

DAFTAR PUSAKA

Wiranto (2000), *Potensi, Preferensi dan Perilaku Masyarakat terhadap Bank Syariah di wilayah Jawa Tengah dan Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta*, Laporan Penelitian, Bank Indonesia dan Universitas Diponegoro Semarang.

Boys, Jr Walker dan Larreche, *Manajemen Pemasaran*, Edisi Kedua, Jilid I, Jakarta : Erlangga.

William J Stanton, Alih Bahasa Y. Lamarto (1993), *Prinsip Pemasaran*, Edisi Ketujuh Jilid I, Jakarta : Erlangga.

Kotler dan Armstrong (1997), *Dasar – Dasar Pemasaran*, Edisi Bahasa Indonesia, Jilid I Jakarta : Prehallindo

Swasta, Basu & Handoko, Hani (1997), *Manajemen Pemasaran, Analisa Perilaku Konsumen*, Yogyakarta : Liberty

James F Angel, Roger D Blackwell, Paul W. Miniard (1994), *Perilaku Konsumen*, Edisi Keenam, Jakarta : Binarupa Aksara.

Yazid, *Pemasaran Jasa Konsep dan Implementasi* (2001), Edisi ke-2, Yogyakarta : Ekonisia FE UII

Dahlan Siamat, *Manajemen Lembaga Keuangan* (1995), Cetakan Pertama, Jakarta :Intermedia

Purwatmaja, Karnaen dan HM Syafi'I Antonio (1992), *Apa dan Bagaimana Bank Islam*, Yogyakarta.

LAMPIRAN

Jawaban Responden

Motivasi Ekonomi					Motivasi Agama				
Bagi Hasil (1)	Keamanan (2)	Lokasi (3)	Pelayanan (4)	Total	Prinsip Agama (1)	Lingk Dinamis (2)	Mengurangi Kesenjangan Ekonomi (3)	Tidak Bertentangan Agama (4)	Total
4	4	4	4	16	4	4	4	4	16
3	3	3	3	12	3	3	4	4	14
3	3	3	3	12	3	4	4	4	15
3	3	3	3	12	3	2	4	3	12
4	2	4	4	14	4	4	5	5	18
3	3	1	3	10	4	5	2	4	15
4	4	2	4	14	3	3	3	3	12
4	2	2	4	12	3	3	2	4	12
3	3	3	3	12	3	3	3	3	12
5	1	3	3	12	3	2	4	4	13
3	3	1	3	10	3	3	3	3	12
4	2	3	4	13	3	3	3	5	14
3	3	3	3	12	3	3	3	3	12
5	3	1	1	10	5	3	3	5	16
5	3	3	1	12	5	5	5	5	20
4	2	4	4	14	4	4	2	3	13
3	3	3	5	14	5	3	3	5	16
2	3	1	3	9	3	3	3	3	12
4	4	2	4	14	4	4	4	4	16
4	3	3	3	13	5	3	3	5	16
4	4	4	4	16	4	3	2	5	14
5	3	3	3	14	5	3	3	5	16
2	3	3	5	13	3	3	3	3	12
3	3	3	3	12	3	3	3	5	14
3	4	4	3	14	4	2	2	4	12
4	3	5	3	15	3	3	3	3	12
2	3	4	4	13	2	2	4	5	13
3	3	3	3	12	5	3	3	5	16
3	3	3	3	12	3	3	3	3	12
5	3	4	4	16	3	4	2	4	13
3	3	3	3	12	5	3	5	5	18
4	2	4	4	14	2	2	3	3	10
3	3	3	3	12	5	5	5	5	20
4	4	4	4	16	4	5	5	4	18
3	2	2	3	10	4	2	4	4	14
3	3	3	3	12	5	3	3	5	16

2	2	2	3	9	3	2	2	4	11
4	4	2	2	12	4	4	4	4	16
3	4	3	4	14	5	3	2	4	14
4	4	3	3	14	3	4	4	4	15
3	3	3	1	10	5	3	3	5	16
5	4	4	2	15	3	4	3	3	13
3	4	4	4	15	3	3	3	3	12
3	3	3	1	10	5	5	5	5	20
1	2	2	2	7	3	3	3	4	13
4	2	2	4	12	4	3	3	4	14
3	3	3	3	12	3	3	3	3	12
1	3	1	2	7	2	3	5	2	12
2	2	2	2	8	2	2	2	2	8
3	2	1	4	10	3	3	5	3	14
4	2	2	2	10	3	3	2	5	13
2	3	1	2	8	4	4	5	5	18
4	2	2	4	12	3	3	4	5	15
4	4	3	4	15	2	2	4	3	11
2	3	3	2	10	3	2	5	3	13
5	4	2	3	14	3	3	5	4	16
3	4	2	3	12	4	4	4	4	16
5	4	3	3	15	3	3	3	3	12
4	2	3	4	13	3	3	3	3	12
4	2	2	4	12	4	4	2	5	15
4	3	2	3	12	3	3	3	3	12
3	3	1	4	11	3	3	5	5	16
3	2	1	3	9	4	4	4	5	17
3	2	2	4	11	3	3	3	3	12
4	1	3	3	11	3	3	4	4	14
2	2	3	2	9	3	3	3	5	14
3	2	2	2	9	3	3	3	3	12
4	3	2	4	13	4	4	5	4	17
4	1	2	4	11	4	4	4	4	16
4	1	1	1	7	3	3	3	3	12
5	1	1	3	10	4	4	5	5	18
4	3	4	3	14	4	4	4	4	16
3	2	3	2	10	4	4	4	4	16
3	3	2	3	11	4	4	4	4	16
3	2	2	3	10	5	3	3	5	16
4	1	1	3	9	4	4	4	4	16
3	1	2	2	8	3	3	3	3	12

3	2	2	4	11	5	4	5	5	19
4	3	3	2	12	3	3	2	4	12
3	3	3	3	12	2	2	2	3	9
4	4	3	3	14	4	5	3	3	15
4	1	2	2	9	3	4	2	3	12
4	1	2	4	11	4	4	2	4	14
3	2	3	2	10	3	1	2	2	8
4	2	3	3	12	3	3	3	1	10
4	1	2	3	10	4	3	3	5	15
2	3	2	3	10	4	3	3	4	14
5	3	2	4	14	2	2	2	4	10
2	2	3	2	9	2	2	2	2	8
2	1	3	4	10	3	4	3	4	14
3	2	3	4	12	4	2	2	4	12
2	1	4	4	11	4	2	2	4	12
2	3	2	2	9	4	2	2	4	12
3	4	3	3	13	2	2	4	5	13
4	3	2	2	11	3	1	1	3	8
4	3	2	2	11	4	4	4	4	16

Karakteristik Responden

No	Usia	Penghasilan	Pengetahuan Responden	Lama Menjadi Nasabah	Produk Yang dipilih
1	4	4	4	2	2
2	3	4	4	2	4
3	3	4	2	2	2
4	3	4	2	2	2
5	3	4	2	1	2
6	3	4	4	2	2
7	4	3	6	1	2
8	4	3	1	3	4
9	3	3	4	2	2
10	4	4	4	2	2
11	3	3	4	3	4
12	3	3	4	1	4
13	3	3	6	1	2
14	3	3	1	4	1
15	3	3	3	2	2
16	4	3	1	4	2
17	3	3	3	2	2
18	3	3	3	2	2
19	4	4	1	1	2
20	3	3	4	3	2
21	4	3	4	4	2
22	3	3	4	2	3
23	3	3	6	3	1
24	3	3	5	2	4
25	3	2	4	1	1
26	3	3	6	3	1
27	4	3	4	4	2
28	3	3	6	1	4
29	3	3	6	3	4
30	3	4	6	2	1
31	3	3	1	1	1
32	4	4	3	2	2
33	3	3	2	2	3
34	4	4	6	2	2
35	2	3	6	2	1
36	3	3	3	1	1
37	3	2	2	1	1

38	2	2	1	1	1
39	3	2	4	3	1
40	3	2	4	4	1
41	1	1	5	4	1
42	2	3	6	2	1
43	4	3	4	2	2
44	3	3	6	2	3
45	3	2	2	2	1
46	2	3	4	3	1
47	3	3	3	3	4
48	4	2	2	2	1
49	3	2	4	1	1
50	3	2	4	2	1
51	2	2	6	2	1
52	3	2	3	1	1
53	2	2	6	1	1
54	2	3	6	3	1
55	1	2	6	1	1
56	4	4	3	1	2
57	3	4	4	1	4
58	1	1	5	1	1
59	1	1	2	1	1
60	3	4	3	2	4
61	3	3	2	1	1
62	3	3	2	3	1
63	3	2	2	2	1
64	3	3	3	2	1
65	4	3	4	1	4
66	1	1	5	2	1
67	3	1	6	2	1
68	3	4	6	1	2
69	4	2	3	2	1
70	3	3	4	2	4
71	3	4	3	1	4
72	4	4	4	1	2
73	4	4	4	2	2
74	4	4	4	1	2
75	3	3	5	3	1
76	4	4	5	2	2
77	3	3	6	1	4
78	4	3	3	2	2

79	3	2	3	4	1
80	4	2	2	2	1
81	4	3	1	3	2
82	3	2	2	1	1
83	4	2	2	1	2
84	3	2	4	2	1
85	1	1	3	1	1
86	3	2	4	2	1
87	3	2	4	3	1
88	2	2	3	1	1
89	2	2	5	1	1
90	3	2	5	2	1
91	2	2	5	3	1
92	2	2	6	4	1
93	2	2	6	1	1
94	1	2	5	1	1
95	1	1	6	2	1
96	2	2	2	3	1

FREKUENSI DARI KARAKTERISTIK KONSUMEN

Frequencies

Statistics

	Usia	Penghasilan	Pengetahuan Responden	Lama Menjadi Nasabah	Jenis Produk Yang Dipilih
N Valid	96	96	96	96	96
Missing	0	0	0	0	0

Frequency Table

Usia

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	6	8.3	8.3	8.3
2.00	13	13.5	13.5	21.9
3.00	52	54.2	54.2	76.0
4.00	23	24.0	24.0	100.0
Total	96	100.0	100.0	

Penghasilan

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	7	7.3	7.3	7.3
2.00	30	31.3	31.3	38.5
3.00	39	40.6	40.6	79.2
4.00	20	20.8	20.8	100.0
Total	96	100.0	100.0	

Pengetahuan Responden

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	7	7.3	7.3	7.3
2.00	15	15.6	15.6	22.9
3.00	16	16.7	16.7	39.6
4.00	27	28.1	28.1	67.7
5.00	10	10.4	10.4	78.1
6.00	21	21.9	21.9	100.0
Total	96	100.0	100.0	

Lama Menjadi Nasabah

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	33	34.4	34.4	34.4
2.00	39	40.6	40.6	75.0
3.00	16	16.7	16.7	91.7
4.00	8	8.3	8.3	100.0
Total	96	100.0	100.0	

Jenis Produk Yang Dipilih

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1.00	50	52.1	52.1	52.1
2.00	29	30.2	30.2	82.3
3.00	3	3.1	3.1	85.4
4.00	14	14.6	14.6	100.0
Total	96	100.0	100.0	

HASIL OLAH DATA DENGAN KAI-KUADRAT

Karakteristik Usia Terhadap Motivasi Ekonomi

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
USIA * Bagi Hasil	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
USIA * Keamanan	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
USIA * Lokasi	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
USIA * Pelayanan	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%

USIA * Bagi Hasil

Crosstab

Count

		Bagi Hasil					Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
USIA	1.00		2	2	3	1	8
	2.00		3	2	6	2	13
	3.00	1	7	27	12	5	52
	4.00	1	1	5	14	2	23
Total		2	13	36	35	10	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.621 ^a	12	.128
Likelihood Ratio	18.318	12	.106
Linear-by-Linear Association	.332	1	.564
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 14 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .17.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Contingency Coefficient	.394	.128
N of Valid Cases		96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

USIA * Keamanan

Crosstab

Count		Keamanan				Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	
USIA	1.00		3	3	2	8
	2.00	1	6	3	3	13
	3.00	6	12	30	4	52
	4.00	5	5	5	8	23
Total		12	26	41	17	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	18.976 ^a	9	.025
Likelihood Ratio	19.828	9	.019
Linear-by-Linear Association	.075	1	.784
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 9 cells (56.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 1.00.

Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal Contingency Coefficient	.406	.025
N of Valid Cases	96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

USIA * Lokasi

Crosstab

Count		Lokasi					Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
USIA	1.00		1	7			8
	2.00		8	3	2		13
	3.00	10	14	24	3	1	52
	4.00	2	8	5	8		23
Total		12	31	39	13	1	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.366 ^a	12	.003
Likelihood Ratio	30.612	12	.002
Linear-by-Linear Association	.040	1	.842
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 13 cells (65.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .08.

Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal Contingency Coefficient	.484	.003
N of Valid Cases	96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

USIA * Pelayanan

Crosstab

Count

		Pelayanan					Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
USIA	1.00	1	3	3	1		8
	2.00		6	1	6		13
	3.00	4	8	28	10	2	52
	4.00		2	8	13		23
Total		5	19	40	30	2	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.449 ^a	12	.007
Likelihood Ratio	30.002	12	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.828	1	.009
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 14 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .17.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Contingency Coefficient	.472	.007
N of Valid Cases		96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Karateristik Usia Terhadap Motivasi Agama

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
USIA * Prinsip Agama	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
USIA * Lingkungan Dinamis	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
USIA * Mengurangi Kesenjangan Ekonomi	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
USIA * Tidak Bertentangan Dengan Agama	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%

USIA * Prinsip Agama

Crosstab

Count

		Prinsip Agama				Total
		2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
USIA	1.00	1	6		1	8
	2.00	3	3	7		13
	3.00	1	28	11	12	52
	4.00	4	6	12	1	23
Total		9	43	30	14	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.777 ^a	9	.001
Likelihood Ratio	33.406	9	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.001	1	.317
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 10 cells (62.5%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .75.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Contingency Coefficient	.480	.001
N of Valid Cases		96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

USIA * Lingkungan Agamis

Crosstab

Count

		Lingkungan Dinamis					Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
USIA	1.00	1	2	5			8
	2.00		7	3	3		13
	3.00	1	4	31	12	4	52
	4.00		4	7	10	2	23
Total		2	17	46	25	6	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.373 ^a	12	.003
Likelihood Ratio	28.627	12	.004
Linear-by-Linear Association	10.418	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 14 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .17.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Contingency Coefficient	.484	.003
N of Valid Cases		96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

USIA * Mengurangi Kesenjangan Ekonomi

Crosstab

Count

		Mengurangi Kesenjangan Ekonomi					Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
USIA	1.00	1		5	1	1	8
	2.00		6	2	5		13
	3.00		10	26	6	10	52
	4.00		5	4	10	4	23
Total		1	21	37	22	15	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	35.351 ^a	12	.000
Likelihood Ratio	32.723	12	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.728	1	.099
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 12 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .08.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Contingency Coefficient	.519	.000
N of Valid Cases		96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

USIA * Tidak Bertentangan Dengan Agama

Crosstab

Count

		Tidak Bertentangan Dengan Agama					Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
USIA	1.00	1		4		3	8
	2.00		1	2	8	2	13
	3.00		2	16	14	20	52
	4.00		1	6	13	3	23
Total		1	4	28	35	28	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	27.517 ^a	12	.007
Likelihood Ratio	24.559	12	.017
Linear-by-Linear Association	.328	1	.567
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 14 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .08.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Contingency Coefficient	.472	.007
N of Valid Cases		96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Penghasilan Terhadap Motivasi Ekonomi

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
PENGHASILAN * Bagi Hasil	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
PENGHASILAN * Keamanan	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
PENGHASILAN * Lokal	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
PENGHASILAN * Pelayanan	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%

PENGHASILAN * Bagi Hasil

Crosstab

Count

	Bagi Hasil					Total
	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
PENGHASILAN 1.00		1	2	3	1	7
2.00	2	9	8	10	1	30
3.00		3	19	13	4	39
4.00			7	9	4	20
Total	2	13	36	35	10	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	20.501 ^a	12	.058
Likelihood Ratio	22.205	12	.035
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.197	1	.013
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 13 cells (65.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .15.

Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal Contingency Coefficient	.419	.058
N of Valid Cases	96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

PENGHASILAN * Keamanan

Crosstab

Count

		Keamanan				Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	
PENGHASILAN	1.00		4	2	1	7
	2.00	6	10	9	5	30
	3.00	3	8	22	6	39
	4.00	3	4	8	5	20
Total		12	26	41	17	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	10.801 ^a	9	.290
Likelihood Ratio	11.030	9	.274
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.575	1	.210
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 8 cells (50.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .88.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Contingency Coefficient	.318	.290
N of Valid Cases		96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

PENGHASILAN * Lokasi

Crosstab

Count

	Lokasi					Total
	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
PENGHASILAN 1.00		2	5			7
2.00	4	14	10	2		30
3.00	5	9	19	5	1	39
4.00	3	6	5	6		20
Total	12	31	39	13	1	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	15.333 ^a	12	.224
Likelihood Ratio	16.453	12	.171
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.064	1	.302
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 13 cells (65.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal Contingency Coefficient	.371	.224
N of Valid Cases	96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

PENGHASILAN * Pelayanan

Crosstab

Count

	Pelayanan					Total
	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
PENGHASILAN 1.00	1	3	2	1		7
2.00		13	8	9		30
3.00	4	2	19	12	2	39
4.00		1	11	8		20
Total	5	19	40	30	2	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	29.652 ^a	12	.003
Likelihood Ratio	32.576	12	.001
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.051	1	.008
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 12 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .15.

Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal Contingency Coefficient	.486	.003
N of Valid Cases	96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

Penghasilan Terhadap Motivasi Agama

Case Processing Summary

	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
PENGHASILAN * Prinsip Agama	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
PENGHASILAN * Lingkungan Dinamis	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
PENGHASILAN * Mengurangi Kesenjangan Ekonomi	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%
PENGHASILAN * Tidak Bertentangan Dengan Agama	96	100.0%	0	.0%	96	100.0%

PENGHASILAN * Prinsip Agama

Crosstab

Count

		Prinsip Agama				Total
		2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
PENGHASILAN	1.00		6		1	7
	2.00	6	11	12	1	30
	3.00	2	20	5	12	39
	4.00	1	6	13		20
Total		9	43	30	14	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	36.808 ^a	9	.000
Likelihood Ratio	40.392	9	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.829	1	.093
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 9 cells (56.3%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .66.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Contingency Coefficient	.526	.000
N of Valid Cases		96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

PENGHASILAN * Lingkungan Agamis

Crosstab

Count

		Lingkungan Dinamis					Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
PENGHASILAN	1.00	1		6			7
	2.00	1	11	9	9		30
	3.00		3	29	3	4	39
	4.00		3	2	13	2	20
Total		2	17	46	25	6	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	54.333 ^a	12	.000
Likelihood Ratio	57.429	12	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.504	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 12 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .15.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Contingency Coefficient	.601	.000
N of Valid Cases		96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

PENGHASILAN * Mengurangi Kesenjangan Ekonomi

Crosstab

Count

		Mengurangi Kesenjangan Ekonomi					Total
		1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
PENGHASILAN	1.00	1		6			7
	2.00		15	4	7	4	30
	3.00		3	26	4	6	39
	4.00		3	1	11	5	20
Total		1	21	37	22	15	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	67.581 ^a	12	.000
Likelihood Ratio	63.338	12	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	11.491	1	.001
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 12 cells (60.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

Symmetric Measures

		Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal	Contingency Coefficient	.643	.000
N of Valid Cases		96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

PENGHASILAN * Tidak Bertentangan Dengan Agama

Crosstab

Count

	Tidak Bertentangan Dengan Agama					Total
	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
PENGHASILAN 1.00	1		4		2	7
2.00		4	4	16	6	30
3.00			18	4	17	39
4.00			2	15	3	20
Total	1	4	28	35	28	96

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	57.760 ^a	12	.000
Likelihood Ratio	54.628	12	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.360	1	.067
N of Valid Cases	96		

a. 11 cells (55.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .07.

Symmetric Measures

	Value	Approx. Sig.
Nominal by Nominal Contingency Coefficient	.613	.000
N of Valid Cases	96	

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.