbk | 173135 | 205802 | 266324 | 213398 | 230746 | 174924 | 211791 |
| 40 | PT Tirta Mahakam Plywood Industry Tbk | 90283 | 104494 | 119968 | 102359 | 107967 | 62594 | 89763 |
| 41 | PT Fajar Surya Wisesa Tbk | 57166 | 71536 | 94113 | 133881 | 130180 | 127025 | 249763 |
| 42 | PT Indah Kiat Pulp \& Paper Corporation Tbk | 187671 | 129841 | 188015 | 181792 | 180119 | 192261 | 197592 |
| 43 | PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk | 1228300 | 1871927 | 2964001 | 1889719 | 2519359 | 2661623 | 2892139 |
| 44 | PT Suparma Tbk | 1442131 | 1450368 | 2213998 | 1671572 | 1742156 | 1605832 | 2193187 |
| 45 | PT Surabaya Agung Industry Pulp Tbk | 168130 | 155592 | 128297 | 104509 | 105527 | 130939 | 151199 |
| 46 | PT Budi Acid Jaya Tbk | 100506 | 84871 | 92605 | 134402 | 131243 | 72704 | 98556 |
| 47 | PT Colorpak Indonesia Tbk | 63529 | 71195 | 89540 | 97743 | 81570 | 151473 | 122022 |
| 48 | PT Eterindo Wahanatama Tbk | 0 | 0 | 4737 | 4014 | 6225 | 6410 | 17884 |
| 49 | PT Polysindo Eka Perkasa Tbk | 92158 | 164178 | 179385 | 161325 | 225662 | 0 | 3429 |
| 50 | PT Sorin Corporation Tbk | 394680 | 418683 | 551861 | 648033 | 518660 | 295950 | 642891 |
| 51 | PT Unggul Indah Cahaya Tb | 73788 | 59048 | 117211 | 124117 | 121815 | 148946 | 122281 |
| 52 | PT Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk | 262146 | 303785 | 395855 | 600780 | 459548 | 388533 | 815591 |
| 53 | PT Ekadharma Tape Industries Tbk | 8579 | 7415 | 13971 | 11035 | 12630 | 9828 | 19689 |
| 54 | PT Intan Wijaya international Tbk | 6159 | 10273 | 13818 | 6660 | 9327 | 10325 | 15707 |
|  | PT Resource Alam Indonesia Tbk (Kurnia Kapuas Utama Glue Industries) | 4432 | 5555 | 8493 | 7524 | 12094 | 6177 | 10842 |
| 55 | Tbk | 49941 | 41860 | 46073 | 46043 | 40272 | 43959 | 32943 |
| 56 | PT Argha Karya Prima Industry Tbk | 130623 | 120420 | 154035 | 140268 | 161367 | 1674 |  |
| 57 | PT Asahimas Flat Glass Co Ltd Tbk | 314214 | 246981 | 318451 | 180168 | 161367 | 1674 | 233098 |


| 58 | PT Asiaplast Industries Tbk | 9807 | 13003 | 20404 | 33889 | 28606 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | PT Berlina Co Ltd Tbk | 13860 | 19520 | 25243 | 25306 | 29082 |  | 28447 |
| 60 | PT Dynaplast Tbk | 18564 | 18175 | 35432 | 34570 | 296000 | 25549 | 28447 |
| 61 | PT Kageo Igar Jaya Tbk (igariaya) | 22542 | 40479 | 60364 | 54537 | 55876 | 35379 | 102496 |
| 62 | PT Langgeng Makmur Plastik Industry Ltd Tbk | 55943 | 67844 | 78464 | 76866 | 598501 | 35751 | 65340 |
| 3 | PT Lapindo International Tbk | 654 | 970 | 861 | 7686 | 2288 | 99928 | 112267 |
| 64 | PT Summiplast Interbenua Tbk | 16403 | 14546 | 20416 | 15772 | 2288 | 8110 | 11643 |
| 65 | PT Trias Sentosa Tbk | 144257 | 120346 | ${ }^{-168526}$ | ${ }_{1} 666638$ | - 85732 | 10749 | 12808 |
| 66 | PT Indocement Tunggal Perkasa Tbk | 454883 | 464544 | 562090 | 828045 | 153250 | 187905 | 281196 |
| 67 | PT Semen Cibinong Tbk | 265331 | 281771 | 290183 | 219720 | 875872 | 709065 | 711899 |
| 68 | PT Semen Gresik (Persero) Tbk | 596953 | 538093 | 662610 | 769957 | 210683 | 222790 | 291233 |
| 69 | PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk | 168979 | 256400 | 299370 | 342528 | 263089 | 768813 | 919561 |
| 70 | PT Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk | 1826 | 2206 | 2710 | 3488 | $\underline{3377}$ | 363499 | 315357 |
| 71 | PT Citra Tubindo Tbk | 51594 | 231058 | 54100 | 66296 |  | 2542 | 3252 |
| 72 | PT Indal Aluminium Industry Tbk | 36443 | 40111 | 87288 |  | 88240 | 71626 | 76205 |
| 73 | PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Ltd Tbk | 64710 | 14336 | 4990 |  |  |  |  |
| 74 <br> 75 | PT Jaya Pari Stee! Tbk | 32453 | 6215 | 9324 |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{75}{76}$ | PT Lion Mesh Prima Tbk | 6012 | 5056 | 5996 |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | PT Lion Metal Works Tbk | 17235 | 17234 | 16554 |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | PT Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk | 40934 | 33123 | 37409 | 27279 | 3040 | 26098 | 48471 |
| 78 | PT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk | 67863 | 82673 | 107119 | 4739112 | 53201 | 60250 | 54857 |
| 79 | PT Kedaung Indah Can Tbk | 40110 | 39065 | 49092 | 124112 | 14140 | 97158 | 134001 |
| 80 | PT Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk | 56691 | 46181 | 67925 | 52528 | 55267 | 41465 | 51885 |
| 81 | PT Amana Citra Mulia Tbk | 71967 | 111652 |  | 73652 | 89486 | 83375 | 104779 |
| 82 | PT Intikeramik Alamasri Industry Tbk | 71967 | 111652 | 1333313 | 9895 | 15503 | 14106 | 15114 |
| 83 | PT Mulia Industrindo Tbk | 306126 | 291679 | 133311 | 159002 | 151273 | 139546 | 157667 |
| 84 | PT Surya Toto Indonesia Tbk | 66772 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | PT GL Kabel Indonesia Tbk | 104975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | PT Jembo Cable Company Tbk | 70198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87 | PT Kabelindo Murni Tbk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | PT Sumi Indo Kabel Tbk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 35289 | 48393 | 48053 | 52374 | 51027 | 34034 | 68763 |
| 90 | PT Voksel Electric Tbk | 107585 | 83178 | 65367 | 43852 | 88359 | 114708 | 125827 |
|  |  | 100817 | 89403 | 111836 | 97140 | 95044 | 76390 | 97146 |


| 91 | PT Andhi Chandra Automotive Products Tbk | 9788 | 17685 | 30543 | 22091 | 22401 | 26726 | 44623 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | PT Astra International Tbk | 2007763 | 1739590 | 3038371 | 3028927 |  | 1759560 | 3334329 |
| 93 | PT Astra Otoparts Tbk | 230260 | 159040 | 259430 | 217917 | 2590775 | 1759560 | 3334329 |
| 94 | PT Branta Mulia Tbk | 193539 | 146220 | 362623 | 2179088 | 262404 | 256821 | 404953 |
| 95 | PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk | 792784 | 895423 | 1117379 | 1182990 | 233042 | 254572 | 284460 |
| 96 | PT Goodyear Indonesia Tbk | 70818 | 67479 | 93875 |  | 1013196 | 1050494 | 686924 |
| 97 | PT Indospring Tbk | 81270 | 61438 | 74683 | 75630 | 81928 | 78655 | 89438 |
| 98 | PT Multi Prima Sejahtera Tbk | 14756 | 6339 | 10044 | 81166 | 76253 | 94586 | 140930 |
| 99 | PT Prima Alloy Steel Tbk | 82477 | 51141 | 59577 | 15569 | 14324 | 11299 | 12958 |
| 100 | PT Selamat Sempurna Tbk | 55444 | 78868 |  | 60859 | 58143 | 57813 | 66896 |
| 101 | PT Bristol-Myers Squibb indonesia Tbk | 151863 | 182131 |  | 94574 | 100336 | 140892 | 206492 |
| 102 | PT Darya-Varia Laboratoria Tbk | 71024 | 71647 |  | 22015 | 33888 | 11586 | 18110 |
| 103 | PT Indofarma (Persero) Tbk | 113471 | 91818 | 159174 | 93050 | 38743 | 44883 | 58302 |
| 104 | PT Kalbe Farma Tbk | 130617 | 202033 | 275463 | 280892 | 285698 | 143412 | 109985 |
| 105 | PT Kimia Farma (Persero) Tbk | 179728 | 264804 | 246425 | 340477 | 330208 | 305614 | 446229 |
| 106 | PT Merck Tbk | 14281 | 22248 |  | 241872 | 228342 | 307510 | 221377 |
| 107 | PT Pyridam Farma Tbk | 0 | 0 | 2366 | 37881 | 46920 | 49579 | 51484 |
| 108 | PT Schering Plough Indonesia Tbk | 9827 | 16778 |  | 5820 | 5192 | 5487 | 6070 |
| 109 | PT Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk | 151863 | 182131 | 16516 | 18893 | 13948 | 15016 | 15016 |
| 110 | PT Mandom Indonesia Tbk | 56016 | 74519 |  | 266903 | 245275 | 258776 | 259746 |
| 111 | PT Mustika Ratu Tbk | 42033 | 40330 | 3109 | 115145 | 111640 | 105874 | 124506 |
| 112 | PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk | 422006 | 438466 | 39602 | 49427 | 53039 | 46480 | 42510 |
|  |  |  |  | 412673 | 301318 | 383902 | 517459 | 628826 |

SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT OF 112 COMPANIES
Per 31 Desember
(In Million Rupiahs)

| No. | Firms | COG |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk | 60611 | 61323 | 91678 | 80067 | 96154 | 106899 | 106580 |
| 2 | PT Aqua Golden Mississippi Tbk | 304747 | 356365 | 478251 | 694647 | 897846 | 969935 | 1191197 |
| 3 | PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk | 177130 | 206742 | 157090 | 133396 | 155455 | 168469 | 168575 |
| 4 | PT Davomas Abadi Tbk | 478492 | 478643 | 446671 | 486467 | 560228 | 738515 | 851108 |
| 5 | PT Delta Djakarta Tbk | 84663 | 112704 | 129143 | 156480 | 140841 | 159985 | 190353 |
| 7 | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk | 8834356 | 11548599 | 8964596 | 10776075 | 12398734 | 13405369 | 13323637 |
| 8 | PT Mayora Indah Tbk | 364418 | 421486 | 502612 | 643532 | 724448 | 804918 | 1035628 |
| 9 | PT Mutiti intang Indonesia Tbk | 212405 | 246983 | 275858 | 315399 | 285962 | 290529 | 402109 |
| 10 | PT Sari Husada Tbk | 160518 | 1159531 | 1193858 | 276767 | 363787 | 76361 | 219156 |
| 11 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk | 163999 | 254718 | 362462 | 577314 | 583323 | 574088 | 664139 |
| 12 | PT Siantar Top Tbk | 103959 | 130519 | 142417 | 149203 | 133272 | 131009 | 113735 |
| 13 | PT Sierad Produce Tbk | 434221 | 183042 | 292605 | 429220 | 512469 | 574119 | 591216 |
| 14 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMART) Tbk | 1703472 | 672700 | 956338 | 1194390 | 1182988 | 1054203 | 1283986 |
| 15 | PT Suba Indah Tbk | 39679 | 47769 | 2070823 | 1861476 | 2563899 | 2921165 | 3658560 |
| 16 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia Intiselera) | 89647 |  | 68977 | 103222 | 80231 | 413562 | 491016 |
| 17 | PT Tunas Baru Lampung Tbk | 494353 | 586279 | 63995 | 54076 | 110573 | 122620 | 178856 |
| 18 | PT Ulitra Jaya Milk Industry and Trading Company Tbk | 134487 | 191354 | 556278 | 539695 | 511094 | 573771 | 962428 |
| 19 | PT BAT Indonesia Tbk | 564052 | 613446 | 243579 | 380185 | 278154 | 331151 | 371960 |
| 20 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 7352019 | 8943319 | 479702 | 334430 | 282617 | 290269 | 313378 |
| 21 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk |  |  | 10837213 | 13519452 | 16108007 | 18615630 | 19457427 |
| 22 | PT Argo Pantes Tbk | 964201 |  | 6932271 | 9993830 | 10517229 | 10152735 | 11839970 |
| 23 | PT Eratex Djaja Limited Tbk | 299745 | 933374 | 813407 | 1039424 | 976267 | 1036890 | 1017993 |
| 24 | PT Panasia Filament Inti Tbk | 440466 | 280534 | 326149 | 339351 | 320662 | 374860 | 369095 |
|  |  |  | 542322 | 550556 | 579499 | 547649 | 408599 | 406481 |


| 25 | PT Roda Vivatex Tbk | 180453 | 186780 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | PT Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk | 338745 | 186780 | 162531 | 196071 | 196699 | 156836 | 148436 |
| 27 | PT Teijin Indonesia Fiber Corporation (Tifico) Tbk | 338745 | 346513 | 409474 | 486288 | 456982 | 475831 | 526722 |
| 28 |  | 636900 | 601088 | 1404132 | 1674632 | 1548731 | 1901638 | 2534887 |
| 29 | PT | 1314215 | 1347478 | 1547034 | 1898822 | 1780943 | 1810114 | 2000842 |
| 30 | Shine Textie Industry Tbk | 54012 | 34852 | 40279 | 72949 | 63278 | 75341 | 292843 |
| 31 | PT Indorama Syntetics Tbk | 304336 | 339996 | 395213 | 425787 | 397436 | 390995 | 463722 |
| 32 | PT Karwell Indonesia Tbk | 1390563 | 1470204 | 2540862 | 2677532 | 2450807 | 2662748 | 3553756 |
| 33 | PT Karwell Indonesia Tbk | 919364 | 640010 | 753379 | 754573 | 505464 | 479285 | 504436 |
| 34 | Picky Putra Globalindo Tbk | 165803 | 192286 | 213471 | 247555 | 216214 | 172953 | 157254 |
| 35 | PT Sarasa Nugraha Tbk | 237943 | 212050 | 272684 | 268554 | 252103 | 226211 | 189887 |
| 36 | PT Sepatu Bata Tbk | 85547 | 145678 | 192373 | 218872 | 222817 | 229245 | 250808 |
| 37 | PT Daya Sakti Ungoul Corporation Tbk | 77265 | 111901 | 128622 | 197164 | 135651 | 133156 | 89923 |
| 38 | PT Daya Sakti Unggul Corporation Tbk PT Sumalindo Lestari | 317956 | 410194 | 382634 | 470168 | 419530 | 405438 | 386883 |
| 39 | PT Sumalindo Lestari Jaya Tbk | 576967 | 739251 | 761652 | 878958 | 859927 | 700185 | 682974 |
| 40 | PT Surya Dumai Industri Tbk | 387555 | 436424 | 465701 | 440339 | 512873 | 354382 | 267567 |
| 41 | Tirta Mahakam Plywood Industry Tbk | 134999 | 230389 | 270491 | 341490 | 345678 | 367179 | 654929 |
| 42 | $\frac{\text { PT Fajar Surya Wisesa Tbk }}{}$ | 698612 | 807661 | 965526 | 991395 | 980094 | 1044802 | 1187962 |
| 43 | Indah Kiat Pulp \& Paper Corporation Tbk | 3939790 | 5457905 | 9597226 | 9405736 | 9209454 | 10078390 | 11323628 |
| 44 | PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk | 2799984 | 4163982 | 7360334 | 5746102 | 5493661 | 5712508 | 6482156 |
| 45 | PT Suparma Tbk | 258109 | 332437 | 380843 | 375651 | 343668 | 396383 | 454690 |
| 46 | PT Surabaya Agung Industry Pulp Tbk | 485157 | 585294 | 633552 | 589687 | 518649 | 393242 | 292834 |
| 47 | PT Budi Acid Jaya Tbk | 491980 | 548308 | 588545 | 373268 | 685189 | 554275 | 787320 |
| 48 | PT Colorpak Indonesia Tbk | 0 | 0 | 32622 | 41581 | 35488 | 44767 | 102940 |
| 49 | PT Eterindo Wahanatama Tbk | 621664 | 931847 | 1150112 | 1124094 | 1165936 | 494073 | 95798 |
| 50 | PT Polysindo Eka Perkasa Tbk | 2986232 | 2899580 | 3628104 | 4187990 | 3999511 | 2421590 | 1738461 |
| 51 | PT Sorini Corporation Tbk | 273792 | 270760 | 314731 | 380671 | 424776 | 404210 | 415372 |
| 52 | PT Unggul Indah Cahaya Tbk | 858139 | 844254 | 1276116 | 1479695 | 1237250 | 1791916 | 2333332 |
| 53 | PT Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk | 37687 | 34858 | 38850 | 54817 | 40449 | 56355 | 59157 |
| 54 | PT Ekadharma Tape Industries Tbk | 81292 | 71534 | 66048 | 66159 | 60397 | 63640 | 61369 |
| 54 | PT Resource Alam In Intan Wijaya International Tbk | 67565 | 49077 | 49124 | 62571 | 56951 | 116530 | 130379 |
| 55 | PT Resource Alam Indonesia Tbk (Kurnia Kapuas Utama Glue Industries) Tbk | 136160 | 101003 | 116491 | 136159 | 129266 | 123938 | 128667 |
| 56 | PT Argha Karya Prima Industry Tbk | 494383 | 426024 | 534463 | 630264 | 660269 | 650084 | 766780 |
| 57 | PT Asahimas Flat Glass Co Ltd Tbk | 397023 | 579292 | 587800 | 732009 | 841454 | 904440 | 929428 |


| 58 | PT Asiaplast Industries Tbk | 15120 | 60666 | 115800 | 145299 | 175589 | 144662 | 220620 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | PT Berlina Co Ltd Tbk | 57090 | 70964 | 104965 | 137919 | 150833 | 159754 | 197441 |
| 60 | PT Dynaplast Tbk | 110614 | 142981 | 217732 | 278795 | 312688 | 429880 | 569515 |
| 61 | PT Kageo Igar Jaya Tbk (Igarjaya) | 115308 | 170664 | 226772 | 282304 | 308799 | 285940 | 314471 |
| 62 | PT Langgeng Makmur Plastik Industry Ltd Tbk | 71496 | 109377 | 139179 | 174282 | 185359 | 208298 | 208676 |
| 63 | PT Lapindo international Tbk | 8208 | 8955 | 10651 | 18317 | 21881 | 53900 | 84444 |
| 64 | PT Summiplast Interbenua Tbk | 101291 | 99178 | 129754 | 120769 | 115177 | 143176 | 187874 |
| 65 | PT Trias Sentosa Tbk | 304203 | 351714 | 377761 | 544428 | 570744 | 618248 | 762682 |
| 66 | PT Indocement Tunggal Perkasa Tbk | 973974 | 1123913 | 1439388 | 2370743 | 2648367 | 2761762 | 3092419 |
| 67 | PT Semen Cibinong Tbk | 811583 | 1141161 | 1430366 | 1771215 | 1977100 | 2015729 | 2196901 |
| 68 | PT Semen Gresik (Persero) Tbk | 1276776 | 1864895 | 2202978 | 2860884 | 2536030 | 3507185 | 4005287 |
| 69 | PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk | 416463 | 700617 | 953088 | 979426 | 903209 | 999320 | 1213382 |
| 70 | PT Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk | 24286 | 16655 | 15331 | 16091 | 20435 | 17242 | 40782 |
| 71 | PT Citra Tubindo Tbk | 175275 | 110999 | 160172 | 341456 | 327411 | 550057 | 605743 |
| 72 | PT Indal Aluminium Industry Tbk | 152933 | 164341 | 199265 | 294040 | 256246 | 293274 | 438178 |
| 73 | PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Ltd Tbk | 92693 | 48794 | 22001 | 34713 | 185545 | 111053 | 73228 |
| 74 | PT Jaya Pari Steel Tbk | 90055 | 78608 | 117642 | 78573 | 218974 | 214169 | 301101 |
| 75 | PT Lion Mesh Prima Tbk | 15338 | 23968 | 36590 | 44030 | 53344 | 59410 | 76250 |
| 76 | PT Lion Metal Works Tbk | 22693 | 20060 | 33464 | 42239 | 48820 | 50129 | 58251 |
| 77 | PT Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk | 117857 | 138553 | 124288 | 135860 | 153358 | 154599 | 159509 |
| 78 | PT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk | 301913 | 474967 | 678040 | 957756 | 913366 | 982483 | 1763257 |
| 79 | PT Kedaung Indah Can Tbk | 100408 | 88938 | 87870 | 87811 | 85074 | 85146 | 83970 |
| 80 | PT Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk | 123834 | 199823 | 352876 | 381529 | 473429 | 468966 | 491646 |
| 81 | PT Arwana Citra Mulia Tbk | 77325 | 91276 | 116155 | 79532 | 107671 | 125527 | 137947 |
| 82 | PT Intikeramik Alamasri Industry Tbk | 77325 | 91276 | 116155 | 157141 | 174768 | 176675 | 181528 |
| 83 | PT Mulia Industrindo Tbk | 695469 | 991240 | 1088495 | 1312200 | 1554990 | 1804941 | 1956901 |
| 84 | PT Surya Toto Indonesia Tbk | 132467 | 146013 | 217990 | 278888 | 280340 | 334910 | 418249 |
| 85 | PT GL Kabel Indonesia Tbk | 216575 | 187677 | 225369 | 320590 | 337431 | 345784 | 419996 |
| 86 | PT Jembo Cable Company Tbk | 218229 | 128043 | 149578 | 245001 | 227511 | 253514 | 311024 |
| 87 | PT Kabelindo Murni Tbk | 58881 | 47535 | 46234 | 71817 | 91063 | 102702 | 139151 |
| 88 | PT Sumi Indo Kabel Tbk | 369009 | 292495 | 542271 | 647806 | 527124 | 555697 | 917184 |
| 89 | PT Supreme Cable Manufacturing Corporation (Sucaco) Tbk | 225332 | 276100 | 401005 | 587716 | 472402 | 569420 | 962840 |
| 90 | PT Voksel Electric Tbk | 264387 | 261094 | 332291 | 402628 | 478412 | 398157 | 542742 |


| 91 | PT Andhi Chandra Automotive Products Tbk | 32482 | 46897 | 90957 | 124714 | 106711 | 116073 | 153001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 92 | PT Astra International Tbk | 7241478 | 11130624 | 23284363 | 24465854 | 24059817 | 23833547 | 34031168 |
| 93 | PT Astra Otoparts Tbk | 1009459 | 1243977 | 1639984 | 1690070 | 1664022 | 1743832 | 2356276 |
| 94 | PT Branta Mulia Tbk | 533609 | 521614 | 787594 | 944438 | 985897 | 986342 | 1167810 |
| 95 | PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk | 2471975 | 2891236 | 3970806 | 4656310 | 4712762 | 4857685 | 5683194 |
| 96 | PT Goodyear Indonesia Tbk | 411244 | 381538 | 438026 | 545630 | 499826 | 524991 | 681812 |
| 97 | PT Indospring Tbk | 27711 | 56988 | 108096 | 144954 | 173024 | 189754 | 266530 |
| 98 | PT Muiti Prima Sejahtera Tbk | 25821 | 47818 | 29195 | 33014 | 29834 | 23815 | 31093 |
| 99 | PT Prima Alloy Steel Tbk | 78138 | 126283 | 131553 | 146144 | 178770 | 342589 | 489329 |
| 100 | PT Selamat Sempurna Tbk | 228858 | 243567 | 364069 | 404147 | 461504 | 483747 | 556294 |
| 101 | PT Bristol-Myers Squibb Indonesia Tbk | 499939 | 732481 | 766611 | 93229 | 99946 | 87188 | 83906 |
| 102 | PT Darya-Varia Laboratoria Tbk | 150459 | 189783 | 232777 | 277554 | 281234 | 128446 | 143411 |
| 103 | PT Indofarma (Persero) Tbk | 142360 | 209965 | 220828 | 311633 | 564822 | 361370 | 472968 |
| 104 | PT Kalbe Farma Tbk | 359246 | 543920 | 729039 | 1059022 | 1202975 | 1265321 | 1464979 |
| 105 | PT Kimia Farma (Persero) Tbk | 524486 | 705876 | 963402 | 950875 | 1093554 | 1273698 | 1279340 |
| 106 | PT Merck Tbk | 40885 | 54253 | 75394 | 88254 | 88546 | 115749 | 161465 |
| 107 | PT Pyridam Farma Tbk | 0 | 0 | 15968 | 9714 | 9506 | 10263 | 13236 |
| 108 | PT Schering Plough Indonesia Tbk | 40292 | 56175 | 56830 | 73217 | 69690 | 72778 | 58658 |
| 109 | PT Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk | 499939 | 732481 | 766611 | 967212 | 1090880 | 1156443 | 1302765 |
| 110 | PT Mandom Indonesia Tbk | 173991 | 235111 | 294747 | 353738 | 359162 | 386299 | 481975 |
| 111 | PT Mustika Ratu Tbk | 42800 | 67371 | 96518 | 97343 | 108219 | 98813 | 111955 |
| 112 | PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk | 2148564 | 2357092 | 2594253 | 3221217 | 3646380 | 3906550 | 4315329 |

SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT OF 112 COMPANIES
Per 31 Desember
(In Million Rupiahs)

| No. | Firms | Earnings |  |  | Closing Price per Share (Per April 1t) |  |  | Common Equity per share |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk | 97 | 46 | -991 | 1150 | 550 | 975 | 1143 | 1187 | 117 |
| 2 | PT Aqua Golden Mississippi Tbk | 5023 | 4716 | 6962 | 38000 | 40000 | 47550 | 16773 | 20572 | 26933 |
| 3 | PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk | 33 | 11 | -78 | 190 | 220 | 255 | 763 | 769 | 688 |
| 4 | PT Davomas Abadi Tbk | 18 | 74 | 16 | 300 | 100 | 490 | 402 | 476 | 111 |
| 5 | PT Delta Djakarta Tbk | 2800 | 2382 | 2417 | 9200 | 9000 | 10500 | 18428 | 20410 | 22068 |
| 6 | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk | 86 | 64 | 40 | 825 | 600 | 775 | 390 | 434 |  |
| 7 | PT Mayora Indah Tbk | 156 | 110 | 111 | 420 | 365 | 975 | 969 | 1061 | 1134 |
| 8 | PT Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk | 4037 | 4282 | 4096 | 34250 | 30000 | 40000 | 13429 | 12734 | 12547 |
| 9 | PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk | -1076 | 2277 | 3 | 125 | 125 | 125 | -4048 | -277 | -274 |
| 10 | PT Sari Husada Tbk | 941 | 1171 | 923 | 10250 | 10000 | 17800 | 4447 | 5188 | 5196 |
| 11 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk | 557 | 141 | -564 | 400 | 275 | 500 | -4585 | -4443 | -5007 |
| 12 | PT Siantar Top Tbk | 23 | 24 | 22 | 270 | 215 | 185 | 206 | 229 | 243 |
| 13 | PT Sierad Produce Tbk | -10 | -15 | -213 | 45 | 20 | 25 | 10 | 28 | 72 |
| 14 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMART) Tbk | 946 | 234 | -363 | 925 | 1125 | 2900 | -1125 | -852 | -1172 |
| 15 | PT Suba Indah Tbk | -83 | -508 | -455 | 40 | 25 | 125 | 1864 | 1356 | 823 |
| 16 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia Intiselera) | 201 | -8 | 6.69 | 165 | 330 | 195 | -82.46 | 90.94 | 91.02 |
| 17 | PT Tunas Baru Lampung Tbk | 27 | 16 | 10 | 290 | 140 | 170 | 312 | 311 | 316 |
| 18 | PT Ultra Jaya Milk Industry and Trading Company Tbk | 10 | 4 | 2 | 750 | 500 | 295 | 273 | 291 | 280 |
| 19 | PT BAT Indonesia Tbk | 1791 | 748 | -265 | 7900 | 9150 | 9100 | 6129 | 6337 | 6061 |
| 20 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 1085 | 956 | 930 | 10950 | 7400 | 13000 | 5046 | 5702 | 6332 |
| 21 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk | 371 | 313 | 454 | 4575 | 2900 | 4500 | 1156 | 1282 | 1109 |



| 51 | PT Unggul Indah Cahaya Tbk | 209 | 164 | 427 | 1300 | 1100 | 2075 | 2041 | 2197 | 2798 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | PT Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk | 21 | -13 | 51 | 415 | 200 | 220 | 873 | 820 | 874 |
| 53 | PT Ekadharma Tape industries Tbk | 140 | 97 | 20 | 550 | 490 | 165 | 1086 | 1113 | 239 |
| 54 | PT Intan Wijiaya International Tbk | 29 | 47 | 65 | 495 | 270 | 305 | 822 | 859 | 847 |
| 55 | PT Resource Alam Indonesia Tbk (Kurnia Kapuas Utama Glue Industries) Tbk | $\cdot 7$ | -5 | -2 | 240 | 90 | 160 | 561 | 556 | 554 |
| 56 | PT Argha Karya Prima industry Tbk | 830 | 608 | 10 | 220 | 230 | 750 | -878 | 807 | 834 |
| 57 | PT Asahimas Flat Glass Co Ltd Tbk | 476 | 376 | 476 | 1225 | 1150 | 2300 | 1672 | 1977 | 2376 |
| 58 | PT Asiaplast Industries Tbk | $\pm$ | 0.21 | -5.7 | 45 | 20 | 35 | 113 | 113 | 107 |
| 59 | PT Berlina Co Ltd Tbk | 434 | 129 | 232 | 1500 | 1400 | 1275 | 2069 | 2003 | 2067 |
| 60 | PT Dynaplast Tbk | 155 | 178 | 151 | 1200 | 975 | 1725 | 1053 | 1183 | 1255 |
| 61 | PT Kageo Igar Jaya Tbk (lgarjaya) | 18 | 15 | 25 | 80 | 80 | 120 | 116 | 131 | 152 |
| 62 | PT Langgeng Makmur Plastik Industry Ltd Tbk | -150 | -90 | -115 | 80 | 35 | 55 | 119 | 29 | 10 |
| 63 | PT Lapindo international Tbk | 7 | 2 | 4 | 550 | 420 | 525 | 92 | 94 | 99 |
| 64 | PT Summiplast Interbenua Tbk | -3 | 2 | 9 | 210 | 170 | 150 | 133 | 135 | 144 |
| 65 | PT Trias Sentosa Tbk | 102 | 61 | 10 | 110 | 165 | 225 | 308 | 340 | 340 |
| 66 | PT Indocement Tunggal Perkasa Tbk | 283 | 182 | 32 | 850 | 825 | 1900 | 1035 | 1232 | 1265 |
| 67 | PT Semen Cibinong Tbk | 66 | 23 | -70 | 320 | 150 | 375 | 327 | 347 | 281 |
| 68 | PT Semen Gresik (Persero) Tbk | 331 | 628 | 878 | 8450 | 7350 | 9950 | 5363 | 5923 | 6171 |
| 69 | PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk | -47 | $-118$ | 117 | 625 | 145 | 235 | 1125 | 1007 | 1124 |
| 70 | PT Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk | 13 | 1 | 13 | 140 | 125 | 200 | 121 | 120 | 128 |
| 71 | PT Citra Tubindo Tbk | 149 | 180 | 172 | 7900 | 8050 | 8000 | 6223 | 6266 | 6821 |
| 72 | PT İndal Aluminium Industry Tbk | 2 | -251 | 15 | 310 | 115 | 150 | 622 | 372 | 387 |
| 73 | PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Ltd Tbk | 157 | 255 | -276 | 35 | 20 | 125 | -2421 | . 2166 | -2442 |
| 74 | PT Jaya Pari Steel Tbk | 106 | 80 | 417 | 100 | 140 | 385 | 451 | 451 | 868 |
| 75 | PT Lion Mesh Prima Tbk | 154 | 168 | 573 | 525 | 350 | 925 | 1172 | 1272 | 1821 |
| 76 | PT Lion Metal Works Tbk | 228 | 241 | 453 | 725 | 850 | 925 | 1817 | 1954 | 2317 |
| 77 | PT Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk | 202 | -4 | -9 | 175 | 60 | 160 | -1147 | 76 | 67 |
| 78 | PT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk | 1147 | 433 | -211 | 2750 | 2600 | 2300 | 5921 | 6297 | 5986 |
| 79 | PT Kedaung Indah Can Tbk | -23 | -96 | -132 | 350 | 370 | 150 | 918 | 804 | 661 |


| 80 | PT Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk | -11 | -64 | -75 | 285 | 145 | 150 | 412 | 348 | 273 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81 | PT Arwana Citra Mulia Tbk | 17 | 23 | 28 | 105 | 95 | 295 | 124 | 34 | 273 |
| 82 | PT Intikeramik Alamasri Industry Tbk | 65 | -88 | 4 | 125 | 80 | 135 |  |  | 161 |
| 83 | PT Mulia Industrindo Tbk | 235 |  |  |  |  | 135 | 293 | 206 | 209 |
| 84 | PT Surya Toto Indonesia Tbk |  | -129 | -488 | 155 | 110 | 250 | -800 | -939 | -1426 |
| 85 |  | 1390 | 640 | 522 | 5500 | 5500 | 4450 | 2169 | 2609 | 2932 |
|  | PT GL Kabel Indonesia Tbk | 763 | -9 | -33 | 80 | 50 | 80 | 198 | 28 | - 5 |
| 86 | PT Jembo Cable Company Tbk | 33 | 11 | 6 | 600 | 775 | 235 | 430 | 422 | 430 |
| 87 | PT Kabelindo Murni Tbk | -38 | -41 | -23 | 90 | 50 | 75 | 162 | 121 | 114 |
| 88 | PT Sumi Indo Kabel Tbk | -15 | -32 | 24 | 725 | 300 | 400 | 1043 | 1011 | 1035 |
| 89 | PT Supreme Cable Manufacturing Corporation (Sucaco) Tbk | 298 | 74 | -164 | 925 | 1000 | 1025 | 1237 | 1261 | 1063 |
| 90 | PT Voksel Electric Tbk | 86 | -85 | -295 | 150 | 110 | 120 | -661 | -915 | -1199 |
| 91 | PT Andhi Chandra Automotive Products Tbk | 14 | 17 | 25 | 340 | 465 | 475 | 148 | 153 | 144 |
| 92 | PT Astra International Tbk | 1394 | 1096 | 1335 | 2700 | 2550 | 5350 | 2492 | 2902 | 4072 |
| 93 | PT Astra Otoparts Tbk | 343 | 273 | 291 | 1600 | 1250 | 1325 | 1398 |  |  |
| 94 | PT Branta Mulia Tbk | 244 | 164 | 94 | 800 | 550 | 800 |  | 1582 | 1821 |
| 95 | PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk | 1207 | 275 | 151 | 170 | 10 | 800 | 1254 | 1413 | 1579 |
| 96 | PT Goodyear Indonesia Tbk | 371 | 401 | 610 | 4500 |  |  |  | 419 | 532 |
| 97 | PT Indospring Tbk | 824 |  |  |  |  | 4000 | 6545 | 6519 | 6979 |
| 98 | PT Multi Prima Sejahtera Tbk |  |  | -507 | 525 | 700 | 650 | 1824 | 1918 | 1980 |
| 99 |  | 189 | -28 | -152 | 550 | 600 | 1025 | 737 | 3655 | 3503 |
| 100 | Prima Alloy Steel Tbk | 301 | 101 | 102 | 270 | 210 | 320 | 661 | 971 | 1083 |
| 100 | PT Selamat Sempurna Tbk | 31 | 37 | 44 | 1725 | 1500 | 270 | 268 | 275 | 264 |
| 101 | PT Bristol-Myers Squibb Indonesia Tbk | 1944 | 2751 | 41.514 | 10500 | 9800 | 15600 | 8674 | 11280 | 130462 |
| 102 | PT Darya-Varia Laboratoria Tbk $\quad$ Tb | 113 | 87 | 89 | 425 | 650 | 775 | 406 | 481 | 570 |
| 103 | PT Indofarma (Persero) Tbk | -19 | -42 | 2 | 235 | 200 | 160 | 126 | 80 | 82 |
| 104 | PT Kalbe Farma Tbk | 66 | 40 | 46 | 325 | 305 | 475 | 121 | 102 | 150 |
| 105 | PT Kimia Farma (Persero) Tbk | 6 | 8 | 14 | 230 | 165 | 185 | 122 | 136 | 147 |
| 106 | PT Merck Tbk | 1671 | 2258 | 2555 | 12800 | 9000 | 21000 | 6663 | 7121 | 6876 |
| 107 | PT Pyridam Farma Tbk | 1 | 1 | 3 | 310 | 275 | 60 | 112 | 113 | 116 |
| 108 | PT Schering Plough Indonesia Tbk | -291 | 665 | -92 | 16000 | 6750 | 10500 | 885 | 621 | 528 |


SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT OF 112 COMPANIES
Per 31 Desember

## (In Million Rupiahs)

| No. | Firms | Gross Profit |  |  | Selling and Administrative expense |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk | 52302 | 62037 | 18974 | 60936 | 82037 | 88831 |
| 2 | PT Aqua Golden Mississippi Tbk | 124053 | 107287 | 141950 | 39228 | 28554 | 25193 |
| 3 | PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk | 17513. | 12029 | -963 | 9903 | 11044 | 9719 |
| 4 | PT Davomas Abadi Tbk | 40273 | 116452 | 181070 | 6297 | 8281 | 8592 |
| 5 | PT Della Djakarta Tbk | 136796 | 142682 | 163127 | 78848 | 91360 | 8592 |
| 6 | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk | 4067551 | 4466057 | 4594892 |  | 2458262 | 104901 |
| 7 | PT Mayora Indah Tbk | 274109 | 298976 | - 34542492 | 2187416 | 2457262 | 2507501 |
| 8 | PT Multil Bintang Indonesia Tbk | 256432 | 272323 | 308802 | 134926 | 147957 | 211867 |
| 9 | PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk | 20922 | 13690 |  | 134926 | 166789 | 205280 |
| 10 | PT Sari Husada Tbk | 438528 | 13690 | 50834 | 32474 | 27770 | 28828 |
| 11 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk | 25057 | 526043 | 571020 | 125228 | 189622 | 321126 |
| 12 | PT Siantar Top Tbk | 115305 | 20509 | 24019 | 31929 | 32646 | 31556 |
| 13 | PT Sierad Produce Tbk | 115305 | 126958 | 121342 | 75991 | 77302 | 73471 |
| 14 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMART) | 132714 | 72505 | 69636 | 110858 | 114018 | 138694 |
| 15 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMAR T) PT Suba indah Tbk | 515027 | 411156 | 616009 | 276741 | 294317 | 332614 |
| 16 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia Intiselera) | 32404 | 29553 | -61576 | 36678 | 46605 | 35760 |
| 17 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia Intiselera) PT Tunas Baru Lampung Tbk | 22572 | 44800 | 49581 | 20486 | 27389 | 24219 |
| 18 |  | 115554 | 141806 | 228582 | 64736 | 67623 | 94446 |
|  | PT Ultra Jaya Mik Industry and Trading Company Tok | 130640 | 159481 | 174365 | 66268 | 73630 | 87912 |
| + | PT BAT Indonesia Tbk | 406031 | 300919 | 260048 | 236624 | 225517 | 283240 |
| 20 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 4831077 | 4521746 | 4834265 | 1376047 | 1591099 | 1916005 |
| 21 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk | 4587808 | 4522390 | 5806724 | 1860313 | 2129788 | 2623446 |
| 22 | PT Argo Pantes Tbk | 57197 | -8096 | -35622 | 68142 | 72001 | 58358 |



| 52 | PT Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk | 17853 | 13421 | 16560 | 11903 | 14524 | 16330 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | PT Ekadharma Tape Industries Tbk | 15052 | 18234 | 18227 | 9581 | 14032 | 12327 |
| 54 | PT Intan Wiiaya International Tbk | 28020 | 30728 | 28261 |  |  |  |
| 55 | PT Resource Alam Indonesia Tbk (Kurnia Kapuas Utama Glue Industries) |  |  |  | 12802 | 14278 | 16197 |
| 56 |  | 42886 | 29467 | 28900 | 26776 | 26655 | 30410 |
| 57 |  | 258269 | 194628 | 180097 | 87258 | 100670 | 92893 |
| 58 | PT Asahimas Flat Glass Co Ltd Tbk | 452830 | 452938 | 527838 | 210052 | 214610 | 226035 |
|  | PT Asiaplast Industries Tbk | 2322 | 24401 | 21070 | 9023 | 7968 | 9101 |
| 59 | PT Berlina CoLtd Tbk | 75078 | 54742 | 70105 | 19299 | 23711 | 29415 |
| 60 | PT Dynaplast Tbk | 133526 | 159448 | 171932 | 45674 | 68447 | 72884 |
| 61 | PT Kageo Igar Jaya Tbk (lgariaya) | 81787 | 79699 | 60735 | 30036 | 28355 | 25137 |
| 62 | PT Langgeng Makmur Plastik Industry Ltd Tbk | 38372 | 36134 | 28620 | 30823 | 33944 | 34515 |
| 63 | PT Lapindo international Tbk | 2869 | 4674 | 6717 | 2484 | 3522 | 3937 |
| 64 | PT Summiplast Interbenua Tbk | 7908 | 12337 | 25852 | 9857 | 10090 | 11959 |
| 65 | PT Trias Sentosa Tbk | 210893 | 175147 | 140412 | 52301 | 57601 | 67187 |
| 66 | PT Indocement Tunggal Perkasa Tbk | 1299915 | 1395922 | 1523088 | 369971 | 581545 | 686852 |
| 67 | PT Semen Cibinong Tbk | 1832 | 224567 | 171588 | 212560 | 238362 | 241571 |
| 68 | PT Semen Gresik (Persero) Tbk | 1641513 | 1892976 | 2062271 | 881148 | 963938 | 1104434 |
| 69 | PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk | 60156 | 66409 | 116842 | 70554 | 60611 | 61765 |
| 70 | PT Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk | 8 | 1301 | 5031 | 1575 | 1551 | 2076 |
| 71 | PT Citra Tubindo Tbk | 48524 | 65942 | 64848 | 48311 | 59242 | 63515 |
| 72 | PT Indal Aluminium Industry Tbk | 31044 | 20587 | 32364 | 32486 | 32821 | 30303 |
| 73 | PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Ltd Tbk | 3868 | -5160 | 14104 | 3864 | 4395 | 12792 |
| 74 | PT Jaya Pari Steel Tbk | 34063 | 33718 | 78826 | 13364 | 11108 | 10898 |
| 75 | PT Lion Mesh Prima Tbk | 4119 | 5695 | 12988 | 3330 | 3456 | 3814 |
| 76 | PT Lion Metal Works Tbk | 34715 | 37868 | 52864 | 17266 | 20859 | 23641 |
| 77 | PT Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk | 5237 | 4754 | 16070 | 17127. | -18184 | -16517 |
| 78 | PT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk | 39737 | 37893 | 59958 | 34658 | 34477 | 38220 |
| 79 | PT Kedaung Indah Can Tbk | 20710 | -872 | 3951 | 15421 | 17091 | 15522 |
| 80 | PT Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk | 39665 | 29587 | 51109 | 55432 | 60537 | 59753 |



| 110 | PT Mandom Indonesia Tbk | 223586 | 250857 | 318637 | 137840 | 160277 | 193221 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | PT Mustika Ratu Tbk | 144758 | 130966 | 131924 | 102860 | 103164 | 110776 |
| 112 | PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk | 3368801 | 4217075 | 4669493 | 2048646 | 2440049 | 2630295 |

SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT OF 112 COMPANIES

| No. | Firms | Number of Shares |  |  | Inventory Method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 |
| 1 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk | 76000000 | 76000000 | 149720000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 2 | PT Aqua Golden Mississippi Tbk | 13162473 | 13162473 | 13162473 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 3 | PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk | 297500000 | 297500000 | 297500000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 4 | PT Davomas Abadi Tbk | 1240371132 | 1240371132 | 6201855660 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 5 | PT Delta Djakarta Tbk | 16013181 | 16013181 | 16013181 | AVERAG | AVERAGE |
|  | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk | 9384900000 | 9443269500 | 9444189000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 7 | PT Mayora Indan Tbk | 766584000 | 766584000 | 766584000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 8 | PT Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk | 21070000 | 21070000 | 21070000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 9 | PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk | 360000000 | 360000000 | 360000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 10 | PT Sari Husada Tbk | 188352433 | 188352433 | 1970000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 11 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk | 75600000 | 75600000 | 75600000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 12 | PT Siantar Top Tbk | 1310000000 | 1310000000 | 1310000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 13 | PT Sierad Produce Tbk | 7237865083 | 7237865083 |  |  | AVERAGE |
| 14 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMART) Tbk | 297360000 | 297360000 | 723786509 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 15 | PT Suba Indah Tbk | 2160000000 | 270000000 | 288054000 | average | AVERAGE |
| 17 |  | 365000000 | 1045000000 | 1045000000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 18 |  | 1538464000 | 1615387200 | 1615387200 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 19 | PT Uitra Jaya Milik Industry and Trading Company Tbk | 1925588000 | 1925588000 | 2888382000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 20 | PT BAT Indonesia Tbk | 66000000 | 66000000 | 66000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 21 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 1924088000 | 1924088000 | 1924088000 | average | AVERAGE |
| 2 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk | 4500000000 | 4500000000 | 4383000000 | average | average |
|  | PT Argo Pantes Tbk | 264705000 | 264705000 | 264705000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |


| 23 | PT Eratex Djaja Limited Tbk | 98236000 | 98236000 | 98236000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | PT Panasia Filament intit Tbk | 250000000 | 250000000 | 250000000 | FIFO |  |
| 25 | PT Roda Vivatex Tbk | 268800000 | 268800000 | 268800000 | FIFP | FIFO |
| 26 | PT Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk | 836707000 | 2680000 | 26860000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 27 | PT Teijin Indonesia Fiber Corporation (Tifico) Tbk | 930000000 | 836707000 | 836707000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 28 | PT APAC Citra Centertex Tbk |  | 930000000 | 930000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 29 | PT Daeyu Orchid Indonesia Tbk | 534666577 | 534666577 | 1466668577 |  |  |
| 30 | PT Ever Shine Texile industry Tbk | 205770930 | 205770930 | 2777895930 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 31 | PT Indorama Syntetics Tbk | 2015208720 | 2015208720 | 2015208720 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 32 | PT Karwell Indonesia Tbk | 654351707 | 654351707 | 654351707 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 33 | PT Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk | 587152700 | 587152700 | 587152700 | AVERAGE | average |
| 34 | PT Sarasa Nugraha Tbk | 288000000 | 288000000 | 641717510 | AVERAGE | average |
| 35 | PT Sepatu Bata Tbk | 2200000000 | 2200000000 | 2200000000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 36 | PT Surya intrindo Makmur Tbk | 13000000 | 13000000 | 13000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 37 | PT Daya Sakti Unggul Corporation Tbk | 1000000000 | 1000000000 | 1000000000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 38 | PT Sumalindo Lestari Jaya Tbk | 500000000 | 500000000 | 500000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 39 | PT Surya Dumai Industri Tbk | 468750000 | 468750000 | 782476629 | AVERAG | AVERAGE |
| 40 | PT Tita Mahakam Plywood Industry Tbk | 2500000000 | 316666666 | 3166668667 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 41 | PT Fajar Surya Wisesa Tbk | 624000000 | 780000000 | 1011774750 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 42 | PT Indah Kiat Pulp \& Paper Corporation Tbk | 2477888787 | 2477888787 | 2477888787 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 43 | PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk | 5470982941 | 5470982941 | 5470982941 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 44 | PT Suparma Tbk | 1335702240 | 1335702240 | 1335702240 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 45 | PT Surabaya Agung Industry Pulp Tbk | 992046658 | 992046658 | 992046658 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 46 | PT Budi Acid Jaya Tbk | 294000000 | 294000000 | 294000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 47 | PT Colorpak Indonesia Tbk | 1050000000 | 1050000000 | 1050000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 48 | PT Eterindo Wahanatama Tbk | 306288500 | 306307000 | 306338500 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 49 | PT Polysindo Eka Perkasa Tbk | 968297000 | 968297000 | 968297000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 50 | PT Sorini Corporation Tbk | 4393920000 | 4393920000 | 4393920000 | average | AVERAGE |
| 51 |  | 180000000 | 180000000 | 180000000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 52 | PT Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk | 125945820 | 125945820 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | PT Ekadharma Tape Industries Tbk | 44721600 |  | 125945820 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 54 | PT Intan Wijaya International Tbk <br> PT Resource Alam Indonesia Tbk (Kurnia Kapuas Utama Glue Industries) | 16866666 | 44721600 | 223608000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
|  |  | 168666667 | 168666667 | 181035556 |  |  |
|  |  | 250000000 | 250000000 | 250000000 | AVERAGE | AVER |
| 56 | PT Argha Karya Prima Industry Tbk | 352000000 | 680000000 | 680000000 | AVERAGE | average |
| 57 | PT Asahimas Flat Glass Coitd Tbk | 434000000 | 434000000 | 434000000 |  | AVERREE |
| 58 |  | 1300000000 | 1300000000 | 1300000000 | average | AVERAGE |
| 59 | PT Asiaplast Industries Tbk | 69000000 |  | 1300000000 | average | AVERAGE |
| 60 | PT Dynaplast Tbk | 302594440 | 69000000 | 69000000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 61 | PT Kageo Igar Jaya Tbk (Igariaya) | 1050000000 | 3071414 | 314705440 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 2 | PT Langgeng Makmur Plastik Industry Ltd Tbk | 346344895 | 1050000000 | 1050000000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 63 | PT Lapindo International Tbk |  | 443706186 | 443706186 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 4 | PT Summiplast interbenua Tbk | - | 64280700 | 264398200 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 65 | PT Trias Sentosa Tbk | 835000000 | 835000000 | 835000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 66 | PT Indocement Tunggal Perkasa Tbk | 160000000 | 2808000000 | 2808000000 | average | AVERAGE |
| 67 | PT Semen Cibinong Tbk | 3681223519 | 3681231699 | 3681231699 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 8 | PT Semen Gresik (Persero) Tbk | 7662900000 | 7662900000 | 7662900000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 69 | PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk | 593152000 | 593152000 | 593152000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 70 | PT Betoniaya Manunggal Tbk | 308000000 | 308000000 | 308000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 71 | PT Citra Tubindo Tbk | 180000000 | 180000000 | 180000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 72 | PT Indal Aluminium Industry Tbk | 80000000 | 80000000 | 80000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 73 | PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Ltd Tbk | 158400000 | 158400000 | 158400000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 74 | PT Jaya Pari Steel Tbk | 150000000 | 150000000 | 150000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 75 | PT Lion Mesh Prima Tbk | 150000000 | 150000000 | 150000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 76 | PT Lion Metal Works Tbk | 9600000 | 9600000 | 9600000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 77 | PT Pelangi indah Canindo Tbk | 52016000 | 52016000 | 52016000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 78 | PT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk | 135500000 | 531880000 | 531880000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 79 | PT Kedaung indah Can Tbk | 18367000 | 18367000 | 18367000 |  |  |
| 80 | PT Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk | 138000000 | 138000000 | 138000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
|  |  | 301000000 | 301000000 | 301000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |


| 81 | PT Arwana Ciltra Mulia Tbk | 905604150 | 905604150 | 905604150 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | PT Intikeramik Alamasri Industry Tbk | 450000000 |  |  |  |  |
| 83 | PT Mulia Industrindo Tbk | 450000000 | 450000000 | 450000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 34 | PT Surya Toto Indonesia Tbk | 1325000000 | 1323000000 | 1323000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 85 | PT GL Kabel Indonesia Tbk | 49536000 | 49536000 | 49536000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 36 | PT Jembo Cable Company Tbk | 560000000 | 3075000000 | 3075000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 37 | PT Kabelindo Murni Tbk | 151200000 | 151200000 | 151200000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 8 | PT Sumi Indo Kabel Tbk | 20000000 | 1120000000 | 1120000000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 9 | PT Supreme Cable Manufacturing Corporation (Sucaco) Tbk | 308000000 | 306000000 | 306000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 90 | PT Voksel Electric Tbk | 205583400 | 205583400 | 205583400 | AVERAGE | average |
| 91 | PT Andhi Chandra Automotive Products Tbk | 126000000 | 126000000 | 126000000 | AVERAGE | average |
| 32 | PT Astra International Tbk | 804000000 | 804000000 | 804000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 93 | PT Astra Otoparts Tbk | 2608068910 | 4034490996 | 4048355314 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 94 | PT Branta Mulia Tbk | 749930280 | 755317280 | 767978280 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 95 | PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk | 450000000 | 450000000 | 450000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 96 | PT Goodyear Indonesia Tbk | 3168000000 | 3168000000 | 3168000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 97 | PT Indospring Tbk | 41000000 | 41000000 | 41000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 98 | PT Mutil Prima Sejahtera Tbk | 37500000 | 37500000 | 37500000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 99 | PT Prima Alloy Steel Tbk | 21250000 | 21250000 | 21250000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 100 | PT Selamat Sempurna Tbk | 76000000 | 117600000 | 117600000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 101 |  | 259733760 | 1298668800 | 1298668800 | average | AVERAGE |
| 102 | PT Darya-Varia Laboratorias Tbk | 972000 | 972000 | 972000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 103 | PT Indofarma (Persero) Tbk | 560000000 | 560000000 | 560000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 104 | PT Kalbe Farma Tbk | 3099267500 | 3099267500 | 3099267500 | average | AVERAGE |
| 105 | PT Kimia Farma (Persero) Tbk | 4060800000 | 4060800000 | 8121600000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 106 | PT Merck Tbk | 5554000000 | 5554000000 | 5554000000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 107 | PT Pyridam Farma Tbk | 22400000 | 22400000 | 22400000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 108 | PT Schering Plough Indonesia Tbk | 535080000 | 535080000 | 535080000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| 109 | PT Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk | 3600000 | 3600000 | 3600000 | FIFO | FIFO |
|  | PT Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk | 450000000 | 450000000 | 450000000 | AVERAGE | AVERA |


| 110 | PT Mandom Indonesia Tbk | 156000000 | 156000000 | 156000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | PT Mustika Ratu Tbk | 428000000 | 428000000 | 428000000 | FIFO | FIFO |
| 112 | PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk | 763000000 | 7630000000 | 7630000000 | AVERAGE | AVERAGE |


TABLE B
SUMMARY OF GROUPING SAMPLE FIRMS


| 0.0151829 | 0.0525705 | -0.0549613 | -0.2539035 | -0.0051836 | 1.0000003 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.1298265 | 0.2063606 | 0.0032029 | -0.2026599 | -0.0535591 | 1.0000003 | 0.9999956 | 1.0000008 | 0.9999945 | 0.9999998 |
| 0.1816988 | 0.1875919 | -0.0602647 |  |  | 1.000029 | 1.0000363 | 0.9999992 | 0.9999447 | 0.9999959 |
| 0.1816388 | 0.1875919 | -0.0602647 | 0.0412467 | 0.1069518 | 0.9999933 | 1.0000169 | 1.0000031 | 1.000003 | 0.9999932 |
| 1.3359841 | 0.1926457 | -0.0751813 | 0.2278685 | 0.3330019 | 0.9999765 | 0.9999953 | 1,0000 |  |  |
| 0.1480959 | 0.2273951 | -0.0620801 | 0.0163795 | 0.1053679 | 1.0000029 |  | 1.0000 | 1.0000042 | 1.000006 |
| 0.1557156 | 0.8110926 | -0.1325721 |  |  |  | 析 | 0.9999991 | 0.9999912 | 1.0000062 |
| 0.1624049 | 0.0773608 | -0.0665849 | -0.016 |  |  | 1.0032035 | 1.0001567 | 0.9999285 | 1.0012248 |
| 0.7282377 | 0.0537888 |  | -0.016 | 0.1860049 | 0.9999295 | 0.9999977 | 1.0000012 | 0.9999993 | 1.0000148 |
| 0.1771363 | 0.0015849 |  |  | 0.3346197 | 0.9999921 | 0.9999996 | 1.0000068 | 1.0000011 | 0.9999891 |
| 0.1101744 | 0.15966 |  | 1792 | 0.0524761 | 1.0000033 | 0.9999999 | 0.9999926 | 1.0000048 | 1.000002 |
| 0.285942 |  |  | -0.2000842 | -0.0907703 | 0.9999929 | 0.9999942 | 0.9999713 | 0.9999891 | 0.9998994 |
| 0.3205357 | 0.13774 | -0.0612577 | -0.1027041 | -0.1605757 | 0.9998264 | 1.0000028 | 1.0000044 | 0.9999426 | 0.9999937 |
| 0.1494267 | 0.53289 |  | 0.0288488 | 0.0940609 | 0.9999851 | 0.9999902 | 0.9998894 | 1.0000045 | 0.9999959 |
| -0.0671877 | 0.532894 | -0.311989 | -0.0183928 | -0.3246793 | 0.9999948 | 0.999984 | 1.0000284 | 0.9999976 | 0.9999898 |
| 0.0303023 |  | -0.1077019 | -0.03359 | -0.0457653 | 1.0000028 | 0.9999933 | 0.999992 | 1.000009 | 0.9999552 |
| 0.06708 |  | -0.0216518 | -0.1857623 | -0.0245806 | 0.9999991 | 0.9999975 | 0.9999996 | 1.0000107 | 0.9999996 |
| 0.1740621 | -0.0544598 | 0.1647231 | -0.3090258 | -0.2449758 | 0.9999953 | 1.0000035 | 1.0000094 | 1.0000551 | 0.9999946 |
| 0.1740621 | 0.2624819 | 0.0122639 | 0.0621995 | 0.7836777 | 0.9999879 | 0.9999884 | 0.9999997 | 1.0000168 |  |
| 0.1954595 | 0.0267926 | -0.0113991 | 0.0660222 | 0.1370212 | 1.0000034 | 0.9999995 | 9999 |  |  |
| 0.7584084 | -0.0199526 | -0.0208683 | 0.0943526 | 0.1235553 | . 999998 |  |  | 1.0000395 | 0.9999887 |
| 0.7676191 | -0.219315 | -0.0439326 | 0.0398363 |  |  |  | 1 | 0.9999999 | 0.9999991 |
| 0.1456095 | -0.0136329 | 085 |  | 0.1347303 | 0.9999068 | 1.0000003 | 0.9999999 | 0.9999994 | 1.000001 |
| 0.0824509 | -0.0692366 | -0.120 |  | 0.1470976 | 1.0000116 | 0.9999995 | 0.9999964 | 0.9998493 | 0.9999942 |
| 0.0733839 |  | -0.120 | -0.2417955 | -0.2553339 | 1.0000053 | 1.000009 | 1.0000029 | 0.9999235 | 0.9999956 |
| 0 |  | 0.8356489 | -0.1910626 | 0.4204501 | 0.9999904 | 1.0000199 | 0.9998981 | 0.9999882 | 0.999994 |
| 0.234228 | 0.2746306 | -0.1465333 | 0.2614687 | 1.2994617 | 0 | 0.999942 | 0.9997974 | 0.9998818 | 0.9930249 |
| 0.251251 | -0.0226221 | 0.0372229 | -0.5762435 | -0.8061056 | 0.9999967 | 1.0000015 | 1.0000021 | 1.000009 | 0.9999964 |
| 0.162 | 0.1543192 | -0.0450046 | -0.3945285 | -0.2820994 | 0.9999895 | 0.9999988 | 1.0000005 | 0.999997 | 0.9999987 |
| 0.1623984 | 0.2095123 | 0.1158612 | -0.0484161 | 0.0276144 | 1.000011 | 0.9999964 | 0.9999832 | 0.999979 | 0.999999 |
| 0.5115309 | 0.1595302 | -0.163848 | 0.4483055 | 0.3021436 | 0.9999877 | 0.9999983 | 1.0000008 | 1.0000032 | 1.0000043 |
| 0.1145218 | 0.410991 | -0.2621085 | 0.3932359 | 0.0497205 | 1.0000984 | 0.9999373 | 0.9999107 | 0.9997535 | 1.0000177 |
| -0.0766908 | 0.0016806 | -0.0870932 | 0.0536947 | -0.0356851 | 1.0000186 | 0.9999995 | 0.9999878 | 0.9999799 | 1.0000358 |
| 0.0009577 | 0.2737359 | -0.089818 | 1.0461449 | 0.1188449 | 0.9999991 | 0.9999068 | 0.9999073 | 0.9997711 | 1.0000358 |
| 0.153342 | 0.1688371 | -0.0506246 | -0.0412173 | 0.0381562 | 1.000019 | 0.9999599 | 0.9983154 | 0.9999929 | 0.9999897 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

PT Panasia Filament Inti Tbk PT Roda Vivatex Tbk
Teijin Indonesia Fiber Corporation (Tifico) Tbk
PT APAC Citra Centertex Tbk
PT Daeyu Orchid Indonesia Tbk PT Ever Shine Textile Industry Tbk
PT Indorama Syntetics Tbk
PT Ricky Putra Globatindo Tbk
PT Ricky Putra Globatindo Tbk
PT Sarasa Nugraha Tbk
PT Surya Intrindo Makmur Tbk
PT Daya Sakti Unggul Corporation Tbk
PT Sumalindo Lestari Jaya Tbk
PT Surya Dumai Industri Tbk
PT Tirta Mahakam Plywood Industry Tbk
PT Fajar Surya Wisesa Tbk
PT Indah Kiat Pulp \& Paper Corporation Tbk
PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk
PT Suparma Tbk
PT Surabaya Agung Industry Pulp Tbk
PT Budi Acid Jaya Tbk
Colorpak Indonesia Tbk
PT Eterindo Wahanatama Tbk
PT Polysindo Eka Perkasa Tbk
PT Sorini Corporation Tbk
PT Unggul Indah Cahaya Tbk
PT Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk
PT Ekadharma Tape industries Tbk
T Intan Wijaya International Tbk
PT Resource Alam Indonesia Tbk
(Kurnia Kapuas Utama Glue Industries) Tbk


| 0.2545373 | 0.1792472 | 0.047607 | -0.0154255 | 0.1795091 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0146869 | 0.2453368 | 0.1495132 | 0.0748538 | 0.1795091 | 1.0000249 | 0.9999947 | 1.0000035 | 1.0000007 | 0.9999706 |
| 0.9088122 | 0.2547409 | 0.2084667 | -0.1761329 | 0.0276281 | 0.9097157 | 0.9999966 | 1.0000039 | 0.9999924 | 0.9999985 |
| 0.4791303 | 0.3139523 | 0.0936347 | 0.1761329 | 0.5250722 | 0.9997157 | 0.9999656 | 0.9999845 | 0.9999667 | 0.9999309 |
| 0.5228037 | 0.2804503 | 0.1215696 |  | 0.2359065 | 0.9999154 | 0.9999451 | 0.9985159 | 0.9999843 | 1.0000668 |
| 0.3287629 | 0.2448803 | 0.0938527 |  | 0.3248232 | 1.0013458 | 0.9999837 | 1.0001411 | 0.999738 | 0.9999832 |
|  |  | 0.0936527 |  | 0.0997797 | 0.9999817 | 0.9999877 | 1.0000161 | 1.0000553 | 1.000005 |
| 0.2724704 | 0.2522148 | 0.0635579 | 0.1237544 | 0.0018147 | 0.9999771 | 0.9999763 |  |  |  |
| 0.1893914 | 0.7197446 | 0.1945733 | 1.4633243 | 0.566679 | 0.999401 | 0.9999763 | 1.0000398 | 0.9999945 | 0.9999958 |
| 0.3082942 | -0.0692464 | -0.0463033 | 0.2430954 | 0.3121892 |  |  | . 9997026 | 0.9981105 | 0.9999027 |
| 0.0740573 | 0.4411969 | 0.048337 | 0.0832317 |  | 1.000166 | 1.0000118 | 0.9999966 | 0.999865 | 0.9998566 |
| 0.2806934 | 0.6470493 | 0.1171042 | 0.042817 |  | 0031 | 0.9999908 | 1.0000256 | 1.0000062 | 0.9999933 |
| 0.2534305 | 0.238295 | 0.1162394 | 0.0195382 |  | 0.9999709 | 0.9999934 | 0.9999996 | 0.9999991 | 1.0000007 |
| 0.181288 | 0.2986439 | -0.1135502 |  |  | 0.9999846 | 0.9999717 | 1.0000016 | 1.0000022 | 0.9999926 |
| 0.3603552 | 0.0276344 | -0.077818 |  | 0.1420233 | 1.0000031 | 0.9999976 | 1.0000011 | 0.9999954 | 1.0000017 |
| -0.0794956 | 0.0495728 | 0.2699646 |  | 0.2142077 | 0.9999959 | 0.9999994 | 1.0000018 | 1.0000013 | 0.9999979 |
| 0.443004 | 1.1318083 | -0.0411327 |  | 1.3652709 | 1.0002092 | 0.9999017 | 0.9996531 | 0.9985943 | 1.0016377 |
| 0.2125094 | 0.4756229 | -0.1285335 |  | 0.1012368 | 0.9999975 | 1.0000064 | 1.0000034 | 0.999969 | 1.0000061 |
| -0.5491044 | 0.5777919 | 4.3451157 |  | 0.4940909 | 0.9999421 | 0.9999899 | 0.9999962 | 0.9999943 | 1.0000519 |
| 0.4965652 | -0.3321008 | 1.7868861 |  | -0.3406031 | 0.9999891 | 1.0000618 | 0.9997667 | 1.0001072 | 0.9998947 |
| 0.5266188 | 0.2033342 | 0.2115376 |  | 0.4059037 | 1.0000189 | 1.0001068 | 0.9997931 | 1.0000015 | 0.9998916 |
| 0.6681954 | 0.2622221 | 0.1558039 |  | 0.283454 | 1.0005512 | 0.9997837 | 0.9999061 | 1.0001723 | 1.0010471 |
| -0.102957 | 0.0931063 | 0.1287943 |  | 0.162022 | 3.0138221 | 1.0003858 | 0.9999855 | 0.9999914 | 1.0000376 |
| 0.4275518 | 0.4125361 | -0.0463479 | 0.0080922 | 0.0317596 | 0.9999868 | 0.9999783 | 0.9999871 | 0.9999986 | 0.9999955 |
| -0.0120084 | -0.0006714 | -0.0311692 | 0.0756728 | 0.7946947 | 0.9999711 | 0.9999831 | 1.0000027 | 0.9999956 | 1.000018 |
| 0.7659429 | 0.0811985 | 0.2408729 | 3 | -0.0138116 | 0.9999885 | 1.0000001 | 1.0000091 | 0.9999997 | 0.999999 |
| 0.2725689 | -0.3152942 | 0.3538073 | -0.009427 | 0.0483617 | 1.0000729 | 0.9999963 | 0.9999579 | 1.0000006 | 1.0000079 |
| 0.2725689 | 0.3528561 | 0.1121731 | 8385 | 0.0989429 | 0.9999931 | 1.0000146 | 1.0000029 | 0.9999704 | 1.0000708 |
| 0.0981145 | 0.2055177 | 0.1850251 | 09116 | 0.0274685 | 0.9999931 | 0.9999837 | 0.9999956 | 1.0000014 | 1.0000023 |
| 0.4929493 | 0.2793614 | 0.0052064 | 7412 | 0.0841911 | 1.0000068 | 0.9999952 | 0.9999992 | 0.9999986 | 1.0000007 |
| 0.2008344 | 0.4225115 | 0.0525313 | 946565 | 0.24884 | 1.0001213 | 0.9999905 | 0.9999997 | 0.9999661 | 0.9999672 |
| 0.1681857 | 0.6379481 | -0.0713875 | 0.0247547 | 0.2146195 | 1.0000046 | 0.9999836 | 0.9999967 | 1.0000013 | 1.0000085 |
| -0.0273693 | 0.5533374 | 0.26798 | 0.1142934 | 0.2268514 | 0.9999913 | 1.0002452 | 1.0000267 | 0.9999951 | 1.0000088 |
| 0.8539496 | 0.1946167 | -0.186 | 0.1278126 | 0.3549006 | 0.9999958 | 1.0002671 | 1.0001363 | 1.0000277 | 1.0000633 |
|  |  |  | 0.0542055 | 0.650511 | 0.9999348 | 1.0005727 | 1.0000431 | 1.0000402 | 1.0000383 |

PT Argha Karya Prima Industry Tbk T Asahimas Flat Glass Co Ltd Tbk T Asiaplast industries Tbk PT Berlina Co Ltd Tbk

Pl7 Ausnpul Y(lfseld Inuyew 6ua66uen Id
Tbk
PT La
PT Lapindo International Tbk
PT Summiplast Interbenua Tbk
PT Trias Sentosa Tbk
PT Indocement Tunggal Perkasa Tbk
PT Semen Cibinong Tbk
PT Semen Cibinong Tbk
PT Alumindo Gresik (Persero) Tbk
PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk PT Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk
PT Indal Aluminium Industry Tbk
PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Ltd Tbk
T Jaya Pari Steel Tbk
PT Lion Mesh Prima Tbk
PT Lion Metal Works Tbk
PT Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk
PT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk
PT Kedaung Indah Can Tbk
PT Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk
PT Arwana Citra Mulia Tbk
T Intikeramik Alamasri Industry Tbk
T Mulia Industrindo Tbk
ST Surya Toto Indonesia Tbk
T GL Kabel Indonesia Tbk
T Jembo Cable Company Tbk
T Kabelindo Murni Tbk
T Sumi Indo Kabel Tbk


| 0.4523904 | 0.4656077 | -0.196207 | 0.2053717 | 0.6909136 | 1.0000185 | 1.0000261 | 0.9999909 | 0.9999954 | 0.9999738 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.2726872 | 0.2116729 | 0.1882234 | -0.1677529 | 0.3631356 | 1.0000239 | 0.9999906 | 1.0000128 | 0.99992 | 1.0000195 |
| 0.9395057 | 0.3711314 | -0.1443543 | 0.0877323 | 0.3181446 | 0.999881 | 0.9999711 | 0.9999829 | 0.9997171 | 0.9999264 |
| 1.0919189 | 0.0507418 | -0.0165961 | -0.0094045 | 0.4278684 | 1.0000041 | 1 | 0.9999982 | 1 | 1.0000005 |
| 0.3183395 | 0.0305405 | -0.0154124 | 0.0479621 | 0.3512059 | 1.0000045 | 0.9999997 | 0.9999996 | 0.9999989 | 1.0000629 |
| 0.5099173 | 0.1991432 | 0.0438981 | 0.0004514 | 0.1839808 | 1.0000108 | 0.9999991 | 1.0000004 | 1 | 0.9999915 |
| 0.3733939 | 0.172636 | 0.0121238 | 0.0307512 | 0.1699388 | 0.9999964 | 0.9999992 | 0.9999998 | 1.0000002 | 0.9999954 |
| 0.1480534 | 0.2456567 | -0.083947 | 0.0503475 | 0.2987118 | 1.0000443 | 0.9999907 | 0.9999954 | 0.999992 | 1.0000913 |
| 0.8968204 | 0.3409747 | 0.1936476 | 0.0966918 | 0.4046081 | 1.0000452 | 0.9999743 | 0.9999701 | 1.0000197 | 0.9999779 |
| -0.3894559 | 0.1308101 | -0.0963228 | -0.2017497 | 0.3056057 | 0.9999537 | 0.9999647 | 1.0000174 | 0.999838 | 1.000101 |
| 0.0417317 | 0.1109135 | 0.2232456 | 0.9163674 | 0.4283267 | 1.0000013 | 0.9999869 | 0.9998259 | 1.0003375 | 1.0012996 |
| 0.4947386 | 0.1100835 | 0.1419211 | 0.0481968 | 0.1499689 | 0.9999789 | 0.9999958 | 1.0000135 | 0.9999916 | 0.9999963 |
| 0.0465951 | -0.8783881 | 0.0720484 | -0.1276489 | -0.0376428 | 0.9999985 | 1.0000207 | 1.0000004 | 1.0000108 | 0.9999983 |
| 0.2265429 | 0.1923601 | 0.0132587 | -0.5432771 | 0.1165081 | 0.9996365 | 0.9999883 | 0.9999974 | 0.99999 | 0.999981 |
| 0.0517372 | 0.4112024 | 0.8124589 | -0.3602055 | 0.3088192 | 1.0000024 | 0.9999939 | 0.9999933 | 1.000075 | 1.0000022 |
| 0.3403423 | 0.4526274 | 0.1359301 | 0.0518265 | 0.1577924 | 0.9999952 | 0.9999938 | 0.9999979 | 1.000005 | 1.0000064 |
| 0.3648318 | -0.0130029 | 0.1500502 | 0.1647326 | 0.0044296 | 0.9999957 | 0.9999993 | 1.000033 | 1.0000122 | 0.9999999 |
| 0.3896743 | 0.1705706 | 0.0033086 | 0.3072188 | 0.3949581 | 0.9999511 | 0.9999857 | 0.9999991 | 0.999966 | 0.9998515 |
| 0 | -0.3916583 | -0.0214124 | 0.0796339 | 0.2896814 | 0 | 1.0001656 | 1.0000062 | 1.0001268 | 0.999019 |
| 0.01166 | 0.2883512 | -0.0481719 | 0.0443105 | -0.1940147 | 0.9999983 | 1.0011018 | 1.0000203 | 1.000009 | 1.0001817 |
| 0.0465951 | 0.2616725 | 0.1278603 | 0.060101 | 0.1265276 | 0.9999985 | 0.9999938 | 0.999997 | 1.0000028 | 0.9999906 |
| 0.2536504 | 0.2001411 | 0.0153334 | 0.0755564 | 0.2476734 | 0.9999863 | 1.0000028 | 0.9999999 | 1.0000216 | 1.000043 |
| 0.4326342 | 0.0085476 | 0.1117286 | -0.0869163 | 0.1329987 | 1.0002541 | 1.0000117 | 0.9999886 | 1.0000241 | 1.0000203 |
| 0.1006159 | 0.2416742 | 0.1319883 | 0.0713502 | 0.1046394 | 0.9999939 | 1.0000094 | 1.0000012 | 0.9999991 | 0.9999992 |


TABLE B. 1
SUMMARY RESULT OF DUMMIES FOR GROUPING SAMPLE FIRMS

| No. | Firm | D04 | D03 | DM03 | DM04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk | 0.4983374 | 0.9259069 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | PT Aqua Golden Mississippi Tbk | 0.0717856 | -0.1781063 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk | 0.0311275 | 0.0927236 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | PT Davomas Abadi Tbk | 0.23977 | -0.3531798 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | PT Delta Djakarta Tbk | -0.0892867 | 0.0681735 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk | -0.6134868 | -0.940009 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | PT Mayora indah Tbk | -0.9405446 | -0.0939299 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | PT Mutil Bintang Indonesia Tbk | -0.941068 | -0.6312361 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk | -0.0971513 | 0.0727825 | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | PT Sari Husada Tbk | -0.928319 | -0.9943991 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk | 0.711621 | 0.6991705 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | PT Siantar Top Tbk | -0.6785305 | -0.8319962 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | PT Sierad Produce Tbk | 0.0843676 | -0.8213537 | 0 | 1 |
| 14 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources | 0.6390816 | 0.8928078 | 1 | 1 |
|  | and Technology Corporation (SMART) Tbk |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | PT Suba Indah Tbk | -0.8809803 | 0.0108177 | 1 | 0 |
| 16 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia Intiselera) | 0.8114734 | 0.6891356 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 | PT Tunas Baru Lampung Tbk | -0.1881661 | -0.7496181 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Tbk <br> Ultra Jaya Milk Industry and Trading Company | 0.7217539 | 0.7566608 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | PT BAT indonesia Tbk | -0.424179 | -0.8966429 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 0.4774332 | 0.7912902 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk | -0.7510152 | -0.8502916 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | PT Argo Pantes Tbk | -0.7909082 | -0.4848214 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | PT Eratex Djaja Limited Tbk | 0.9404638 | 0.6952865 | 1 | 1 |
| 24 | PT Panasia Filament Inti Tbk | 0.418274 | 0.4542168 | 1 | 1 |


| 0.9745516 | 0.6773996 | 1 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.4120415 | 0.1318486 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.2213113 | -0.9453741 | 0 | 1 |
| 0.1808971 | 0.279533 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.306133 | 0.8921853 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.7361198 | -0.8315371 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.9877102 | -0.938874 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.8395544 | 0.8191033 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.2236053 | 0.5975327 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.3301981 | -0.8609663 | 0 | 1 |
| 0.5195609 | 0.3854746 | 1 | 1 |
| -0.5901231 | -0.9197314 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.1568374 | -0.3114642 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.8876943 | -0.9208241 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.4293803 | -0.8445306 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.9281046 | -0.6983113 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.0807824 | 0.0881463 | 1 | 0 |
| -0.8092299 | -0.9993867 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.2209301 | -0.9704156 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.5914183 | -0.5101403 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.6215766 | 0.770471 | 1 | 1 |
| -0.8514003 | -0.9753464 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.9432018 | 0.3152724 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.1273938 | -0.9775245 | 0 | 1 |
| 0.6147542 | -0.4226212 | 0 | 1 |
| 0.4650715 | 0.7082058 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.5212336 | -0.4538425 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.396171 | -0.2905603 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.2832142 | -0.5429901 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.8000727 | -0.8851919 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.5069622 | 0.5991369 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| -0.7054868 | 0.5717778 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |



| 0.1457405 | 0.0076667 | 1 | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -0.5904012 | -0.8905681 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.4492558 | 0.4478589 | 1 | 1 |
| -0.8889969 | 0.6238744 | 1 | 0 |
| -0.9750569 | -0.9884735 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.5596568 | -0.9083035 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.2155123 | -0.090663 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.9943795 | 0.1865788 | 1 | 0 |
| -0.8279156 | -0.7707004 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.950894 | -0.2267039 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.891957 | -0.8549313 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.8276014 | -0.671348 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.7374856 | -0.8833645 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.9499178 | 0.3539254 | 1 | 1 |
| -0.2124677 | -0.0779943 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.4804459 | -0.1967407 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.7656192 | -0.9062265 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.9300001 | -0.959259 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.5713275 | 0.7435335 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.7926122 | 0.9378354 | 1 | 1 |
| -0.7824624 | -0.3007135 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.4270006 | -0.9449101 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.8554911 | -0.4655049 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.9313129 | 0.760073 | 1 | 0 |
| -0.1671711 | -0.4802416 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.9938326 | -0.9397704 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.8135361 | -0.9656068 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.5612444 | 0.7526856 | 1 | 0 |
| -0.6061654 | -0.7428905 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.8766418 | 0.8882578 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.8694553 | 0.9867165 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.0239787 | -0.22223 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.0432291 | 0.8887854 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |

[^2]|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.9579387 | 0.9687744 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.0817231 | -0.0257528 | 0 | 1 |
| 0.6285889 | 0.9534615 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.985927 | 0.9589874 | 1 | 1 |
| -0.6112334 | 0.8911265 | 1 | 0 |
| -0.6868747 | -0.9655089 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.6149873 | 0.2050597 | 1 | 1 |
| -0.6811006 | 0.6242592 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.7963183 | 0.2626912 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.3076463 | 0.8475294 | 1 | 1 |
| 0.6001788 | -0.6236314 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.9089498 | -0.9352705 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.2187043 | -0.4738353 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.9220612 | -0.8371179 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.7813356 | -0.9011852 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.8046917 | -0.1654592 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.816771 | -0.9849778 | 0 | 0 |
| -0.744379 | -0.2646 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.7956036 | 0.9630107 | 1 | 1 |
| -0.5686404 | -0.8378755 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.5874593 | -0.5687864 | 0 | 1 |
| -0.5012034 | 0.8845662 | 1 | 0 |
| 0.979815 | 0.8747405 | 1 | 1 |

Corporation (Sucaco) Tbk
PT Andhi Chandra Automotive Products Tbk
PT Astra International Tbk
PT Astra Otoparts Tbk
PT Branta Mulia Tbk
PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk
PT Goodyear Indonesia Tbk
PT Indospring Tbk
PT Multi Prima Sejahtera Tbk
PT Prima Alloy Steel Tbk
PT Selamat Sempurna Tbk
PT Bristol-Myers Squibb Indonesia Tbk
PT Darya-Varia Laboratoria Tbk
PT Indofarma (Persero) Tbk
T Kimia Farm (Pk Tbk
Pyridam Farma Tbk
TT Schering Plough Indonesia Tbk

PT Mandom Indonesia Tbk
PT Mustika Ratu Tbk
PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk



APPENDIX 4
LISTS OF DUMMIES FOR STOCK PRICE, EARNINGS, GROSS PROFIT, SELLING\&ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE \& INVENTORY METHOD OF SAMPLE FIRMS
TABLE C. 1
THE SUMMARY OF DUMMIES DURING 2003-2004

| No | firms | Closing Price per Share (PRICE) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | P03 | P04 | Pt-Pt103 | Pt-Pt104 |
| 1 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk | 0.4811899 | 0.8213985 | -0.5249344 | 0.3580455 |
| 2 | PT Aqua Golden Mississippi Tbk | 2.3847851 | 2.3113941 | 0.1192393 | 0.3670037 |
| 3 | PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk | 0.2883355 | 0.3315995 | 0.0393185 | 0.0455137 |
| 5 | PT Davomas Abadi Tbk | 0.2487562 | 1.0294118 | -0.4975124 | 0.8193277 |
| 6 | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk | 0.4883872 | 0.5144537 | -0.010853 | 0.0734934 |
| 7 | PT Mayora Indah Tbk | 1.5384615 | 1.7857143 | -0.5769231 | 0.4032258 |
| 8 | PT Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk | 0.376677 | 0.9189444 | -0.0567595 | 0.5749293 |
| 9 | PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk | 2.2339713 | 3.1411968 | -0.3164793 | 0.7852992 |
| 10 | PT Sari Husada Tbk | -0.0308794 | -0.4512635 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk | 2.248707 | 3.4309946 | -0.0562177 | 1.5034695 |
| 12 | PT Siantar Top Tbk | -0.0599782 | -0.1125366 | 0.0272628 | -0.0506415 |
| 13 | PT Sierad Produce Tbk | 1.0436893 | 0.8078603 | -0.2669903 | -0.1310044 |
| 14 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMART) Tbk | 2 | 0.8928571 | -2.5 | 0.1785714 |
| 15 | PT Suba Indah Tbk | - $\quad$ - | -3.4037559 | -0.1777778 | -2.0833333 |
| 16 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia Intiselera) | 0.013412 | 0.0921829 | -0.0080472 | 0.0737463 |
| 17 | PT Tunas Baru Lampung Tbk | -4.0019403 | 2.1442709 | -2.0009702 | -1.4844953 |
| 18 | PT Ultra Jaya Milk Industry and Trading Company Tbk | 0.4487179 | 0.5466238 | -0.4807692 | 0.096463 |
| 19 | PT BAT Indonesia Tbk | 1.8315018 | 1.0137457 | -0.9157509 | -0.7044674 |
| 20 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 1.4929026 | 1.4360107 | 0.2039484 | -0.0078902 |
| 21 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk | 1.4665081 | 2.2799018 | -0.7035275 | 0.9821115 |
| 22 | PT Argo Pantes Tbk | 2.5086505 | 3.5101404 | -1.4489619 | 1.2480499 |
| 23 | PT Eratex Djaja Limited Tbk | -14.893617 | -182.14286 | 0 | -82.142857 |
| 24 | PT Panasia Filament Inti Tbk | 0.2688172 | 0.8196721 | -0.3494624 | 0 |
| 25 | PT Roda Vivatex Tbk | 0.1386139 | 0.1343284 | -0.2475248 | -0.0746269 |
| 26 | PT Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk | 1.0626993 | 0.8817427 | -0.1328374 | -0.1556017 |
|  |  | 0.5208333 | 0.2832512 | -0.4613095 | -0.1477833 |


| 27 | PT Teijin Indonesia Fiber Corporation (Tifico) Tbk |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | PT APAC Citra Centertex Tbk | 0.3095685 | 0.3026482 | -0.2532833 | 0.0945776 |
| 29 | PT Daeyu Orchid Indonesia Tbk | -0.3526971 | 0.2354571 | 0.5188722 | 0.1177285 |
| 30 | PT Ever Shine Textile Industry Tbk | 0.4724409 | 0.6299213 | -0.3149606 | 0.1574803 |
| 31 | PT Indorama Syntetics Tbk | 0.5181347 | 0.7022472 | -1.2178166 | 0.1404494 |
| 32 | PT Karwell Indonesia Tbk | 0.1362309 | 0.1527871 | -0.0989296 | 0.0117529 |
| 33 | PT Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk | 2.8225806 | 5.060241 | -0.8467742 | 0.8433735 |
| 34 | PT Sarasa Nugraha Tbk | 1.3235294 | 6.9565217 | -3.3823529 | 5.9782609 |
| 35 | PT Sepatu Bata Tbk | 1.1111111 | 1.2962963 | -1.6666667 | -0.1851852 |
| 36 | PT Surya Intrindo Makmur Tbk | 1.2202563 | 1.2308197 | -0.2179029 | 0.0820546 |
| 37 | PT Daya Sakti Unggul Corporation Tbk | 3.2608696 | 2.5316456 | -5.4347826 | -2.2151899 |
| 38 | PT Sumalindo Lestari Jaya Tbk | 0.3409091 | 0.7692308 | -0.0909091 | 0.3254438 |
| 39 | PT Surya Dumai Industri Tbk | $-0.1097973$ | -0.1190478 | 0.0675676 | -0.0487013 |
| 40 | PT Tirta Mahakam Plywood industry Tbk | -1.4735099 | -4.5294118 | 0.1821192 | 0.7058824 |
| 41 | PT Fajar Surya Wisesa Tbk | 0.4679803 | 0.5699482 | -0.270936 | 0.0777202 |
| 42 | PT Indah Kiat Pulp \& Paper Corporation Tbk | 0.8312958 | 1.7401392 | -0.2444988 | 0.9512761 |
| 43 | PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk | 0.0653491 | 0.3059613 | -0.0079694 | 0.2250296 |
| 44 | PT Suparma Tbk | 0.0756776 | 0.2925632 | 0.0070398 | 0.2114005 |
| 45 | PT Surabaya Agung Industry Pulp Tbk | 0.3537736 | 0.8482143 | -0.0471698 | 0.5133929 |
| 46 | PT Budi Acid Jaya Tbk | -0.0142669 | -0.013716 | 0.0021949 | 0 |
| 47 | PT Colorpak Indonesia Tbk | 0.6766917 | 0.7352941 | -0.3383459 | 0.0735294 |
| 48 | PT Eterindo Wahanatama Tbk | 2.4496644 | 3.0967742 | -0.5704698 | 0.7419355 |
| 49 | PT Polysindo Eka Perkasa Tbk | -0.1994302 | 0.4814815 | 0.014245 | 0.308642 |
| 50 | PT Sorini Corporation Tbk | -0.0086157 | -0.0324149 | 0.0114877 | -0.0243112 |
| 51 | PT Unggul Indah Cahaya Tbk | 0.2813853 | 0.477707 | -0.0613276 | 0.2292994 |
| 52 | PT Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk | 0.5389515 | 0.9444697 | -0.0979912 | 0.443787 |
| 53 | PT Ekadharma Tape Industries Tbk | 0.2290951 | 0.2682927 | -0.2462772 | 0.0243902 |
| 54 | PT Intan Wijaya International Tbk | 0.4511971 | 0.148248 | -0.0552486 | -0.2920036 |
|  | PT Resource Alam Indonesia Tbk (Kurnia Kapuas Utama Glue Industries) | 0.3284672 | 0.355064 | -0.2737226 | 0.0407451 |
| 55 | Tbk | 0.1604278 | 0.2877698 | -0.2673797 | 0.125899 |
| 56 | PT Argha Karya Prima Industry Tbk | -0.261959 | 0.929368 | -0.0113895 | 0.1258993 |
| 57 | PT Asahimas Flat Glass Co Ltd Tbk | 0.687799 | 1.1633789 | -0.0448565 | 0.5816894 |
| 58 | PT Asiaplast Industries Tbk | 0.1769912 | 0.3097345 | -0.2212389 | 0.1327434 |
| 59 | PT Berlina Co Ltd Tbk | 0.6766554 | 0.6365452 | -0.0483325 | -0.0624064 |


| 60 | PT Dynaplast Tbk | 0.9259259 | 1.4581572 | -0.2136752 | 0.6339814 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 61 | PT Kageo Igar Jaya Tbk (lgarjaya) | 0.6896552 | 0.9160305 | 0 | 0.3053435 |
| 62 | PT Langgeng Makmur Plastik Industry Ltd Tbk | 0.2941176 | 1.8965517 | -0.3781513 | 0.6896552 |
| 63 | PT Lapindo International Tbk | 4.5652174 | 5.5851064 | -1.4130435 | 1.1170213 |
| 64 | PT Summiplast Interbenua Tbk | 1.2781955 | 1.1111111 | -0.3007519 | -0.1481481 |
| 65 | PT Trias Sentosa Tbk | 0.5357143 | 0.6617647 | 0.1785714 | 0.1764706 |
| 66 | PT Indocement Tunggal Perkasa Tbk | 0.7971014 | 1.5422078 | -0.0241546 | 0.8725649 |
| 67 | PT Semen Cibinong Tbk | 0.4587156 | 1.0806916 | -0.5198777 | 0.648415 |
| 68 | PT Semen Gresik (Persero) Tbk | 1.3705016 | 1.6798919 | -0.2051091 | 0.4389667 |
| 69 | PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk | 0.1288889 | 0.2333664 | -0.4266667 | 0.0893744 |
| 70 | PT Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk | 1.0330579 | 1.6666667 | -0.1239669 | 0.625 |
| 71 | PT Citra Tubindo Tbk | 1.2935883 | 1.2767316 | 0.0241041 | -0.0079796 |
| 72 | PT Indal Aluminium Industry Tbk | 0.1848875 | 0.4032258 | -0.3135048 | 0.094086 |
| 73 | PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Ltd Tbk | -0.008261 | -0.0577101 | 0.0061958 | -0.0484765 |
| 74 | PT Jaya Pari Steel Tbk | 0.3104213 | 0.8536585 | 0.0886918 | 0.5432373 |
| 75 | PT Lion Mesh Prima Tbk | 0.2986348 | 0.7272013 | -0.1493174 | 0.452044 |
| 76 | PT Lion Metal Works Tbk | 0.4678041 | 0.4733879 | 0.0687947 | 0.0383828 |
| 77 | PT Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk | -0.0523104 | 2.1052632 | 0.1002616 | 1.3157895 |
| 78 | PT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk | 0.439115 | 0.3652533 | -0.0253336 | -0.0476417 |
| 79 | PT Kedaung Indah Can Tbk | 0.4030501 | 0.1865672 | 0.0217865 | -0.2736318 |
| 80 | PT Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk | 0.3519417 | 0.4310345 | -0.3398058 | 0.0143678 |
| 81 | PT Arwana Citra Mulia Tbk | 0.766129 | 2.0921986 | -0.0806452 | 1.4184397 |
| 82 | PT Intikeramik Alamasri Industry Tbk | 0.2730375 | 0.6553398 | -0.1535836 | 0.2669903 |
| 83 | PT Mulia Industrindo Tbk | -0.1375 | -0.2662407 | 0.05625 | -0.1490948 |
| 84 | PT Surya Toto Indonesia Tbk | 2.5357308 | 1.7056343 | 0 | -0.402453 |
| 85 | PT GL Kabel Indonesia Tbk | 0.2525253 | 2.8571429 | -0.1515152 | 1.0714286 |
| 86 | PT Jembo Cable Company Tbk | 1.8023256 | 0.556872 | 0.4069767 | -1.2796209 |
| 87 | PT Kabelindo Murni Tbk | 0.308642 | 0.6198347 | -0.2469136 | 0.2066116 |
| 88 | PT Sumi Indo Kabel Tbk | 0.2876318 | 0.3956479 | -0.4074784 | 0.098912 |
| 89 | PT Supreme Cable Manufacturing Corporation (Sucaco) Tbk | 0.8084074 | 0.8128469 | 0.0606306 | 0.0198255 |
| 90 | PT Voksel Electric Tbk | -0.1664145 | -0.1311475 | 0.0605144 | -0.010929 |
| 91 | PT Andhi Chandra Automotive Products Tbk | 3.1418919 | 3.1045752 | 0.8445946 | 0.0653595 |
| 92 | PT Astra International Tbk | 1.0232745 | 1.8435562 | -0.0601926 | 0.9648518 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 93 | PT Astra Otoparts Tbk | 0.8954155 | 0.8375474 | -0.2507163 | 0.0474083 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 94 | PT Branta Mulia Tbk | 0.4385965 | 0.5661713 | -0.199362 | 0.1769285 |
| 95 | PT Gajah Tunggal Tbk | 1.4482759 | 1.4319809 | 0.2758621 | 0.9307876 |
| 96 | PT Goodyear Indonesia Tbk | 0.6264324 | 0.613591 | -0.0611154 | -0.0153398 |
| 97 | PT Indospring Tbk | 0.3837719 | 0.3388947 | 0.095943 | -0.0260688 |
| 98 | PT Multi Prima Sejahtera Tbk | 0.8141113 | 0.2804378 | 0.0678426 | 0.1162791 |
| 99 | PT Prima Alloy Steel Tbk | 0.3177005 | 0.3295572 | -0.0907716 | 0.1132853 |
| 100 | PT Selamat Sempurna Tbk | 5.5970149 | 0.9818182 | -0.8395522 | -4.4727273 |
| 101 | PT Bristol-Myers Squibb Indonesia Tbk | 1.1298132 | 1.3829787 | -0.0807009 | 0.5141844 |
| 102 | PT Darya-Varia Laboratoria Tbk | 1.6009852 | 1.6112266 | 0.5541872 | 0.2598753 |
| 103 | PT Indofarma (Persero) Tbk | 1.5873016 | 2 | -0.2777778 | -0.5 |
| 104 | PT Kalbe Farma Tbk | 2.5206612 | 4.6568627 | -0.1652893 | 1.6666667 |
| 105 | PT Kimia Farma (Persero) Tbk | 1.352459 | 1.3602941 | -0.5327869 | 0.1470588 |
| 106 | PT Merck Tbk | 1.3507429 | 2.949024 | -0.5703137 | 1.6851566 |
| 107 | PT Pyridam Farma Tbk | 2.4553571 | 0.5309735 | -0.3125 | -1.9026549 |
| 108 | PT Schering Plough Indonesia Tbk | 7.6271186 | 16.908213 | -10.451977 | 6.0386473 |
| 109 | PT Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk | 1.4622194 | 1.5024559 | -0.071135 | 0.166137 |
| 110 | PT Mandom Indonesia Tbk | 0.8354756 | 1.2580055 | -0.2442159 | 0.5146386 |
| 111 | PT Mustika Ratu Tbk | 0.9358289 | 0.8563536 | -2.228164 | -0.1104972 |
| 112 | PT Unilever Indonesia Tbk | 6.8001511 | 12.909091 | -0.9444654 | -52.545455 |

TABLE C. 2
THE SUMMARY OF DUMMIES DURING 2003-2004

| No | firms | Earnings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | E03 | E04 | Et-Et103 | Et-Et104 |
| 1 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk | 0.040245 | -0.8348778 | -0.0446194 | -0.873631 |
| 2 | PT Aqua Golden Mississippi Tbk | 0.2811662 | 0.3384212 | -0.0183032 | 0.1091775 |
| 3 | PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk | 0.0144168 | -0.1014304 | -0.0288336 | -0.1157347 |
| 4 | PT Davomas Abadi Tbk | 0.1840796 | 0.0336134 | 0.1393035 | -0.1218487 |
| 5 | PT Delta Djakarta Tbk | 0.1292598 | 0.1184223 | -0.0226829 | 0.0017148 |
| 6 | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk | 0.1641026 | 0.0921659 | -0.0564103 | -0.0552995 |
| 7 | PT Mayora Indah Tbk | 0.1135191 | 0.1046183 | -0.0474716 | 0.0009425 |
| 8 | PT Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk | 0.3188622 | 0.3216586 | 0.0182441 | -0.0146066 |
| 9 | PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk | -0.5625 | -0.0108303 | -0.8283103 | 8.2093863 |
| 10 | PT Sari Husada Tbk | 0.2633236 | 0.1779106 | 0.0517203 | -0.0478026 |
| 11 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk | -0.0307525 | 0.1269413 | 0.0907306 | 0.1586766 |
| 12 | PT Siantar Top Tbk | 0.1165049 | 0.0960699 | 0.0048544 | -0.0087336 |
| 13 | PT Sierad Produce Tbk | -1.5 | -7.6071429 | -0.5 | -7.0714286 |
| 14 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMART) Tbk | -0.208 | 0.4260563 | 0.6328889 | 0.7007042 |
| 15 | PT Suba Indah Tbk | -0.2725322 | -0.3355457 | -0.2280043 | 0.0390855 |
| 16 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia intiselera) | 0.0970167 | 0.073565 | 2.5345622 | 0.1615351 |
| 17 | PT Tunas Baru Lampung Tbk | 0.0512821 | 0.0321543 | -0.0352564 | -0.0192926 |
| 18 | PT Ulitra Jaya Milk Industry and Trading Company Tbk | 0.014652 | 0.0068729 | -0.021978 | -0.0068729 |
| 19 | PT BAT Indonesia Tbk | 0.1220427 | -0.0418179 | -0.1701746 | -0.1598548 |
| 20 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 0.189457 | 0.1631007 | -0.0255648 | -0.0045598 |
| 21 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk | 0.2707612 | 0.3541342 | -0.050173 | 0.1099844 |
| 22 | PT Argo Pantes Tbk | -1.1914894 | 125.85714 | 42.680851 | 133.85714 |
| 23 | PT Eratex Djaja Limited Tbk | -0.6438172 | -1.0491803 | -0.702957 | 0.9139344 |
| 24 | PT Panasia Filament inti Tbk | -0.3366337 | -0.1253731 | -0.5326733 | 0.3820896 |
| 25 | PT Roda Vivatex Tbk | 0.0265675 | 0.0446058 | 0.0626993 | 0.0486722 |
| 26 | PT Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk | 0.0297619 | -0.1428571 | -0.0505952 | -0.1674877 |
| 27 | PT Teijin Indonesia Fiber Corporation (Tifico) Tbk | 0.1465291 | -0.2139975 | 0.2020638 | -0.3124842 |
| 28 | PT APAC Citra Centertex Tbk | 0.8589212 | -0.0872576 | 0.0456432 | 0.199446 |


| 29 | PT Daeyu Orchid Indonesia Tbk | 0.0074803 | 0.0025197 | 0.0476378 | -0.0049606 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | PT Ever Shine Textile Industry Tbk | -0.0777202 | -0.0393258 | -0.0829016 | 0.0449438 |
| 31 | PT Indorama Syntetics Tbk | 0.0201103 | 0.0235057 | 0.003568 | 0.0026864 |
| 32 | PT Karwell Indonesia Tbk | -0.3306452 | 0.0120482 | -0.2983871 | 0.5060241 |
| 33 | PT Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk | 0.3823529 | 0.9347826 | 0.8823529 | 0.6521739 |
| 34 | PT Sarasa Nugraha Tbk | -0.5277778 | -0.9807407 | -0.3333333 | -0.277037 |
| 35 | PT Sepatu Bata Tbk | 0.2409134 | 0.2213014 | -0.0833261 | -0.0054977 |
| 36 | PT Surya Intrindo Makmur Tbk | -0.3130435 | -0.1265823 | -0.2521739 | 0.3291139 |
| 37 | PT Daya Sakti Unggul Corporation Tbk | -0.2181818 | -0.0710059 | -0.4727273 | 0.2130178 |
| 38 | PT Sumalindo Lestari Jaya Tbk | 0.5625 | -0.2261905 | 0.0456081 | -0.5865801 |
| 39 | PT Surya Dumai Industri Tbk | -0.1953642 | 0.2470588 | -0.6291391 | 0.9411765 |
| 40 | PT Tirta Mahakam PIrwood Industry Tbk | 0.0394089 | 0.0518135 | -0.0492611 | 0.0103627 |
| 41 | PT Fajar Surya Wisesa Tbk | 0.0513447 | 0.0046404 | -0.1246944 | -0.0440835 |
| 42 | PT Indah Kiat Pulp \& Paper Corporation Tbk | -0.1408989 | 0.2400316 | -0.002869 | 0.4145282 |
| 43 | PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk | -0.0672298 | 0.4420536 | 0.0383668 | 0.5141563 |
| 44 | PT Suparma Tbk | 0.0518868 | -0.2857143 | 0.3254717 | -0.3348214 |
| 45 | PT Surabaya Agung Industry Pulp Tbk | -0.0403863 | 0.380882 | -0.0217296 | 0.4197088 |
| 46 | PT Budi Acid Jaya Tbk | 0.0300752 | 0.0147059 | -0.0150376 | -0.0147059 |
| 47 | PT Colorpak Indonesia Tbk | 0.1006711 | 0.1354839 | -0.0872483 | 0.0387097 |
| 48 | PT Eterindo Wahanatama Tbk | 0.0911681 | -0.0987654 | 0.014245 | -0.0197531 |
| 49 | PT Polysindo Eka Perkasa Tbk | 0.1499138 | 0.2506753 | 0.2125215 | 0.1096704 |
| 50 | PT Sorini Corporation Tbk | 0.1327561 | 0.1242038 | 0.0281385 | 0.0070064 |
| 51 | PT Unggul Indah Cahaya Tbk | 0.0803528 | 0.1943559 | -0.022048 | 0.1197087 |
| 52 | PT Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk | -0.0148912 | 0.0621951 | -0.0389462 | 0.0780488 |
| 53 | PT Ekadharma Tape Industries Tbk | 0.0893186 | 0.0179695 | -0.0395948 | -0.0691824 |
| 54 | PT Intan Wijaya International Tbk | 0.0571776 | 0.0756694 | 0.0218978 | 0.0209546 |
| 55 | PT Resource Alam Indonesia Tbk (Kurnia Kapuas Utama Glue Industries) | -0.0089127 | -0.0035971 | 0.0035651 | 0.0053957 |
| 55 | Tbk | -0.6924829 | 0.0123916 | 0.2528474 | -0.7410161 |
| 56 | PT Argha Karya Prima Industry Tbk | 0.2248804 | 0.2407688 | -0.0598086 | 0.0505817 |
| 57 | PT Asahimas Flat Glass Co Ltd Tbk | 0.0018584 | -0.0504425 | 0.0815044 | -0.0523009 |
| 58 | PT Asiaplast Industries Tbk | 0.062349 | 0.1158263 | -0.1474142 | 0.0514229 |
| 59 | PT Berlina Co Ltd Tbk | 0.1690408 | 0.1276416 | 0.0218424 | -0.0228233 |
| 60 | PT Dynaplast Tbk | 0.1293103 | 0.1908397 | -0.0258621 | 0.0763359 |
| 61 | PT Kageo Igar Jaya Tbk (Igarjaya) |  |  |  |  |


| 62 | PT Langgeng Makmur Plastik Industry Ltd Tbk | -0.7563025 | -3.9655172 | 0.5042017 | -0.862069 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 63 | PT Lapindo International Tbk | 0.0217391 | 0.0425532 | -0.0543478 | 0.0212766 |
| 64 | PT Summiplast Interbenua Tbk | 0.0150376 | 0.0666667 | 0.037594 | 0.0518519 |
| 65 | PT Trias Sentosa Tbk | 0.1980519 | 0.0294118 | -0.1331169 | -0.15 |
| 66 | PT Indocement Tunggal Perkasa Tbk | 0.1758454 | 0.025974 | -0.0975845 | -0.1217532 |
| 67 | PT Semen Cibinong Tbk | 0.0703364 | -0.2017291 | -0.1314985 | -0.2680115 |
| 68 | PT Semen Gresik (Persero) Tbk | 0.1170986 | 0.1482357 | 0.0553795 | 0.0422083 |
| 69 | PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk | -0.1048889 | 0.1161867 | -0.0631111 | 0.2333664 |
| 70 | PT Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk | 0.0082645 | 0.1083333 | -0.0991736 | 0.1 |
| 71 | PT Citra Tubindo Tbk | 0.028925 | 0.0274497 | 0.0049815 | -0.0012767 |
| 72 | PT Indal Aluminium Industry Tbk | -0.403537 | 0.0403226 | -0.4067524 | 0.7150538 |
| 73 | PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Ltd Tbk | -0.1053284 | 0.1274238 | -0.0404791 | 0.2451524 |
| 74 | PT Jaya Pari Steel Tbk | 0.1773836 | 0.924612 | -0.0576497 | 0.7472284 |
| 75 | PT Lion Mesh Prima Tbk | 0.1433447 | 0.4504717 | 0.0119454 | 0.3183962 |
| 76 | PT Lion Metal Works Tbk | 0.1326362 | 0.2318321 | 0.0071547 | 0.1084954 |
| 77 | PT Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk | 0.0034874 | -0.1184211 | 0.179599 | -0.0657895 |
| 78 | PT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk | 0.0731295 | -0.033508 | -0.1205877 | -0.1022709 |
| 79 | PT Kedaung Indah Can Tbk | -0.1045752 | -0.1641791 | -0.0795207 | -0.0447761 |
| 80 | PT Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk | -0.1553398 | -0.2155172 | -0.1286408 | -0.0316092 |
| 81 | PT Arwana Citra Mulia Tbk | 0.1854839 | 0.1985816 | 0.0483871 | 0.035461 |
| 82 | PT Intikeramik Alamasri Industry Tbk | -0.3003413 | 0.0194175 | -0.5221843 | 0.4466019 |
| 83 | PT Mulia Industrindo Tbk | 0.16125 | 0.5197018 | 0.0 .455 | 0.3823216 |
| 84 | PT Surya Toto Indonesia Tbk | 0.2950669 | 0.200767 | -0.3457815 | -0.0452281 |
| 85 | PT GL Kabel Indonesia Tbk | -0.0454545 | -1.1785714 | -3.8989899 | -0.8571429 |
| 86 | PT Jembo Cable Company Tbk | 0.0255814 | 0.014218 | -0.0511628 | -0.0118483 |
| 87 | PT Kabelindo Murni Tbk | -0.2530864 | -0.1900826 | -0.0185185 | 0.1487603 |
| 88 | PT Sumi Indo Kabel Tbk | -0.0306807 | 0.0237389 | -0.0162991 | 0.0553907 |
| 89 | PT Supreme Cable Manufacturing Corporation (Sucaco) Tbk | 0.0598222 | -0.1300555 | -0.1810833 | -0.1887391 |
| 90 | PT Voksel Electric Tbk | 0.128593 | 0.3224044 | 0.2586989 | 0.2295082 |
| 91 | PT Andhi Chandra Automotive Products Tbk | 0.1148649 | 0.1633987 | 0.0202703 | 0.0522876 |
| 92 | PT Astra International Tbk | 0.4398074 | 0.4600276 | -0.1195827 | 0.082357 |
| 93 | PT Astra Otoparts Tbk | 0.1955587 | 0.1839444 | -0.0501433 | 0.011378 |
| 94 | PT Branta Mulia Tbk | 0.1307815 | 0.0665251 | -0.0637959 | -0.04954 |


TABLE C. 3
THE SUMMARY OF DUMMIES DURING 2003-2004

| No | firms | Gross Profit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | GP03 | GP04 | DGP03 | DGP04 | Gt-Gt103 | Gt-Gt104 | DGt-Gt103 | DGt-Gt104 |
| 1 | PT Ades Alfindo Putrasetia Tbk | 0.7141525 | 0.1067649 | 0.7141525 | 0.1067649 | 0.1120666 | -0.2423113 | 0.1120666 | -0.2423113 |
| 2 | PT Aqua Golden Mississippi Tbk | 0.4859581 | 0.5242294 | 0 | 0.5242294 | -0.0759419 | 0.1280124 | 0 | 0.1280124 |
| 3 | PT Cahaya Kalbar Tbk | 0.0529929 | -0.0042093 | 0.0529929 | -0.0042093 | -0.0241594 | -0.0567888 | -0.0241594 | -0.0567888 |
| 4 | PT Davomas Abadi Tbk | 0.2335443 | 0.0613363 | 0 | 0.0613383 | 0.1527769 | 0.0218889 | 0 | 0.0218889 |
| 5 | PT Delta Djakarta Tbk | 0.483451 | 0.4991203 | 0.483451 | 0 | 0.0198786 | 0.0626168 | 0.0198786 | 0 |
| 6 | PT Indofood Sukses Makmur Tbk | 1.212655 | 1.1210395 | 0 | 0 | 0.1082051 | 0.0314325 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | PT Mayora Indah Tbk | 0.4024879 | 0.421099 | 0 | 0 | 0.0334765 | 0.0535111 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | PT Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk | 0.9624454 | 1.1509348 | 0 | 0 | 0.0561621 | 0.1359607 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | PT Prasidha Aneka Niaga Tbk | -0.0093942 | -0.5097673 | -0.0093942 | 0 | 0.0049627 | -0.372483 | 0.0049627 | 0 |
| 10 | PT Sari Husada Tbk | 0.6280336 | 0.0558708 | 0 | 0 | 0.1044826 | 0.0044007 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | PT Sekar Laut Tbk | -0.0591675 | -0.0715084 | -0.0591675 | -0.0715084 | 0.0131208 | -0.0104498 | 0.0131208 | -0.0104498 |
| 12 | PT Siantar Top Tbk | 0.4704588 | 0.4044868 | 0 | 0 | 0.0431816 | -0.0187206 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | PT Sierad Produce Tbk | 1.0017457 | 3.4360961 | 0 | 3.4360961 | -0.8318613 | -0.141567 | 0 | -0.141567 |
| 14 | PT Sinar Mas Agro Resources and Technology Corporation (SMART) Tbk | -1.2290557 | -2.4314476 | -1.2290557 | -2.4314476 | 0.3104983 | -0.8085748 | 0.3104983 | -0.8085748 |
| 15 | PT Suba Indah Tbk | 0.0587208 | -0.1576442 | 0.0587208 | 0 | -0.0056648 | -0.2333044 | -0.0056648 | 0 |
| 16 | PT Tiga Pilar Sejahtera Tbk (Asia Intiselera) | -0.5198983 | 0.5217279 | -0.5198983 | 0.5217279 | -0.2579531 | 0.0503092 | -0.2579531 | 0.0503092 |
| 17 | PT Tunas Baru Lampung Tbk | 0.2813607 | 0.4549933 | 0 | 0 | 0.0520872 | 0.1727279 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | PT Ulitra Jaya Milk Industry and Trading Company Tbk | 0.3033772 | 0.2074492 | 0.3033772 | 0.2074492 | 0.0548636 | 0.0177081 | 0.0548636 | 0.0177081 |
| 19 | PT BAT Indonesia Tbk | 0.7439026 | 0.6217644 | 0 | 0 | -0.2598476 | -0.0977209 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | PT Gudang Garam Tbk | 0.4657298 | 0.4406343 | 0.4657298 | 0.4406343 | -0.0318604 | 0.0284855 | -0.0318604 | 0.0284855 |
| 21 | PT Hanjaya Mandala Sampoerna Tbk | 0.869356 | 1.0334077 | 0 | 0 | -0.0125755 | 0.2285696 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | PT Argo Pantes Tbk | 0.6507445 | 19.224636 | 0 | 0 | 5.2481546 | 14.855351 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | PT Eratex Djaja Limited Tbk | 0.2209404 | 2.3775131 | 0.2209404 | 2.3775131 | -0.369324 | 1.703826 | -0.369324 | 1.703826 |
| 24 | PT Panasia Filament Inti Tbk | -0.2928634 | -0.0375881 | -0.2928634 | -0.0375881 | -0.3896238 | 0.4038925 | -0.3896238 | 0.4038925 |
| 25 | PT Roda Vivatex Tbk | 0.0859885 | 0.1163501 | 0.0859885 | 0.1163501 | 0.0853559 | 0.0324132 | 0.0853559 | 0.0324132 |
| 26 | PT Sunson Textile Manufacture Tbk | 0.179107 | 0.0628431 | 0.179107 | 0.0628431 | 0.0006794 | -0.0853834 | 0.0006794 | -0.0853834 |
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| 27 | PT Teiiin Indonesia Fiber Corporation (Tifico) Tbk | 0.0914221 | 0.0750519 | 0 | 0.0750519 | 0.0308298 | 0.0136043 | 0 | 0.0136043 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | PT APAC Citra Centertex Tbk | -0.7943291 | 0.1559579 | -0.7943291 | 0.1559579 | 0.5567126 | 0.0593012 | 0.5567126 | 0.0593012 |
| 29 | PT Daeyu Orchid Indonesia Tbk | 0.2237792 | 0.0532777 | 0.2237792 | 0.0532777 | -0.1028971 | 0.0367014 | -0.1028971 | 0.0367014 |
| 30 | PT Ever Shine Textile Industry Tbk | -0.0368005 | 0.0665919 | 0 | 0.0665919 | -0.0893362 | 0.1064936 | 0 | 0.1064936 |
| 31 | PT Indorama Syntetics Tbk | 0.1715221 | 0.196589 | 0 | 0 | -0.0188345 | 0.0190192 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | PT Karwell Indonesia Tbk | 0.6279896 | 1.6190858 | 0.6279896 | 1.6190858 | 0.14489 | 0.6808844 | 0.14489 | 0.6808844 |
| 33 | PT Ricky Putra Globalindo Tbk | 3.5417688 | 2.2020728 | 3.5417688 | 2.2020728 | 1.6332721 | 1.0272026 | 1.6332721 | 1.0272026 |
| 34 | PT Sarasa Nugraha Tbk | -0.0650758 | -0.1458249 | 0 | -0.1458249 | -0.2778157 | -0.0590572 | 0 | -0.0590572 |
| 35 | PT Sepatu Bata Tbk | 1.1971854 | 1.1999924 | 1.1971854 | 1.1999924 | -0.0647205 | 0.0729466 | -0.0647205 | 0.0729466 |
| 36 | PT Surya Intrindo Makmur Tbk | -0.2202174 | 0.0406709 | 0 | 0 | -0.2279478 | 0.3612405 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | PT Daya Sakti Unggul Corporation Tbk | 0.9152545 | 1.5926509 | 0 | 1.5926509 | -0.2066909 | 0.4011953 | 0 | 0.4011953 |
| 38 | PT Sumalindo Lestari Jaya Tbk | 0.0381153 | -0.125289 | 0 | 0 | -0.1670595 | -0.1399182 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | PT Surya Dumai Industri Tbk | 0.0168989 | -0.0778328 | 0 | 0 | 0.0943698 | -0.1378737 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | PT Tirta Mahakam Plywood Industry Tbk | 0.2552419 | 0.4810559 | 0 | 0.4810559 | 0.0377289 | 0.2740887 | 0 | 0.2740887 |
| 41 | PT Fajar Surya Wisesa Tbk | 0.160892 | 0.2238537 | 0.160892 | 0 | -0.0305045 | 0.0711743 | -0.0305045 | 0 |
| 42 | PT Indah Kiat Pulp \& Paper Corporation Tbk | 0.0751554 | 0.1427766 | 0 | 0 | -0.0123887 | 0.0497002 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | PT Pabrik Kertas Tijwi Kimia Tbk | 0.4315427 | 0.5483067 | 0 | 0.5483087 | 0.0431372 | 0.0854857 | 0 | 0.0854857 |
| 44 | PT Suparma Tbk | 0.3509706 | 0.4131475 | 0 | 0 | 0.0386613 | 0.0809789 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | PT Surabaya Agung Industry Pulp Tbk | 0.0279082 | -0.0004436 | 0.0279082 | -0.0004436 | 0.0136054 | -0.027274 | 0.0136054 | -0.027274 |
| 46 | PT Budi Acid Jaya Tbk | 0.5717365 | 0.9959944 | 0 | 0 | -0.0498174 | 0.4368697 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | PT Colorpak Indonesia Tbk | 0.2434501 | 0.3006373 | 0.2434501 | 0 | -0.0845097 | 0.0666351 | -0.0845097 | 0 |
| 48 | PT Eterindo Wahanatama Tbk | -0.1512686 | 0.0281849 |  | 0.0281849 | 0.315189 | -0.1029146 | 0 | -0.1029146 |
| 49 | PT Polysindo Eka Perkasa Tbk | 0.0449121 | 0.0488353 | 0 | 0.0488353 | 0.0183002 | 0.0065923 | 0 | 0.0065923 |
| 50 | PT Sorini Corporation Tbk | 0.3481922 | 0.5672753 | 0.3481922 | 0.5672753 | -0.0873377 | 0.2598903 | -0.0873377 | 0.2598903 |
| 51 | PT Unggul Indah Cahaya Tbk | 0.4184045 | 0.5265923 |  | 0.5265923 | 0.0303191 | 0.137897 | 0 | 0.137897 |
| 52 | PT Duta Pertiwi Nusantara Tbk | 0.1220638 | 0.1603477 | 0 | 0 | -0.040309 | 0.0303944 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | PT Ekadharma Tape Industries Tbk | 0.375435 | 0.0732374 | 0 | 0 | 0.0655169 | -2.813E-05 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | PT Intan Wijaya International Tbk | 0.2216324 | 0.1817316 | 0 | 0 | 0.019532 | -0.015864 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | PT Resource Alam Indonesia Tbk (Kurnia Kapuas Utama Glue industries) Tbk | 0.2101034 | 0.2079137 | 0.2101034 | 0.2079137 | -0.0956791 | -0.0040791 | -0.0956791 | -0.0040791 |
| 56 | PT Argha Karya Prima Industry Tbk | -0.3259882 | 0.328189 | -0.3259882 | 0 | 0.1065942 | -0.0264797 | 0.1065942 | 0 |
| 57 | PT Asahimas Flat Glass Co Lid Tbk | 0.6241842 | 0.6151829 | 0.6241842 | 0.6151829 | 0.0001488 | 0.0872942 | 0.0001488 | 0.0872942 |
| 58 | PT Asiaplast Industries Tbk | 0.1661062 | 0.1434309 | 0 | 0 | 0.1502995 | -0.0226753 | 0 | 0 |
| 59 | PT Berlina Co Ltd Tbk | 0.3834521 | 0.5072464 | 0.3834521 | 0.5072464 | -0.1424479 | 0.1111593 | -0.1424479 | 0.1111593 |













APPENDIX 5 REGRESSION RESULT ON 112 COMPANIES FROM YEAR 2003-2004
Regression on Equation 3.4:
Variables Entered/Removed

| Mariables | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Variables } \\ \text { Removed }\end{array}$ | Method |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Model | Entered |  |  |
| 1 | $E^{\text {a }}$ |  | Enter |
| a. All requested variables entered |  |  |  |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: $P$
Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.980^{\text {a }}$ | .960 | .960 | 2.5002507594546 | 1.771 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), E
b. Dependent Variable: P

| ANOVA $^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Model |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sum of } \\ \text { Squares }\end{array}$ | df | Mean Square | F |  |
| 1 | Regression | 33097.448 | 1 | 33097.448 | 5294.529 |  |
|  | Residual | 1387.778 | 222 | 6.251 |  |  |
|  | Total | 34485.226 | 223 |  | $.000^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), E
b. Dependent Variable: P
Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

a. Dependent Variable: $P$

## Regression on Equation 3.5:

Variables Entered/Removed

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ETETA $^{2}$ |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Model Summary'

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.786^{\text {a }}$ | .617 | .616 | 4.0940435337417 | 1.282 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), ETET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | Regression | 6006.668 | 1 | 6006.668 | 358.368 | $.000^{a}$ |
|  | Residual | 3720.985 | 222 | 16.761 |  |  |
|  | Total | 9727.652 | 223 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), ETET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Coefficients

Regression on Equation 3.6:
Variables Entered/Removed

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | DE, $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered
b. Dependent Variable: $P$
ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | 33294.130 | 2 | 16647.065 | 3088.752 | $.000^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Residual | 1191.096 | 221 | 5.390 |  |  |
|  | Total | 34485.226 | 223 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DE, E
b. Dependent Variable: $P$

## Model Summary ${ }^{\phi}$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.983^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .965 | .965 | 2.3215461560630 | 1.872 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DE, E
b. Dependent Variable: $P$
ber b. Dependent Variable: $P$
Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients |  | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Std. Error | Beta |  |  |  |  |
| 1 (Constant) | 1.056 | 155 |  |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| E | -1.452 | . 018 |  | 6.792 | . 000 |  |  |
| DE | 1.710 | 283 | -. 985 | -78.593 | 000 | . 996 | 1.004 |
|  | 1.710 | 283 | 076 | 6.041 | . 000 | 996 | 1.004 |

a. Dependent Variable: $P$
Regression on Equation 3.9:
Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model | $R$ | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.786^{a}$ | .618 | 614 | 4.1027357282032 | 1.280 |

b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients | $t$ | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error | Beta |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | -. 266 | 275 |  | -. 966 | 335 |  |  |
|  | ETET1 | -. 551 | . 029 | -. 786 | -18.871 | . 000 | . 996 | 1.004 |
|  | DETET1 | . 123 | 501 | 010 | -. 246 | - 806 | . 996 | 1.004 |

a. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Regression on Equation 3.10:

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: $P$
Model Summary ${ }^{b}$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.980^{\text {a }}$ | .961 | .961 | 2.468263023751365 | 1.801 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), METET1, E
b. Dependent Variable: $P$
ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | 33138.823 | 2 | 16569.412 | 2719.720 | $.000^{a}$ |
|  | Residual | 1346.403 | 221 | 6.092 |  |  |
|  | Total | 34485.226 | 223 |  |  |  |$\quad$| a. Predictors: (Constant), METET1, E |
| :--- |
| b. Dependent Variable: P |

Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients Beta | $t$ | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | 1.043 | 8.165 |  | 6.307 | 000 |  |  |
|  | E | -1.444 | . 020 | -. 979 | -73.692 | 000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | METET1 | -1.948 | . 748 | -. 035 | -2.606 | 010 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

[^3]Regression on Equation 3.11:
Variables Entered/Removed

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | METET1, <br> ETET1 |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered.
Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.787^{2}$ | .620 | .617 | 4.0895691148656 | 1.275 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), METET1, ETET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
ANOVA ${ }^{b}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | 6031.521 | 2 | 3015.761 | 180.319 | $.000^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Residual | 3696.131 | 221 | 16.725 |  |  |
|  | Total | 9727.652 | 223 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), METET1, ETET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

Regression on Equation 3.13:
Variables Entered/Removed

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | DETET1, <br> ETET1, a <br> METET1 |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Model Summaryb

| Model Summary' |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model R R Square Adjusted <br> R Square <br> 1 $.788^{\text {a }}$ .621 .616 4.0932384365493 | Std. Error of the | Durbin-W |
| atson |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DETET1, ETET1, METET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

## ANOVA ${ }^{b}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | 6041.640 | 3 | 2013.880 | 120.199 | $.000^{2}$ |
|  | Residual | 3686.012 | 220 | 16.755 |  |  |
|  | Total | 9727.652 | 223 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DETET1, ETET1, METET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients | $t$ | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error | Beta |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | -. 254 | . 275 |  | -. 925 | . 356 |  |  |
|  | ETET1 | -. 551 | - $\quad .029$ | -. 786 | -18.917 | . 000 | . 996 | 1.004 |
|  | METET1 | -1.914 | - 1.344 | . 064 | -1.424 | $\square .156$ | 850 | 1.176 |
|  | DETET1 | 421 | . 542 | . 035 | . 777 | - $\quad .438$ | 848 | 1.180 |

a. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Regression on Equation 3.15:

Variables Entered/Removed

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: $P$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.980^{2}$ | 960 | .960 | 2.4833491207893 | 1.673 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), SA, GP
b. Dependent Variable: $P$

| ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model |  | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| 1 | Regression | 33122.314 | 2 | 16561.157 | 2685.438 | $000^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Residual | 1362.912 | 221 | 6.167 |  |  |
|  | Total | 34485.226 | 223 |  |  |  |

b. Dependent Variable: $P$

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients Beta | $\mathrm{t}$ | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | 963 | . 174 |  | 5.531 | 000 |  |  |
|  | GP | -2.698 | . 066 | -. 554 | -40.827 | 000 | . 970 | 1.031 |
|  | SA | 3.987 | 060 | 910 | 67.009 | 000 | 970 | 1.031 |

Regression on Equation 3.16:

Variables Entered/Removed

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | SSA, SA <br> a |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: $P$

Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.991^{\text {a }}$ | .981 | .981 | 1.7238272254670 | 1.959 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DSA, SA, GP, DGP
b. Dependent Variable: $P$

ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | Regression | 33834.450 | 4 | 8458.613 | 2846.503 | $.000^{a}$ |
|  | Residual | 650.776 | 219 | 2.972 |  |  |
|  | Total | 34485.226 | 223 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DSA, SA, GP, DGP
b. Dependent Variable: $P$

a. Dependent Variable: $P$

## Regression on Equation 3.17:

| Variables Entered/Removed |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| 1 | SATSAT1, <br> GTGT10 |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.722^{\text {a }}$ | .522 | .518 | 4.5869025104132 | 1.517 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), SATSAT1, GTGT10
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
ANOVAb


| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients |  | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error | Beta |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | 9.922E-02 | . 311 |  | . 319 | . 750 |  |  |
|  | GTGT10 | -3.691 | 488 | -. 625 | -7.562 | . 000 | 317 | 3.156 |
|  | SATSAT1 | -1.239 | . 892 | -. 115 | -1.388 | 166 | 317 | 3.156 |

a. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Regression on Equation 3.18:

b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.817^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .668 | .662 | 3.8423208770043 | 1.163 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DSATSAT1, GTGT10, DGTGT10, SATSAT1 b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
ANOVA ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | 6494.461 | 4 | 1623.615 | 109.975 | $.000^{a}$ |
|  | Residual | 3233.191 | 219 | 14.763 |  |  |
|  | Total | 9727.652 | 223 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DSATSAT1, GTGT10, DGTGT10, SATSAT1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized <br> Coefficients <br> Beta | $t$ | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | -. 146 | . 263 |  | -. 554 | . 580 |  |  |
|  | GTGT10 | -. 681 | . 576 | -. 115 | -1.183 | 238 | . 160 | 6.264 |
|  | SATSAT1 | -9.458 | 1.238 | -. 876 | -7.643 | . 000 | . 116 | 8.654 |
|  | DGTGT10 | -. 276 | 1.196 | -. 014 | -. 231 | . 818 | . 388 | 2.575 |
|  | DSATSAT1 | 10.955 | 1.598 | 596 | 6.855 | . 000 | 201 | 4.985 |



## Regression on Equation 3.4:

Variables Entered/Removed

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $E^{\text {a }}$ |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered
b. Dependent Variable: $P$
Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model R R Square Adjusted <br> R Square Std. Error of the <br> Estimate Durbin-W <br> atson <br> 1 $.140^{\text {a }}$ .020 .015 1.9208730488797 .864 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Predictors: (Constant), E |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Dependent Variable: $P$ |  |  |  |  |  |

ANOVA ${ }^{b}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | 16.107 | 1 | 16.107 | 4.365 | $.038^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  | Residual | 804.366 | 218 | 3.690 |  |  |
|  | Total | 820.473 | 219 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), E
b. Dependent Variable: P
Coefficients ${ }^{2}$

| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients | $t$ | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | Std. Error | Beta |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| (Constant) | 1.071 | . 131 |  | 8.154 | . 000 | Tolerance |  |
| E | 853 | 408 | . 140 | 2.089 | . 038 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

a. Dependent Variable: $P$
Regression on Equation 3.5:
Variables Entered/Removed

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ETET ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Enter |
| a. All requested variables entered |  |  |  |

a. All requested variables entered
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Model Summary ${ }^{b}$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.029^{\text {a }}$ | .001 | -.004 | .373460627242574 | 1.004 |

b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

a. Predictors: (Constant), ETET1
Coefficients ${ }^{2}$

a. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Regression on Equation 3.6:
Variables Entered/Removed

a. All requested variables entered
b. Dependent Variable: $P$
Model Summary ${ }^{b}$


| Model | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Regression | 1.035 | 2 | . 518 | 758 | $470^{2}$ |
| Residual | 137.881 | 202 | . 683 |  |  |
| Total | 138.916 | 204 |  |  |  |
| a. Predictors: (Constant), DE, E <br> b. Dependent Variable: $P$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients | $t$ | Sig |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B | Std. Error | Beta |  |  |
| 1 (Constant) | 841 | . 058 |  | 14.474 | 000 |
| E | . 163 | 234 | 1.062 | - 695 | . 488 |
| DE | . 144 | 380 | . 034 | 379 | 705 |

a. Dependent Variable: $P$
Regression on Equation 3.9:
Variables Entered/Removed

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | DETET1 $^{1} 1$ |  |  |
| ETET1 |  |  |  |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Model Summary

| Model | $R$ | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $.153^{\text {a }}$ | .023 | .013 | .37098844946483 | 1.602 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DETET1, ETET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Regression | .636 | 2 | .318 | 2.309 | $.102^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Residual | 26.563 | 193 | .138 |  |  |
|  | Total | 27.199 | 195 |  |  |  |
| a. Predictors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DETET1, ETET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Standardized } \\ \text { Coefficients } \end{array} \\ \hline \text { Beta } \end{gathered}$ | t | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error |  |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | $3.143 \mathrm{E}-02$ | . 027 |  | 1.185 | 237 |  |  |
|  | ETET1 | -2.26E-02 | . 032 | -. 051 | -. 698 | 486 | 953 | 1.049 |
|  | DETET1 | 319 | . 149 | 156 | 2.136 | 034 | 953 | 1.049 |

a. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

## Regression on Equation 3.10:

| Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | METET1, E |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered
b. Dependent Variable: $P$
Model Summary ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.111^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .012 | .003 | .859364323386870 | 1.732 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), METET1, E
b. Dependent Variable: P

Regression on Equation 3.11:
Variables Entered/Removed

| Model | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Variables } \\ \text { Entered }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Variables } \\ \text { Removed }\end{array}$ | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { METET1, } \\ \text { ETET1 }{ }^{2}\end{array}$ |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Model Summary ${ }^{\dagger}$

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.038^{2}$ | .001 | -.009 | .374316288183377 | .795 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), METET1, ETET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
ANOVA ${ }^{b}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | .038 | 2 | .019 | .137 | $.872^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Residual | 27.182 | 194 | .140 |  |  |
|  | Total | 27.220 | 196 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), METET1, ETET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

Regression on Equation 3.12:

| Variables Entered/Removed |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Model Variables <br> Entered Variables <br> Removed <br> 1 DE, Method <br> METET1, E E  |  |  |  |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: P

| Model Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| \begin{tabular}{\|l|r|r|r|}
\hline
\end{tabular} | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| 1 | $.112^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .013 | -.002 | .86133789575959 | 1.812 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DE, METET1, E
b. Dependent Variable: $P$


## Regression on Equation 3.13:

\section*{Variables Entered/Removed <br> | Model | Variables <br> Entered | Variables <br> Removed | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | DETET1, <br> ETET1, <br> METET1 |  | Enter |
| a. All requested variables entered |  |  |  |}

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

## Model Summary'

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.182^{\mathrm{a}}$ | .033 | 018 | .370096809486420 | 1.932 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DETET1, ETET1, METET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

## ANOVA ${ }^{b}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | .900 | 3 | .300 | 2.191 | $091^{2}$ |
|  | Residual | 26.299 | 192 | .137 |  |  |
|  | Total | 27.199 | 195 |  |  |  |

a. Predictors: (Constant), DETET1, ETET1, METET1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Coefficients ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Model |  | Unstandardized Coefficients |  | Standardized Coefficients | $t$ | Sig. | Collinearity Statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B | Std. Error | Beta |  |  | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | $2.851 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 027 |  | 1.075 | 284 |  |  |
|  | ETET1 | -2.22E-02 | . 032 | - 050 | -686 | $\bigcirc$ | -. 953 | 1.049 |
|  | METET1 | -. 466 | . 335 | -. 110 | -1.390 | . 166 | . 811 | 1.234 |
|  | DETET1 | . 416 | 164 | . 203 | 2.528 | 012 | . 781 | 1.281 |

Regression on Equation 3.15:

Variables Entered/Removed

| Model | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Variables } \\ \text { Entered }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Variables } \\ \text { Removed }\end{array}$ | Method |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SA, GP |  | Enter |

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: $P$

Model Summary

| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted <br> R Square | Std. Error of the <br> Estimate | Durbin-W <br> atson |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | $.667^{\text {a }}$ | .445 | .439 | .55899014421653 | 1.953 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), SA, GP
b. Dependent Variable: P

Regression on Equation 3.16:
Variables Entered/Removed

Model Summary ${ }^{\circ}$

ANOVAb

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | 52.529 | 4 | 13.132 | 41.119 | $.000^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | Residual | 60.680 | 190 | .319 |  |  |
|  | Total | 113.209 | 194 |  |  |  |

a. Predictor: (Constant, DSA, GP, SA, DGP
b. Dependent Variable: $P$

Regression on Equation 3.18:

a. All requested variables entered.
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

a. Predictors: (Constant), DSATSAT1, GTGT10, DGTGT10, SATSAT1
b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

ANOVA ${ }^{b}$

| Model |  | Sum of <br> Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Regression | 1.518 | 4 | .379 | 2.808 | $.027^{a}$ |
|  | Residual | 25.680 | 190 | .135 |  |  |
|  | Total | 27.198 | 194 |  |  |  |

b. Dependent Variable: PTPT10

a. Dependent Variable: PTPT10


APPENDIX 7
REGRESSION RESULT
AFTER DATA TRANSFORMATION \& DO HETEROSCEDASTICITY REGRESSION FROM YEAR 2003-2004

Table 4.45
Equation 3-4

| Dependent Variable: D(P) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent Variable: $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{P})$Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
| Date: 03/20/06 Time: 10:01 |  |  |  |  |
| Sample(adjusted): 2220 |  |  |  |  |
| Included observations: 219 after adjusting endpoints Newey-West HAC Standard Errors \& Covariance (lag truncation=4) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t -Statistic | Prob |
|  | -0.051500 | 0.0721 | -0.714276 |  |
| D(E) | 0.904773 | 0.331175 | $2.732013$ | $0.0068$ |
| R-squared | 0.046285 | Mean de | dent var | -0.054245 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.041890 | S.D. depen | ent var | 2.048541 |
| S.E. of regression | 2.005176 | Akaike info | criterion | 4.238431 |
| Sum squared resid | 872.4985 | Schwarz ci | erion | 4.269381 |
| Log likelihood | -462.1082 | F-statistic |  | 10.53119 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.633344 | Prob(F-sta |  |  |

$\mathrm{D}=$ Difference, after transform the autocorrelation problem.

## Table 4.46

| Equation 3-5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent Variable: D(PTPT10) |  |  |  |  |
| Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
| Date: 03/20/06 Time: 11:56 |  |  |  |  |
| Sample(adjusted): 2197 |  |  |  |  |
| Included observations: 196 after adjusting endpoints Newey-West HAC Standard Errors \& Covariance (lag truncation=4) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob |
|  | -0.002000 | 0.012581 | -0.158943 |  |
| D(ETET1) | -0.017660 | 0.017998 | $-0.981200$ | $0.3277$ |
| R-squaredAdjusted R-squared | 0.003280 | Mean de | dent var |  |
|  | -0.001857 | S.D. depen | ent var | 0.374654 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.375002 | Akaike info | criterion | 0.886382 |
| Sum squared resid | 27.28157 | Schwarz cri | arion | 0.919832 |
| Log likelihood | -84.86544 | F-statistic |  | 0.638464 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.911313 | Prob(F-stat |  | 0.425245 |
| $\mathrm{D}=$ Difference |  | rm the au |  |  |

Table 4.47
Equation 3-6

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent Variable | D(P) |  |  |  |
| Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
| Date: 03/20/06 Time: 12:15 |  |  |  |  |
| Sample(adjusted): 2205 |  |  |  |  |
| Included observations: 204 after adjusting endpoints |  |  |  |  |
| Newey-West HAC S | andard Errors | \& Covaria | - (lag | =4) |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | -0.000347 | 0.037214 | -0.009319 |  |
| D(E) | 0.175630 | 0.474121 | -0.370432 |  |
| D(DE) | 0.511803 | 0.487187 | 1.050526 | 0.7115 |
| R-squared | 0.032633 | Mean depe | dent var |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.023008 | S.D. depen | ent var | $1.072378$ |
| S.E. of regression | 1.059970 | Akaike info | criterion | 2.968954 |
| Sum squared resid | 225.8307 | Schwarz cr | rion | 3.017750 |
| Log likelihood | -299.8333 | F-statistic |  | 3.390286 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.961905 | Prob(F-stat |  | 0.035638 |

$\mathrm{D}=$ Difference, after transform the autocorrelation problem.

## Table 4.48

Equation 3-9
Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Method: Least Squares
Date: 03/24/06 Time: 10:00
Sample: 1196
Included observations: 196
Newey-West HAC Standard Errors \& Covariance (lag truncation=4)

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.031427 | 0.034971 | 0.898662 | 0.3700 |
| ETET1 | -0.026607 | 0.017898 | -1.263058 | 0.2081 |
| DETET1 | 0.318501 | 0.126963 | 2.508614 | 0.0129 |
| R-squared | 0.023371 | Mean dependent var | 0.031162 |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.013250 | S.D. dependent var | 0.373471 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 0.370988 | Akaike info criterion | 0.869896 |  |
| Sum squared resid | 26.56306 | Schwarz criterion | 0.920071 |  |
| Log likelihood | -82.24982 | F-statistic | 2.309262 |  |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 1.602345 | Prob(F-statistic) | 0.102073 |  |

Table 4.49
Equation 3-10
Dependent Variable: $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{P})$
Method: Least Squares
Date: 03/27/06 Time: 12:24
Sample(adjusted): 2207
Included observations: 206 after adjusting endpoints
Newey-West HAC Standard Errors \& Covariance (lag truncation=4)

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | -0.002134 | 0.092256 | -0.023131 | 0.9816 |
| D(E) | 1.796325 | 1.144441 | 1.569609 | 0.1181 |
| D(METET1) | 4.561975 | 3.056690 | 1.492456 | 0.1371 |
| R-squared | 0.199312 | Mean dependent var | -0.036127 |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.191423 | S.D. dependent var | 3.114139 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 2.800261 | Akaike info criterion | 4.911758 |  |
| Sum squared resid | 1591.817 | Schwarz criterion | 4.960222 |  |
| Log likelihood | -502.9111 | F-statistic | 25.26598 |  |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.886901 | Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |  |
| $\mathrm{D}=$ Differ |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{D}=$ Difference, after transform the autocorrelation problem.

## Table 4.50

Equation 3-11

| Equation 3-11 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent Variable: D(PTPT10) |  |  |  |  |
| Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
| Date: 03/27/06 Time: 11:54 |  |  |  |  |
| Sample(adjusted): 2197 |  |  |  |  |
| Included observations: 196 after adjusting endpoints |  |  |  |  |
| Newey-West HAC Standard Errors \& Covariance (lag truncation=4) |  |  |  |  |
| \|Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | $t$-Statistic | Prob |
|  | -0.002176 | 0.010157 | -0.214205 | 0.8306 |
| D(ETET1) | -0.013881 | 0.023151 | -0.599581 | 0.5495 |
| D(METET1) | -0.094117 | 0.274312 | -0.343103 | 0.7319 |
| R-squared | 0.005001 | Mean depen | dent var | -0.001594 |
| Adjusted R-squared | -0.005310 | S.D. depend | ent var | 0.333680 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.334565 | Akaike info | criterion | 0.663215 |
| Sum squared resid | 21.60318 | Schwarz crit | rion | 0.713390 |
| Log likelihood | -61.99507 | F-statistic |  | 0.484986 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.973147 | Prob(F-statis |  | 0.4616454 |

$\mathrm{D}=$ Difference, after transform the autocorrelation problem.

Table 4.51
Equation 3-12

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Dependent Variable: P <br> Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
| Date: 03/27/06 Time: 12:02 |  |  |  |  |
| Sample: 1207 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
|  | 0.858662 | 0.073944 |  |  |
| E | 0.271604 | 0.438235 | 0.619767 | 0.0000 |
| DE | 0.104203 | 0.423452 | 0.646079 | 0.5361 |
| METET1 | -0.496965 | 0.453171 | -12466639 | 0.8059 |
| R-squared | 0.012642 | Mean depend | dent var |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | -0.001950 | S.D. depen | dent var | 0.874073 0.860499 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.861338 | Akaike info | criterion | 0.860499 2.558475 |
| Sum squared resid | 150.6063 | Schwarz crit |  | 2.522875 |
| Log likelihood | -260.8021 | F-statistic |  | 2.622875 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 1.812228 | Prob(F-statis |  | 0.859431 |

## Table 4.52

Equation 3-13
Dependent Variable: PTPT10
Method: Least Squares
Date: 03/27/06 Time: 12:05
Sample: 1196
Included observations: 196
Newey-West HAC Standard Errors \& Covariance (lag truncation=4)

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 0.028515 | 0.025517 | 1.117470 | 0.2652 |
| ETET1 | 0.02176 | 0.016944 | -1.308802 | 0.1922 |
| METET1 | -0.465998 | 0.288435 | -1.615605 | 0.1078 |
| DETET1 | 0.415600 | 0.193467 | 2.148177 | 0.0330 |
| R-squared | 0.033096 | Mean dependent var | 0.031162 |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.017988 | S.D. dependent var | 0.373471 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 0.370097 | Akaike info criterion | 0.870093 |  |
| Sum squared resid | 26.29856 | Schwarz criterion | 0.936993 |  |
| Log likelihood | -81.26909 | F-statistic | 2.190627 |  |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 1.931892 | Prob(F-statistic) | 0.090508 |  |

Table 4.53
Equation 3-15

| Dependent Variable: P |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
| Mate: 03/27/06 | Time: $12: 07$ |  |  |  |
| Sample: 1194 |  |  |  |  |
| Included observations: 194 |  |  |  |  |
| Newey-West HAC Standard Errors \& Covariance (lag truncation=4) |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| C | 0.402955 | 0.050826 | 7.928073 | 0.0000 |
| GP | 1.267113 | 0.279964 | 4.525983 | 0.0000 |
| SA | -0.610769 | 0.355276 | -1.719138 | 0.0872 |
| R-squared | 0.444556 | Mean dependent var | 0.734968 |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.438740 | S.D. dependent var | 0.746143 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 0.558990 | Akaike info criterion | 1.689973 |  |
| Sum squared resid | 59.68177 | Schwarz criterion | 1.740507 |  |
| Log likelihood | -160.9274 | F-statistic | 76.43449 |  |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 1.952591 | Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |  |

## Table 4.54

Dependent Variable: $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{P})$
Method: Least Squares
Date: 03/27/06 Time: 12:11
Sample(adjusted): 2195
Included observations: 194 after adjusting endpoints
Newey-West HAC Standard Errors \& Covariance (lag truncation=4)

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.005646 | 0.027071 | 0.208549 | Prob 0.8350 |
| D(GP) | 0.783735 | 0.263777 | 2.971209 | 0.8350 0.0034 |
| D(SA) | 0.179462 | 0.360807 | 0.497390 | 0.0034 |
| D(DGP) | 1.171764 | 0.501904 | 2.334638 | 0.6190 |
| D(DSA) | -1.701002 | 0.567017 | -2.999913 |  |
| R-squared | 0.520706 | Mean dependent var |  |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.510563 | S. D. dependent var |  | 1.019040 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.712918 | Akaike info criterion |  |  |
| Sum squared resid | 96.05965 | Schwarz criterion |  | 2.186535 2.270758 |
| Log likelihood | -207.0939 | F-statistic |  | 2.270758 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.934553 | Prob(F-stat |  | 51.33258 0.00000 |

$\mathrm{D}=$ Difference, after transform the autocorrelation problem.

Table 4.55
Equation 3-17

| Dependent Variabl Equation 3-17 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent Variable: D(PTPT10) |  |  |  |  |
| Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
| Date: 03/27/06 Time: $12: 14$Sample(adjusted). 2197 |  |  |  |  |
| Included observations: 196 after adjusting endpoints |  |  |  |  |
| Newey-West HAC Standard Errors \& Covariance (lag truncation=4) |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic |  |
|  | 0.002 | 0.014302 | 0.164177 |  |
| D(GTGT10) | 0.10549 | 0.100989 | 1.1644606 |  |
| D(SATSAT1) | 0.163739 | 0.137539 | 1.19446498 | 0.2975 |
| R-squared | 0.012194 | Mean depe | dent var |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.001958 | S.D. depen | dent var | 0.002114 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.462350 | Akaike info |  | 0.462803 |
| Sum squared resid | 41.25714 | Schwarz cri |  | 1.360374 |
| Log likelihood | -125.3995 | F-statistic |  | 1.191282 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 2.853203 | Prob(F-statis |  | 0.306055 |

$\mathrm{D}=$ Difference, after transform the autocorrelation problem.

## Table 4.56

Equation 3-18

| 3-18 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dependent Variable: PTPT10 |  |  |  |  |
| Method: Least Squares |  |  |  |  |
| Date: 03/27/06 Time: |  |  |  |  |
| Sample: 1195 |  |  |  |  |
| Newey-West HAC Standard Errors \& Covariance (lag truncation=4) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Coefficient | Sta Error | stic |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.017776 | 0.031180 | 0.570109 | 0.5693 |
|  | 0.087057 | 0.101930 | 0.854093 | 0.3941 |
| SATSAT10 | 0.003156 | 0.268454 | 0.011756 | 0.9906 |
| DGTGT10 | 0.602228 | 0.157017 | 3.835433 | 0.0002 |
| DSATSAT1 | -0.946918 | 0.648713 | -1.459688 | 0.1460 |
| R-squared | 0.055808 | Mean depe | dent var | 0.031322 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.035930 | S.D. depend | ent var | 0.374426 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.367637 | Akaike info | criterion | 0.861868 |
| Sum squared resid | 25.67989 | Schwarz crit |  | 0.945791 |
| Log likelihood | -79.03209 | F-statistic |  | 2.807569 |
| Durbin-Watson stat | 1.757192 | Prob(F-statis |  | 2.026927 |


[^0]:    Source: Appendix 6

[^1]:    Source: Appendix 7

[^2]:    PT Asahimas Flat Glass Co Ltd Tbk PT Asiaplast Industries Tbk
    

    PT Langgeng Makmur Plastik Industry Ltd Tbk
    PT Lapindo International Tbk
    Trias Sentosa Tbk
    Indocement Tunggal Perkasa Tbk
    Semen Cibinong Tbk
    PT Semen Gresik (Persero) Tbk
    PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk
    PT Alumindo Light Metal Industry Tbk
    PT Betonjaya Manunggal Tbk
    PT Citra Tubindo Tbk
    Thdal Aluminium Industry Tbk
    PT Jakarta Kyoei Steel Works Ltd Tbk
    PT Jaya Pari Steel Tbk
    PT Lion Mesh Prima Tbk
    T Pelangi Indah Canindo Tbk
    TT Tembaga Mulia Semanan Tbk
    T Kedaung Indah Can Tbk
    TT Kedawung Setia Industrial Tbk
    PT Arwana Citra Mulia Tbk
    PT Intikeramik Alamasri Industry Tbk
    PT Mulia Industrindo Tbk
    PT Surya Toto Indonesia Tbk
    TT Kabel Indonesia
    PT Jembo Cable Company Tbk
    PT Kabelindo Murni Tbk
    T Supremi Indo Kabel rok
    的

[^3]:    a. Dependent Variable: $P$

