

## Daftar Pustaka

- Adams R. B. (2012) . The Advanced Data Acquisition Model (ADAM): A Process Model for Digital Foensic Practice, Murdoch University.
- Agarwal, M., Gupta, M., & Gupta, M. (2011). Systematic Digital Forensic Investigation Model. *International Journal of ...*, (5), 118–131. Retrieved from [http://174.142.16.66/csc/download/issuearchive/IJCSS/volume5/IJCSS\\_V5\\_I1.pdf#page=126](http://174.142.16.66/csc/download/issuearchive/IJCSS/volume5/IJCSS_V5_I1.pdf#page=126)
- Baryamureeba, V., & Tushabe, F. (2004). The Enhanced Digital Investigation Process Model Venansuis Baryamureeba and Florence Tushabe. Retrieved from <http://dfrws.org>
- Beebe, N. L., & Clark, J. G. (2005). A hierarchical, objectives-based framework for the digital investigations process. *Digital Investigation*, 2(2), 147–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diin.2005.04.002>
- Bernstein, D. E., & Lasker, E. G. (2013). Defending Daubert: it's time to amend Federal Rule of Evidence 702. *William & Mary Law Review*, 57(2), 1–48.
- Carrier, B., & Spafford, E. . (2003). Getting Physical with the Investigative Process. *International Journal of Digital Evidence*, 2(2), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1.1.156.9541>
- Chan, T. C., Vilke, G. M., Clausen, J., Clark, R., Schmidt, P., Snowden, T., & Neuman, T. (2001). National Institute of Justice.
- Ciardhuáin, Séamus Ó. (2004). An Extended Model of Cybercrime Investigations, 3(1), 1–22. Retrieved from <https://utica.edu/academic/institutes/ecii/publications/articles/A0B70121-FD6C-3DBA-0EA5C3E93CC575FA.pdf>
- Cohen, F. (2009). Two models of digital forensic examination. *4th International Workshop on Systematic Approaches to Digital Forensic Engineering, SADFE 2009*, 1(3), 42–53. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SADFE.2009.8>
- Edward K. Cheng and Albert H. Yoon. (2005) “Does Frye or Daubert Matter? A Study of Scientific Admissibility Standards”, *Virginia Law Review* Vol. 91, No. 2 (Apr., 2005), pp. 471-513
- Faigman, D. L. (2013). The Daubert Revolution and the Birth of Modernity : Managing Scientific Evidence in the Age of Science. *Unviersity of California Law Review*, 46(1), 893–930.

- Freiling, F. C., & Schwittay, B. (2007). A Common Process Model for Incident Response and Computer Forensics. *IT Incident Management and IT Forensics*, 7(1), 19–40. Retrieved from <https://www1.informatik.uni-erlangen.de/filepool/publications/imf2007-common-model.pdf>
- Gary L Palmer. (2001). “A Road Map for Digital Forensic Research. Technical Report DTR-T0010-01, DFRWS”, Report for the First Digital Forensic Research Workshop (DFRWS).
- Gatowski, S. I., Dobbin, S. A., Richardson, J. T., Ginsburg, G. P., Law, S., Behavior, H., ... Richardson, J. T. (2001). Asking the Gatekeepers : A National Survey of Judges on Judging Expert Evidence in a Post-Daubert World Mara L . Merlino and Veronica Dahir Published by : Springer Stable URL : <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1394514>, 25(May), 433–458.
- John B. Meixner, Shari Diamond. (2014). “The hidden Daubert factor: “How judges use error rates in assessing scientific evidence”, Northwestern University School of Law.
- K, M. D. (2012). by, (November).
- Liu, C., Li, S., Qin, S., & Yang, S. (2016). Research and Application of Influences of Lateral Pressure Coefficients on the Extension Angle of Coal Cracks. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2016(3), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3068347>
- Marcella, A. J, & Greenfield, R. S. (Eds). (2002). *Cyber Forensics: A Field Manual for Collecting, Examining, and Preserving Evidence od Computer Crimes*, Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press Ltd.
- Montasari, R. (2016). Review and Assessment of the Existing Digital Forensic Investigation Process Models. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 147(7), 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2016911194>
- O., K., Quayson, E., Agyei, O., & Danso, E. (2017). Survey of Digital Forensic Models and Proposed Thematic Scheme. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 169(11), 41–45. <https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2017914782>
- Richter J. dan Kuntze N. (2010). “Securing Digital Evidence”, in Fifth International Workshop on Systematic Approaches to Digital Forensic Engineering, Oakland, CA, USA, pp 110-130.
- Rogers, M., Goldman, J., Mislan, R., Wedge, T., & Debrotta, S. (2017). Computer Forensics Field Triage Process Model. *The Journal of Digital Forensics, Security and*

*Law*, 1(2), 19–38. <https://doi.org/10.15394/jdfsl.2006.1004>

Schatz B, “Digital Evidence: Representation and Assurance”. Queensland University of Technology, 2007.

Selamat, S. R., Yusof, R., & Sahib, S. (2008). Mapping Process of Digital Forensic Investigation Framework. *Journal of Computer Science*, 8(10), 163–169. Retrieved from [http://paper.ijcsns.org/07\\_book/200810/20081025.pdf](http://paper.ijcsns.org/07_book/200810/20081025.pdf)

Sremack, J. C, “The Gap Between Theory and Practice in Digital Forensics”, in Conference on Digital Forensics, Security and Law, Arlington, Virginia, pp. 85-95. 2007.

