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Abstract
Competitive banking industry in Indonesia puts customer loyalty into important place. The use of memory about customer experiences information (e.g., satisfaction, credibility, value, and image) towards to future behavior is different one to another customer. It is depended on need for cognition. This research is aimed to analyze moderating role of need for cognition on relationship between satisfaction, credibility, value, and image with Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta customer loyalty. Analysis model uses two multiple regression models, which are model with high and low need for cognition respondents. Results show that satisfaction and image have effect on customer loyalty with high need for cognition. Value and credibility have effect on customer loyalty with low need for cognition. It indicates that need for cognition is factor that affect customer to assess service quality of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta.
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INTRODUCTION
High competition of banking industry in Indonesia takes bank customer loyalty into important place as competitive advantages. Bank should maintain their customer in order to generate profit in economics uncertainty (Hansen, Samuelsen, & Sallis, 2013). Customer loyalty and satisfaction are key factors of bank’s decision making (Cool, Keinningham, Aksoy, & Hsu, 2007; Gustafsson, Johnson, & Roos, 2005; Mittal & Kamakura, 2001).

Satisfied customer makes them loyal to the bank. It is because customer’s decision depend on their experiences of services and determine their future expectation (R L Oliver, 1980; Yi, 1990). Those experiences felt by customer as customer satisfaction, bank credibility, value, and image (Hansen et al., 2013).

Memory of experiences does not affected directly by external factors, such as advertising or word-of-mouth (Rottenstreich, Sood, & Brenner, 2007). Hansen et al. (2013) explains that memory usage of experiences information is different between one customer to another. It depends on customer’s need for cognition. Need for cognition shows difference between one
customer to another about the likelihood of cognitive activities involvement and enjoyment (Cacioppo & Petty, 1982). Hansen et al. (2013) states that there is difference of memory usage to determine customer behavior between high and low need for cognition customer.

Experiences of satisfaction, value, bank’s credibility, and image do not always make customer to be loyal one. It depends on customer’s need for cognition. Need for cognition determines if customer want to think harder than another (Hansen et al., 2013). Customer satisfaction and bank image are picture of intrinsic quality of bank services that cannot be evaluated directly and observed rapidly, so high need for cognition customer have more concern about these factors than low one. Bank’s credibility and value are picture of extrinsic quality of bank services that can be evaluated directly and observed rapidly, so these factors have more effect to low need for cognition customer. This research uses need for cognition to moderates effect of satisfaction, value, bank’s credibility, and image on customer loyalty.

As one of biggest bank in Indonesia, Bank Mandiri should maintain their customer loyalty to generate profit. In the other hand, there is potential of customer loyalty decreasing because of some customer that engaged in deceptions by using their bank accounts in Bank Mandiri, such as deception by phone (Gunawan, 2017) or skimming cases (Ramadhan, 2018) that use Bank Mandiri E-Cash account of the deceivers. Even though Bank Mandiri has no engagement in the deceptions behavior and Bank Mandiri also can directly and legally clear the problems, there is still potential of image decreasing in front of public. This research is important to be performed in Bank Mandiri, especially in Bank Mandiri branch in Yogyakart, to maintain the customer loyalty. Based on above explanation, this research is aimed to examine (1) Do customer satisfaction and image of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta have stronger effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer than low one? (2) Do customer value and credibility of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta have stronger effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer than high one?

**THEORITICAL REVIEW**

**Loyalty** Customer loyalty refers to behavior to be loyal to certain object; such as brand, product, service, store, etc (Alexander, 2014). Loyalty can be seen from customer commitment to keep valuable long term relationship (Tjahyadi, 2006). Oliver (1999) also states loyalty as deep commitment to do repeat buying of product or service, even in uncertainty condition. Griffin (2003) classifies loyalty in to four domain of loyalty that determined by combination between customer attachment and repeat buying scheme. Customer attachment and repeat buying scheme made by preferences and differentiation.

**Need for Cognition**

Need for cognition explains personal characteristic to enjoy the thinking process (Hansen et al., 2013). Cacioppo & Petty (1982) shows that motivation to think harder is different from one to another, such as cognitive misers that have low motivation to think harder and chronic cognizers that have high motivation to think harder. Study of Cacioppo & Petty (1982) provides need for
cognition as stable factor to differs individuals enjoyment of think harder. It shows that high need for cognition individual tend to think harder than low one.

Hansen et al. (2013) assumes that high need for cognition individual processes information differently than low one, either external information or information from individual’s memory. Customer with low need for cognition are less likely to engaged in information processing, while the high one are more likely to engaged in information processing (Cacioppo, Petty, Feinstein, & Jarvis, 1996). Hansen et al. (2013) states that previous studies use more demographic factors, while demographic factors cannot explain information processing well.

Hypotheses Development

Evaluation object of satisfaction is service itself. In order to achieves accurate evaluation, satisfaction is needed to be evaluated from actual service quality (Hansen et al., 2013). If satisfaction is a construct of behavior, then individual with high need for cognition will be able to elaborate satisfaction evaluation. Cacioppo et al. (1996) also finds that individual with high need for cognition can evaluates satisfaction more than low one. Hansen et al. (2013) states that need for cognition has effect on relationship between satisfaction and customer intention. High need for cognition customer evaluates satisfaction more cognitively than low one to decides if they will be loyal to service provider. Hansen et al. (2013) finds that the more customer can elaborate satisfaction, the stronger effect of satisfaction on loyalty. In this research, satisfaction of customer of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on customer loyalty for high need for cognition customer.

H1: Customer satisfaction of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer compared to low need for cognition customer

Bank image does not grow directly from experience of customer with service provider. It grows from interaction with other people and external information (eg. Newspaper, TV, blog, etc.) (Hansen et al., 2013). It shows that image has to be evaluated by information processing. Individual with high need for cognition are motivated to do such a thing, so that image has more effect on customer loyalty with high need for cognition. Image evaluation also needs process of comparison satisfaction level with other factors (Hansen et al., 2013). Individual with high need for cognition are more likely to do so. Hansen et al. (2013) finds that bank image is more appropriate used by high need for cognition customer. In this research context, increasing of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta image can be consumed by high need for cognition customer.

H2: Image of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer compared to low need for cognition customer

This research defines value as perception of overall value perceived by customer. Value of service does not only need information elaboration, but also capability to elaborate. Need for cognition does not correlate with special capability to provide detail evaluation of intrinsic quality evaluation (Hansen et al., 2013). Even though both high and low need for cognition
customer have each different perception, high need for cognition customer is more sensitive on accurate value evaluation, so they are less likely to evaluate value and focus more on service quality (Hansen et al., 2013). High need for cognition customer are also more careful with information asymmetric between customer and service provider (Akerlof, 1970). Hansen et al. (2013) finds that high needs for cognition customer are more like lyskeptic about accuracy of value evaluation than low one. In this research context, perceived value of low need for cognition customer of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta can explain more about loyalty.

**H3: Customer perceived value of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer compared to high need for cognition customer**

Credibility shows service provider characteristic, it is not the intrinsic quality of main service (Ganesan, 1994). Customer does not need big effort of thinking to evaluate credibility compare to other service quality. In banking industry, interest rate and return are instrinsic quality that need effort to calculated by customer, while the way bank serves the customer and response on customer problem are perception of credibility (Zeithaml, 1988). Evaluation of service provider does not need more thinking process than other instrinsic service quality, so it more suitable for low need for cognition customer to decide the loyalty. Hansen et al. (Hansen et al., 2013) finds that high need for cognition customer are more likely to evaluate intrinsic value and they determine that credibility is irrelevant if intrinsice quality service is low. In this research context, increasing of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta credibility can be consumed by low need for cognition customer.

**H4: Credibility of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer compared to high need for cognition customer**

**RESEARCH METHOD**

**Sample**

This research is done in Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta. Research sample are 200 individual customer of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta.

**Operational Definition and Variable Measurement**

This research uses primary data that directly accessed from respondent by using questionnaires. The questionnaires use 1 to 6 likert scales for each question with options from “very disagree” to “very agree”.

Loyalty measured by questionnaire with three questions (Hansen et al., 2013; Zeithaml, Berry, & Parasuraman, 1996). Satisfaction measured by questionnaire with four questions of satisfaction, fulfilment of expectation and customer interest to the bank (Hansen et al., 2013; Selnes, 1993). Image measured by questionnaire with three questions of image in front of customer, customer’s friends, and other customer (Hansen et al., 2013; Selnes, 1993). Value measured by questionnaire with six questions related to value received by customer from the bank (Hansen et
Credibility measured by questionnaire with seven questions related to customer problem solving (Ganesan, 1994; Hansen et al., 2013).

In order to examine moderating role of need for cognition, this research performs analysis with two sub sample, which are group of high need for cognition customer and low need for cognition customer. Need for cognition measured by questionnaire with 18 questions relate to thinking activities (Cacioppo, Petty, & Kao, 1984; Hansen et al., 2013). Group of high need for cognition customer consists of customer with value of need for cognition above median value, while low need for cognition customer consists of customer with value of need for cognition below median value (Hansen et al., 2013).

Analysis Method

Analysis method uses multiple regressions. In order to ensure unbiased regression model, this research performs validity and reliability tests, and classical assumption tests. Regression model is as followed.

\[
\text{CUSTOMERLOYALITY}_{\text{high need for cognition}} = a_0 + a_1 \times \text{SATISFACTION}_{\text{high need for cognition}} + a_2 \times \text{IMAGE}_{\text{high need for cognition}} + a_3 \times \text{VALUE}_{\text{high need for cognition}} + a_4 \times \text{CREDIBILITY}_{\text{high need for cognition}}
\]

\[
\text{CUSTOMERLOYALITY}_{\text{low need for cognition}} = a_0 + a_1 \times \text{SATISFACTION}_{\text{low need for cognition}} + a_2 \times \text{IMAGE}_{\text{low need for cognition}} + a_3 \times \text{VALUE}_{\text{low need for cognition}} + a_4 \times \text{CREDIBILITY}_{\text{low need for cognition}}
\]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Validity and Reliability Tests

Table1. Validity and Reliability Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Number of Questions</th>
<th>Corrected item-total correlation</th>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loyalty</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt; 0.3</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&gt; 0.3</td>
<td>0.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&gt; 0.3</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&gt; 0.3</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credibility</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&gt; 0.3</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need For Cognition</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&gt; 0.3</td>
<td>0.973</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: proceed data by SPSS
Table 1 show that questionnaires of 41 questions for 6 variables have corrected item-total correlation value above 0.3 and cronbach’s alpha value above 0.6. It shows that questionnaires is valid and reliable and can be used in this research.

**Classical Assumption Tests**

**Table2. Classical Assumption Tests**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kolmogorov Smirnov</strong></td>
<td>Sig. &gt; 0.05 Sig. &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Data normally distributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glejser</strong></td>
<td>Sig. &gt; 0.05 Sig. &gt; 0.05</td>
<td>Free of heteroscedasticity problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VIF and Tolerance</strong></td>
<td>VIF &lt; 10 tolerance &gt; 0.1 VIF &lt; 10 tolerance &gt; 0.1</td>
<td>Free of multicollinearity problem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: proceed data by SPSS

Table2 shows that significance value of Kolmogorov Smirnov dan Glejser above 0.05. it indicates data is normally distributed and free of heteroscedasticity problem. VIF value below 10 and tolerance value above 0.1 indicates that this research is free of multicollinearity problem.

**Hypotheses Test**

**Table3. Hypotheses Test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Coefficient</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High Need For Cognition</td>
<td>Low Need For Cognition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>-0.394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>0.659*</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image</td>
<td>0.205*</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.442*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credibility</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>0.645*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Square</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. F</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant in 0.01 (1%)

Source: proceed data by SPSS
Based on table 3, satisfaction has significant effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer. It can be seen by coefficient 0.659 with significance value below 0.01. Satisfaction has no effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer. It can be seen by coefficient -0.057 (insignificant). Based on the result, H1 is accepted, customer satisfaction of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer compared to low need for cognition customer. Individual with high need for cognition will be able to elaborate satisfaction evaluation than low one. It is consistent with Hansen et al. (2013) that support individual with high need for cognition can evaluates satisfaction more than low one.

Image has significant effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer. It can be seen by coefficient 0.205 with significance value below 0.01. Image has no effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer. It can be seen by coefficient 0.035 (insignificant). Based on the result, H2 is accepted, image of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer compared to low need for cognition customer. Bank image does not grow directly from experience of customer with service provider. It grows from interaction with other people and external information (e.g., Newspaper, TV, blog, etc.) (Hansen et al., 2013). It shows that image has to be evaluated by information processing. Individual with high need for cognition are motivated to do such a thing, so that image has more effect on customer loyalty with high need for cognition. Image evaluation also needs process of comparison satisfaction level with other factors (Hansen et al., 2013). Individual with high need for cognition are more likely to do so.

Value has significant effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer. It can be seen by coefficient 0.442 with significance value below 0.01. Value has no effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer. It can be seen by coefficient 0.149 (insignificant). Based on the result, H3 is accepted, Customer perceived value of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer compared to high need for cognition customer. Need for cognition does not correlate with special capability to provide detail evaluation of intrinsic quality evaluation (Hansen et al., 2013). Even though both high and low need for cognition customer have each different perception, high need for cognition customer is more sensitive on accurate value evaluation, so they are less likely to evaluate value and focus more on service quality (Hansen et al., 2013). High need for cognition customer are also more careful with information asymmetric between customer and service provider (Akerlof, 1970).

Credibility has significant effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer. It can be seen by coefficient 0.645 with significance value below 0.01. Credibility has no effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer. It can be seen by coefficient -0.077 (insignificant). Based on the result, H4 is accepted, Credibility of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer compared to high need for cognition customer. Evaluation of service provider does not need more thinking process than other intrinsic service quality, so it more suitable for low need for cognition customer to decide the loyalty. Hansen et al. (Hansen et al., 2013) fins that high need for cognition customer are more likely to evaluate intrinsic value
and they determine that credibility is irrelevant if intrinsic quality service is low. Customer does not need big effort of thinking to evaluate credibility compare to other service quality.

CONCLUSION

Based on data analysis, it can be conclude that:

Customer satisfaction of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer compared to low need for cognition customer. It indicates that high need for cognition customer can elaborate satisfaction evaluation to be loyal customer.

Image of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for high need for cognition customer compared to low need for cognition customer. It indicates that high need for cognition customer motivated to process any kind of information of bank image.

Customer perceived value of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer compared to high need for cognition customer. It indicates that high need for cognition customer are more sensitive on determinant of accurate evaluation and focus more on experience about intrinsic quality service.

Credibility of Bank Mandiri Yogyakarta has stronger effect on loyalty for low need for cognition customer compared to high need for cognition customer. It indicates that credibility evaluation needs less thinking than other intrinsic quality service, so low need for cognition customer are more depend on credibility valuation to be loyal.

This research is limited to evaluate need for cognition by using questionnaires without considers stimulation to thinking process to customer. Hansen et al. (2013) states that stimulation is important to determine behavior, such as information accessing and processing to evaluate individual habit.

Future research is expected to uses stimulation by using experimental method to determine level of need for cognition; such as giving response or answer certain case in ceratin condition. Stimulation for customer to think gives more accurate picture of customer’s need for cognition.
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