

## **CHAPTER II**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### **2.1. Learning strategies for L2 Language learners**

Learning strategies are the way used by learners to improve their learning. Through learning strategies, learners can control their own learning by improving language skills, increasing the motivation in the learning process and also their confidence (Shi, 2017). There are six learning strategies that are used by L2 language learners, such as cognitive, metacognitive, memory-related strategies, compensation strategies, affective strategies, and social strategies (Oxford, 2003). There are also other taxonomies that have been classified by O'Malley and Chamot in 1990 (O'Malley, 1990), such as: Metacognitive, Cognitive and Social-Affective. The one shared by Oxford (2003) is known as SILL (Strategy Inventors for Language learning). This theory is considered to be more complete in accommodating the context of this study that of EFL students tend to be introduced more strategies by the teachers.

##### **2.1.1 Cognitive Strategies**

Rubbin (1997) as cited in Choiriyah (2016) stated that Cognitive strategies are according to the method used in learning which needs transformation, direct analysis, or blended learning materials.

Rubin classified cognitive strategies into six categories, namely: practice, memorization, monitoring, clarification or verification, deductive reasoning, and guessing or inductive reasoning.

O'Malley and Chamot (1990) identifies that cognitive strategies consist of resourcing, grouping, note taking, summarizing, deduction, imager, auditory representation, elaboration, transfer, and inference

In addition, according to Oxford (1990), Cognitive Strategies help the students to aim the language target and task. They involve reasoning, analysis, and drawing conclusions, for examples: practice the language using drills method and the use of a dictionary to help students find the difficult words.

### **2.1.2 Metacognitive Strategies**

Metacognitive strategies used several processes such as planning, self-management, setting goals, and prioritizing to manage their own language learning or self-direct language learning (Rubin (1987), as cited by Choiriyah (2016))

According to Rebecca L. Oxford (2003) metacognitive strategies used for controlling overall learning process. Metacognitive have various categories such as evaluating the success of various types of learning strategy, knowing self language learning style and requirement, setting a scedhule and room for study, controlling mistakes, collecting and organizing materials.

### **2.1.3 Memory-related Strategies**

Memory-related Strategies / Memorization Strategies are methods to effectively remember, to take and share information necessary for future language use. This strategy helps students to memorize the important information collected from their learning and get the information back when it is necessary in the future, for example the semantic map of a group of nouns or verbs that shows the relationship between the words (Oxford (1990), as cited in Hardan (2013))

### **2.1.4 Compensation Strategies**

Compensation Strategies helps students make up for a lack of knowledge. These involve the use of synonyms, gestures, paraphrase, and guess the meaning from context (Oxford, 2003). Furthermore, Færch and Kasper (1980) as cited by (Poulisse & Schils, 1989) said that compensation can be classified as ways that used by the speaker to achieve his or her authentic communicative purpose by using alternative speaking plan.

### **2.1.5 Affective Strategies**

Affective strategies used to manage L2 emotion and motivation in language learning. According to the research conducted by Oxford and Ehrman (1995) towards EFL, and also the research by Dreyer and Oxford (1996) towards South African EFL learners, showed that there are significantly related between affective strategies and

L2 proficiency. However in the other research that conducted by Mullins (1992) towards Thailand EFL learners, as cited by (Oxford, 2003) affective strategies did not have a positive connection with some measures of L2 proficiency.

### **2.1.6 Social Strategies**

Social strategies, such as: asking of a confusing point to get clarification, asking the question, asking for some help, and also becoming culturally aware by cooperating with the L1 Speakers of the language (Raftari & Alawi, 2012). According to the research by Dreyer and Oxford (1996) and also related study by Oxford and Ehrman (1995) towards the South African EFL, social strategies significantly connected with L2 proficiency.

## **2.2. High Stakes Testing in EFL Context**

### **2.2.1 High Stakes Testing in EFL High School Context**

Johnson, Johnson, Farenga, and Ness. (2008) as cited in Ashadi & Rice (2016) state that a testing program or test became high stakes are according to some factors such as the teachers accountability, the quality of students grade promotion or graduation, the school reputation. In the other side, Au (2008) argued that testing program became high stakes when the test performance has an impact to some form of students

achievement, teachers, school administrators, schools, local school systems.

According to Collier (2010) in Ashadi & Rice (2016) data collected from the testing program can help schools to measure the teaching of various skills and areas of the curriculum, it also focused on the professional development program for a school administrator. Clarke (2003); Crocker (2004); Jones (2007) as cited on Ashadi & Rice (2016) argue that testing program promotes state and national equalization of the curriculum, sending an unbiased signal to schools that make the schools aware about what is the most important to teach.

There are various kinds of high stakes testing, such as O-NET (Ordinary National Education Test) in Thailand, and also *Ujian Nasional* (UN) in Indonesia. In Thailand, O-NET used to measure students' knowledge and also their thinking ability, therefore O-NET used by the school to improve their teaching and learning strategies. According to NIETS (2015) as cited in Sundayana (2018) O-NET consists of 5 major subjects of the National Education Curriculum, they are the Thai Language; Mathematics; Science; Social Studies, Religions, and Cultures; and Foreign Language.

### **2.2.2 National Examination in Indonesia**

National Examination in Indonesia was first implemented in 2002, National Examination was conducted annually for all students in the

highest grade on each educational level. The subject that examined on primary schools were Mathematics, Science, and Indonesian Language; Mathematics, Science, Indonesian Language, and English at junior high schools; and Mathematics, Indonesian Language, English and also three specific subjects according to the study field that chosen by senior high school students. The results of National Examinations will be used by the public as a measurement of school performance quality.

According to Sukyadi & Mardiani (2011), as cited in Sundayana (2018), UN (National Examination) have aims to evaluate Indonesian students' achievement of Standar Kompetensi Lulusan (SKL – Graduate Learning Outcomes) at the end of each educational level. The SKL contains knowledge competence, skill competence (including thinking skills), and attitude competence. The UN is focused on knowledge and skill competencies.

National exam does not stimulate students' logical thinking, innovative thoughts, and emotional survival Santoso (2004) cited in Sulisty (2009). Besides, Santoso (2004) states that the items in the test are focused on academic pedagogical perspectives, building a possible character for the students to be careless to their environment. Zubaidi (2014) and Virgo (2016) as cited in Sundayana (2018) state that teachers usually only focused on teaching to face test, as a result, students prefer to answer the question directly than elaborate their answer. In addition, UN tends to force the students to memorize answers because UN only oriented

on the passive aspects of English using thus, the students tend to learn how to answer the questions right than learn how to use the language fluently (Zubaidi & Novitasari, 2014).

Previously, according to the function, National Examination in Indonesia was a high stakes testing because the result of National Examination was become a determinant to the students' graduation. However *Peraturan Pemerintah* (2015) as cited in Saukah & Cahyono (2015) find that National examination becomes low state testing because of its function, nowadays students' graduation is determined by their academic achievements at schools. On the other hand, according to the result of the study from Saukah & Cahyono (2015) outside of its function, National Examination is still high-stakes testing, indicated by the low aspect of honesty in National Examination.

### **2.3. Review on Instruments of Learning Strategies**

#### **2.3.1 Strategy Inventors for Language Learning (1989)**

SILL has been adopted into about 17 languages and distributed to about 10.000 learners (Chamot A. U., 2001). SILL have two versions that used as learning strategy questionnaire. First is for English native speaker (L1) who learn a foreign language (version 5.0, 80 items). The other is for EFL or ESL learners (version 7.0, 50 items). The Strategy Inventors for Language Learning (SILL)

version 7.0 for ESL or EFL learners consist of 50 questions and uses five scale responses (“never or almost never true of me” to “always or almost always true of me”) for each strategy described, this strategy was developed by Oxford in 1990. The SILL is consist of 6 subscales: Cognitive strategies, Metacognitive strategies, Memory strategies, Compensation strategies, Affective strategies, Social strategies.

Oxford & Ehrman (1995) state that ESL/EFL SILL is consists of six subscales, the SILL reliability is depending with the all of the instrument, it because of the subscale have a high connection with the SILL mean (.66 to .81) and have a medium connection to each other (.35 to .61). In conclusion, the ESL/EFL SILL reliabilities are high, according to the literature report. SILL questionnaire is frequently used in studies worldwide, and the SILL became the only language learning strategy instrument that has been checked for Reliability and validated in multiple ways, that are the reason why the SILL will be utilised in this research.

#### **2.4. Review on Related Studies**

There are some researches related to this research. According to Lestari (2015) entitled “Language learning strategies of English education departement of FITK (A Comparson Descriptive Study at the Fourth and the



Sixth Students)”, this study using same instruments with current study, but the age of the participants is different. This research found that the whole participants used all learning strategies such as memory, cognitive, compensation, metacognitive, affective, and social strategy. All the participants are categorized as a moderately user of learning strategy.

In this research, metacognitive strategy become the most used strategy that used by both students in 4<sup>th</sup> semester and 6<sup>th</sup> semester, while the most rarely used strategy is different between 4<sup>th</sup> semester students and 6<sup>th</sup> semester students, the most rare strategy used by 4<sup>th</sup> semester students of FITK is Memory strategy, while the most rarely used strategy that used by 6<sup>th</sup> semester is social strategies. the research also proved that the 4<sup>th</sup> semester students used more learning strategies than 6<sup>th</sup> semester students.

In second research by Choiriyah (2016) Learning Strategies Used by Twelfth Year Students to Upgrade Their English for Facing National Examination, the research is relevant with current study because the context is same. The research showed the twelfth year students use kinds of learning strategies according to O’Malley and Chamot: meta-cognitive, cognitive, and social-affective strategies. This research compares 2 groups of students on Xth grade in SMK Muhammadiyah 1 Sukoharjo the 1st is 2 students of Software Engineering and the 2nd are 2 students of Motorcycle Engineering. Based on the result of the National Examination 2015, Students of Software Engineering have a higher score than Students of Motorcycle Engineering.

This research using descriptive qualitative research and used observation, interview, and document as the data. According to the data findings, Software Engineering students uses 11 strategies that consist of 5 meta-cognitive strategies, 4 cognitive strategies, and 2 social affective strategies. In Contrast, there are 10 strategies that used by Motorcycle Engineering Students, those strategies consist of 5 meta-cognitive strategies, 4 cognitive strategies, and 1 social affective strategies. The researcher concludes that learning strategies which used by Software Engineer Students are more effective to help the students to face National Exam.

Another research has been done by Grossmann (2011) entitled “A Study of Cognitive styles and Strategy Use by Successful and Unsuccessful Adult Learners in Switzerland”, the research is using 3 data collection strategies (BIQ, Mind organisation index, and SILL). This research also finds out the existence of a connection between cognitive style and learning strategies and compare it to successful and unsuccessful learners in researcher’s classroom.

The respondents of this research are Nineteen volunteers which joined First Certificate in English (FCE) or Certificate in Advanced English (CAE) examination classes, where the researcher as a teacher. All the participants use Swiss-German as their L1, the participants are consist of the female and male participant, 79% population of the participants are female, the age of 70% of participants are under-thirty five.

The results of the research have 4 research findings. The first finding shows that planning clear goals are important to achieve success in the classroom. The

second finding shows that successful learners use more strategies than the unsuccessful learners, although it was found that the character of strategy use become extremely individualistic. The third finding shows that each group of cognitive style has similarities on the use of strategies, but these patterns look different between successful and unsuccessful learners, for example, memory and social strategies are important to successful PPs (Power Planners); memory, compensation, and affective strategies seem significant for successful RRs (Radical Reformers); metacognitive strategies are influential for successful FFs (Flexible Friends). The fourth finding finds out that successful learners prefer to use strategies that support the strengths and counter their weakness that related to their cognitive style. The researcher added Grossman (2011) in relevant study because the researcher is difficult to find the relevant study of learning strategies in students context.

## **2.5. Theoretical Framework**

Based on the description that has been raised previously and some contract theories that have been noted above, the variables involved in this stud can be formulated through framework as follows:

Figure 2.1 Theoretical Framework

