# THE ANALYSIS OF FACTORS THAT AFFECT LABOR ABSORPTION IN NATURAL RUBBER PLANTATION

A JOURNAL



By:

## NADYA PUTRI

Student Number: 15313214

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS INTERNATIONAL PROGRAM FACULTY OF ECONOMICS UNIVERSITAS ISLAM INDONESIA YOGYAKARTA

2018

## LEGALIZATION

# THE ANALYSIS OF FACTORS THAT AFFECT LABOR ABSORPTION IN NATURAL RUBBER PLANTATION

Name

Student Number : 15313214

Major : Economics (International Program)

: Nadya Putri

Yogyakarta, January 23<sup>rd</sup> 2019

Has been approved and legalized by

Content Advisor,

Heri Sudarsono, S.E., M.Ec.

# THE ANALYSIS OF FACTORS THAT AFFECT LABOR ABSORPTION IN NATURAL RUBBER PLANTATION

Nadya Putri

# Economics, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Islam Indonesia E-mail: nadyap823@gmail.com

#### Abstract

The study aimed to analyze several indicators that exist in the rubber industry and their influence on labor absorption in particular provinces in Indonesia from 2012 - 2015. The provinces consisted of North Sumatera, Riau, South Sumatera, Lampung, West Kalimantan, Central Kalimantan, South Kalimantan, West Java, Aceh, and East Java. The factors that the writer used in this research were rubber production, the size of rubber plantation, provincial minimum wage, and the number of company. In this research, the writer used panel data regression as the analyzing tool and random effect model as the best model to describe the relationship between independent and dependent variables. The result of the result shows that the provincial minimum wage and the number of company had significant effect on labor absorption while the other two, rubber production and the size of rubber plantation area did not have significant effect on labor absorption.

#### Keywords: Rubber, Labor absorption, Minimum wage, Producer, Production

# THE ANALYSIS OF FACTORS THAT AFFECT LABOR ABSORPTION IN NATURAL RUBBER PLANTATION

#### Nadya Putri

# Ilmu Ekonomi, Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Islam Indonesia E-mail: <u>nadyap823@gmail.com</u>

#### Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis faktor - faktor yang ada di industri karet dan pengaruhnya terhadap penyerapan tenaga kerja di industri tersebut dari tahun 2012 - 2015. Penelitian ini mencakup beberapa provinsi tertentu yaitu Sumatera Utara, Riau, Sumatera Selatan, Lampung, Kalimantan Barat, Kalimantan Tengah, Kalimantan Selatan, Jawa Barat, Aceh, dan Jawa Timur. Beberapa indikator yang digunakan adalah banyaknya produksi karet, luas perkebunan karet, upah minimum provinsi, dan jumlah perusahaan karet. Di dalam penelitian ini, penulis menggunakan regresi data panel sebagai media dengan random effect model sebagai model terbaik analisis untuk mendeskripsikan hubungan antara variable dependen dan independen. Hasil dari penelitian menunjukkan bahwa upah minimum provinsi dan jumlah perusahaan karet memiliki dampak yang signifikan terhadap penyerapan tenaga kerja di perkebunan karet sedangkan dua indikator lainnya yaitu banyaknya produksi karet dan area perkebunan tidak memiliki dampak yang signifikan terhadap penyerapan tenaga kerja.

Kata Kunci: Karet, Penyerapan tenaga kerja, upah minimum, perusahaan, produksi.

#### INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is a unique country with the population of 261.1 million people living on its islands. With this huge amount of people on its massive 1.905 million kilometer squares islands, Indonesia provides many capital resources. These resources consist of natural resources and human resources. Tambunan (2015) stated that with a very strategic geographic, Indonesia is very rich of natural sources that make it possible to maximize the utilization in several sectors, such as; agriculture, forestry, fishery, mining, and energy. Those sectors can provide many jobs that most of Indonesian need.

With all the abundance of resources in the country, unemployment is still becoming a major problem for Indonesia. The picture of unemployment in Indonesia can be seen through Figure 1.1 that shows the unemployment rate in Indonesia from 2010 to 2015. Based on Byrne, D & Strobl, E (2001), unemployment rate is one of the indicators that are used to measure the condition of labor market and the economy's condition in general.



Based on the figure above, the unemployment rate in Indonesia used to fluctuate every year. During 2010 to 2015 with the observation conducted every February and August, the decreasing of unemployment rate only happened in 2010 and 2012. Other than these two years, the rate of unemployment in Indonesia increased but not in a large number. This condition is related to the labor market in many sectors such as agriculture, industry, services, trade, and others. Every sector mentioned has important role in the unemployment rate in the country. One of the most important sectors that has a very strong role in both labor market and economy of the country is the agricultural sector. Vazquez, F., J., A., Lee, J., N., & Newhouse, D (2012) stated that the growth of agriculture has a strong and positive impact on employment growth. They mentioned that when the growth of agriculture increases for 1 percent, it will cause 0.35 percent of additional employment growth. Agricultural sector is very important for Indonesia since it gives several beneficial impacts such as; significant contribution to economic growth, foreign exchange earnings, and food security (Corporate Private Sector Investment in Agriculture in Indonesia, n.d.).

Agricultural sector in Indonesia is very important since this sector produces many things that are important for human well being, food. Even though agricultural sector is very close to the production of food, there are many things else that are not food that come from agricultural sector. One of the most massive agricultural products being produced and exported by our country is rubber. Rubber is one of the most important commodities that are mostly used to produce other products. Since it is very important for other production, the demand for this commodity tends to increase together with time. Rubber is really needed for many other productions, for instance tire production. The production of vehicles is always increasing in which will increase the demand for tire and rubber. The demand for rubber at the end will eventually affect the other things related to this commodity starts from the volume of production, the labor absorption, the price of rubber in domestic and international market, and many other things.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

Naibaho (2015) stated that rubber is one of plantation commodities that have important role in the national economy. The demand for rubber products is high due to the widespread use of rubber in which caused the demand for raw materials also increased. Even though the demand of rubber keeps increasing in time, there are still several weaknesses that exist in the rubber producers such as; rubber seedlings, farmers owned capital, rubber garden maintenance, rubber plantation tapping, and farmer groups. However, those weaknesses are followed by some strengths of the rubber plantations in the research area itself that can help the producers meet the demand in the market, they are; climate and land conditions, availability of labor force, farmer's experience, and rubber plantation spacing.

Häuser et. al., (2015) argued that rubber cultivation can result on significant increases in households' income and hence can help those households to move out of poverty. It is supported by Liu et. al. (2006) in which they found that per capita income and expenditure of the people have increased over a period

of 15 years due to rubber production in a township of Xishuangbanna, Yunnan. Farmers started to switch from swidden agriculture or shifting agriculture into rubber cultivation that profited the most and in the other case it happened that the ethnic minorities in Southern Yunnan even expanded rubber cultivation into neighboring Laos. Many cases related to the conversion of land into labor plantation happen in Indonesia. This conversion happened due to the increasing of the rubber demand; thus, the government, private companies, and investors try to meet them by converting the land into rubber plantation. When a land is converted into a different plantation, the need for worker will be different where it can be less or more worker needed. Häuser et. al., (2015) added that almost 90% of respondents perceived that rubber cultivation in their region affect them positively in term of economic situation.

Based on Feriyanto & Sriyana (2016), wage rate is one of the most important variables that can determine the demand of labor and this variable is used by the government to protect the worker in the labor market. Knabe and Schöb (2008: 33) in Mrnjavac & Blazevic (2014) explained that "A minimum wage is an inferior policy to wage subsidies. If the government is willing to spend the same amount of money directly on wage subsidies that it would otherwise have to spend indirectly to finance the cost of minimum wages through higher expenditures on unemployment and welfare benefits, it could achieve more favorable employment and income effects."

Labor absorption can be affected by many things in the economy, such as; total wage, material of the production, production, and the number of the company (Arifin & Azhar, 2011). In the rubber production, the producers are divided into three main producers, they are the smallholder, state owned company, and the private company. All of them are spread in any province that produces rubber in Indonesia. The number of company may increase when there is an addition in investment and it can decrease when they face bankruptcy. When the number of company or unit increases, the need for worker will increase as well in order to fulfill the companies' demand. It happens because when the number of producer increases, each unit of producer will need people to work for them and produce. This condition affects the supply and demand of the labor in the labor market

Kasman (2009) managed to say that the effort to develop three main commodities (coconut, rubber, and cacao) will certainly increase the role of these commodities in increasing the labor absorption and export revenues. Rubber is very important nowadays since many other industries depend themselves on the rubber production. In "Pengembangan Industri Plastik dan Karet Hilir Prospektif" (n. d.), it is stated that rubber is one of the most important commodities in which will affect the other industries in many ways. Since the use of rubber is very often in many industries such as tire and other parts of plane, the need to increase the number of company and industry related to it is considerable in order to grow the economy of the country.

### **RESEARCH METHOD**

The writer used secondary data. Secondary data is the data provided by the second party. The data come from Badan Pusat Statistik (BPS) and Direktorat Jenderal Perkebunan. In this research, the writer used these following data: (1) Data of labor absorption in rubber plantation in 10 provinces from 2012 - 2015; (2) Data of provincial minimum wage of each province from 2012 - 2015; (3) Data of the size of rubber plantation area in 10 provinces from 2012 - 2015; (4) Data of number of rubber company in each province from 2012 - 2015; (5) Data of rubber production from 2012 - 2015. In this research, the writer used panel data regression with e-views 9. Panel data analysis is the combination of cross section data and time series data.

Based on the random effect regression result, the regression equation model could be expressed as follows:

 $Log(Y) = \beta o + \beta_1 * LOG(X_1) + \beta_2 * LOG(X_2) + \beta_3 * LOG(X_3) + \beta_4 * LOG(X_4)$ 

Note:

Log(Y)	: Labor absorption (Labor)
Log(PRODUCTION)	: Rubber Production (Ton)
Log(AREA)	: The Size of Rubber Plantation (Ha)
Log(UMP)	: Provincial Minimum Wage (Rupiah)
Log(COMPANY)	: Number of Company (Unit)

However, in processing the data, there were three models of approach that the writer used, they were;

a. Common Effect Model (CEM)

Common Effect Model is the simplest model in panel data regression because this model will only combine the data of cross section and time series into the pool data. This model assumes that intercept and slope are good between time and place (Sriyana, 2014).

b. Fixed Effect Model (FEM)

By only using common effect model, there is a possibility to receive a non valid result of the data. The result can be said as not valid when it is not the same or near to the actual condition. To face this possibility, there is another model called Fixed Effect Model that makes it possible to create a difference between intercept and slope.

c. Random Effect Model (REM)

Random Effect Model is a test that is based on the difference between the intercept and constant that is caused by the error residual.

Moreover, there were two tests that were used in panel data; Chow Test and Hausman Test. 1. Chow Test

Chow test was conducted to select the appropriate model that should be used as the last estimation between Common Effect Model and Fixed Effect Model. In this test, the hypotheses were as follow:

H0 = F-statistic < F result, the correct model used is Common Effect.

H1 = F-statistic > F result, the correct model used is Fixed Effect.

2. Hausman Test

Hausman test was conducted to determine the appropriate model that should be used as the last estimation between Fixed Effect Model and Random Effect Model. The hypotheses in Hausman test were as follow:

H0 = chi-sq statistic < chi-sq table, the correct model used is Random Effect

H1 = chi-sq statistic > chi-sq table, the correct model used is Fixed Effect

#### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

This research was conducted in order to find out and analyze the factors that may affect the labor absorption in rubber production. The variables included in this research were the rubber production, the size of rubber plantation area, provincial minimum wage, and the number of company. This research sought the effect of those variables on the labor absorption in each province in particular period of time.

The estimation result of these 3 models (Common Effect, Fixed Effect, and Random Effect) can be seen as follows:

Independent	Common E	ffect Model	Fixed Effect Model		Random Effect Model	
Variables	Coefficient	Probability	Coefficient	Probability	Coefficient	Probability
Constant	-6.036227	0.1798	-2.284323	0.5140	-3.658981	0.2795
LOG(production)	-0.175788	0.6481	-0.239307	0.4346	-0.188267	0.5240
LOG(area)	0.426070	0.3095	0.357046	0.3110	0.348688	0.2943
LOG(ump)	0.748298	0.0387	0.642018	0.0329	0.690604	0.0150
LOG(company)	0.705953	0.0000	0.465839	0.0000	0.531845	0.0000
R-Squared	0.737831		0.905502		0.605556	
Prob (F-Statistic)	0.000000		0.000000		0.000001	

Table 4.1 Estimation Result Common Effect, Fixed Effect, and Random

Source: Secondary data processed, 2018

## **Chow Test Result**

Redundant Fixed Effect Test					
Test cross-section fixed effects					
Effect Test	Statistic	d.f	Prob.		
Cross-section F	25.107949	(7,28)	0.0000		
Cross-section Chi-square	79.388678	7	0.0000		

Based on the chow test, the probability of Cross Section Chi Square was 0.0000 which means that it was less than 5% and significant. The test showed that the appropriate model that should be used for this research was Fixed Effect Model.

## Hausman Test Result

Correlated Random Effect – Hausman Test Test cross-section random effect				
Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. D.f	Prob*	
Cross-section random	29.608946	4	0.0000	

Based on the hausman test, the probability was at 0.1475 which means that it was more than 5% and not significant. The hausman test showed that the appropriate

model that should be used in the research was Random Effect Model. Thus, based on both tests; chow test and hausman test, the appropriate model that fit the research was Random Effect Model.

## **Random Effect Model Result**

Dependent Variable: LC	OG(Y)			
Method: Panel EGLS (C	Cross-section 1	random effect	s)	
Date: 01/01/19 Time: 1	6:36			
Sample: 2012 2016				
Periods included: 5				
Cross-sections included:	: 8			
Total panel (balanced) o	bservations: 4	40		
Swamy and Arora estim	ator of compo	onent variance	es	
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
С	-3.658981	3.331081	-1.098436	0.2795
LOG(PRODUCTION)	-0.188267	0.292472	-0.643709	0.5240
LOG(AREA)	0.348688	0.327484	1.064751	0.2943
LOG(UMP)	0.690604	0.269843	2.559280	0.0150
LOG(COMPANY)	0.531845	0.074817	7.108589	0.0000
	Effects Spe	ecification		
			S.D.	Rho
Cross-section random			0.323480	0.5590
Idiosyncratic random			0.287323	0.4410
	Weighted	Statistics		
R-squared	0.605556	Mean depen	dent var	3.510333

Adjusted R-squared	0.560477	S.D. dependent var	0.450321		
S.E. of regression	0.298547	Sum squared resid	3.119569		
F-statistic	13.43314	Durbin-Watson stat	1.226993		
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000001				
Unweighted Statistics					
R-squared	0.685318	Mean dependent var	9.508761		
Sum squared resid	7.697508	Durbin-Watson stat	0.497264		

The random effect regression result showed that there two variables that affected labor absorption in rubber plantation significantly, they are; provincial minimum wage and the number of company. Provincial minimum wage showed a probability of 0.0150 with 0.690604 constant which can be interpreted as an increase of 1% provincial minimum wage will increase the labor absorption for 0.69%. Moreover, the number of company showed a same behavior. It has a probability of 0.0000 and 0.531845 constant which means that an increase of 1% number of company will increase the labor absorption around 0.53%.

In the opposite, the other two variables; rubber production and the size of plantation area did not show a significant effect on labor absorption. Rubber production has a probability of 0.5240 and the constant of -0.188267, while the probability of the size of plantation area is 0.2943 with the constant of 0.348688. Both variables have probabilities that greater than the value of  $\alpha$  (5%) so that it can be said that it has no significant effect on Y (labor absorption).

Based on the regression result, the value of t – statistic for PRD was - 0.643709 with the probability of 0.5240 in which it was greater than  $\alpha$  (5% or 0.05). This result means that statistically the number of producer had no significant effect on labor absorption in rubber industry.

Moreover, the value of t – statistic of variable X2 (the size of rubber plantation) based on the regression result was 1.064751 with the probability of 0.2943. The probability was greater than the value of  $\alpha$  (5% or 0.05) which means that based on the statistic of the data, the X2 variable or the size of rubber plantation did not affect labor absorption significantly.

The value of t – statistic of provincial minimum wage based on the regression result on Table 4.3 was 2.559280 with the probability of 0.0150. The probability was less than the value of  $\alpha$  (5% or 0.05) which means that statistically, the X3 Variable had significant effect on labor absorption in some provinces in Indonesia. The coefficient of this variable stood at 0.690604. It means that when the provincial minimum wage or UMP increased by 1%, it would increase the labor absorption by 0.69%. Thus, provincial minimum wage affected the labor absorption positively in some rubber producer provinces in Indonesia. It can be explained by understanding the producer behavior in microeconomics theory and the economic condition during 2012 – 2015. When the provincial minimum wage increases, it will increase the purchasing power of the people or the consumer. It will lead to a high demand of goods and services which will drive the company or producer to produce more in order to meet the demand. To meet the demand of the market, the company or producer will

increase the number of labor to work for them so that they will be able to produce in a high number of goods and services.

The value of t – statistic of X4 Variable (The Number of Company) on the regression was 7.108589 with the probability of 0.0000. The probability was less than the value of  $\alpha$  (5% or 0.05). It means that the area of production or plantation had significant effect on labor absorption in rubber plantation of some provinces. Moreover, the coefficient of variable X4 was 0.531845 which means that when the area of production or rubber plantation increased by 1%, it could decrease the labor absorption by 0.53%. Based on the statistic and the regression result on Table 4.3, it can be inferred that the number of company had negative effect on labor absorption in the provinces that the writer chose to do the research. It is supported by the research result of Wicaksono (2010) in Widdyantoro (2013) which stated that the number of company or working unit (the result of a high investment) can increase the labor absorption. Thus, the number of company is one of the most important variables in determining labor absorption in the market.

#### CONCLUSION

Based on the result of the regression that the writer had done related to the effect of four indicators in the industry of rubber; the number of producers, rubber production each province, provincial minimum wage, and area of plantation on the labor absorption, it can be summarized up as follows:

1. This research used panel data regression in order to get the correct information related to the behavior of the variables included in this research. The best model used in this research was random effect model. Based on this model, the determinant coefficient ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) showed that there were changes in labor absorption around 60.55% which would be explained by the independent variables; rubber production, the size of rubber plantation, provincial minimum wage, and the number of company. Moreover, for the f – statistic test, the result showed the probability of 0.000001 in which this was less than the value of  $\alpha$  (5% or 0.05). This result means that all of the independent variables in this research; rubber production, the size of rubber plantation, provincial minimum wage, and the number of company had significant effect on labor absorption.

- 2. According to Table 4.3 that showed the random effect regression result, X1 variable (rubber production) had no significant effect on labor absorption in all ten provinces of this research; North Sumatera, Riau, South Sumatera, Lampung, West Kalimantan, Central Kalimantan, South Kalimantan, West Java, Aceh, and East Java. The coefficient for X1 variable was -0.188267 which means when the number of producers or companies increased by 1%, it would decrease the labor absorption by 0.18%.
- 3. X2 variable or the size of rubber plantation had no significant effect on labor absorption in the research provinces (the probability is 0.2943, it is greater than the value of  $\alpha = 5\%$ ). The coefficient of this variable was

0.348688 which means when the size of rubber plantation increased by1%, it would increase the labor absorption by 0.34%.

- 4. X3 variable or the provincial minimum wage had significant effect on labor absorption in the research provinces (the probability is 0.0150, it is less than the value of  $\alpha = 5\%$ ). The coefficient of this variable was 0.690604 which means when the provincial minimum wage increased for 1%, the labor absorption would increase around 0.69%.
- 5. X4 variable or the number of company had significant effect on labor absorption (the probability is 0.0000, it is less than the value of  $\alpha =$ 5%). Based on Table 4.3, the coefficient of this variable was 0.531845 where it referred to the increasing of the number of company for 1% would cause 0.53% increase of labor absorption.

#### REFERENCES

- Aditya, A. (2018). UMP 2019 naik 8%, pengusaha relokasi pabrik. Retrieved from https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/news/20181114112130-4-42061/ump-2019-naik-8-pengusaha-relokasi-pabrik
- Ali, J., Delis, A., & Hodijah, S. (2015). Analisis produksi dan pendapatan petani karet di Kabupaten Bungo. Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah. 2(4), 201 – 208.
- Alexandi, M., F., & Marshafeni, O. (2013). Penyerapan tenaga kerja pada sektor pertanian dan sektor jasa pascakebijakan upah minimum di Provinsi Banten (Periode tahun 2001 – 2011). Jurnal Manajemen & Agribisnis. 10(2), 71 – 80.
- Arias-Vazquez, Francisco, J., Lee, J, N., & Newhouse, D. (2012). The Role of Sectoral Growth Patterns in Labor Market Development. Background Paper of the World Development Report 2013. World Bank, Washington, DC. World Bank. Retrieved from <u>https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/12145</u> License: CC BY 3.0 IGO.

- Arief, T. (2014). Penyerapan Tenaga Kerja Sektor Pertanian Terus Menyusut. Retrieved from <u>http://industri.bisnis.com/read/20141110/99/271630/penyerapan-tenaga-kerja-sektor-pertanian-terus-menyusut</u>
- Azhar, K., & Arifin, Z. (2011). Faktor faktor yang mempengaruhi penyerapan tenaga kerja industri manufaktur besar dan menengah pada tingkat kabupaten/ kota di Jawa Timur. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan.* 9(1), 91 106.
- Ballantyne, A., De Voss, D., & Jacobs, D. (2014). Bulletin: Unemployment and Spare Capacity in the Labour Market. Reserve Bank of Australia.
- Byrne, D., & Strobl, E. (2001). Defining Unemployment in Developing Countries: The Case of Trinidad and Tobago. Research Paper.
- Diresmikan, pabrik karet alam Kaltim serap 3.800 psekerja. (2017). Retrieved from <u>https://www.hkti.online/berita/diresmikan-pabrik-karet-alam-kaltim-</u> serap-3-800-pekerja/
- Demand For Labour (n.d.). Retrieved from https://www.economicshelp.org/labour-markets/demand-labour/
- Dewi, R., F., Prihanto, P., H., & Edy, J., K. (2016). Analisis penyerapan tenaga kerja pada sektor pertanian di Kabupaten Tanjung Jabung Barat. *E-Jurnal Ekonomi Sumberdaya dan Lingkungan*. 5(1), 19 – 25.
- Donny, J. (2018). Berharap perusahaan karet di Gohong serap tenaga kerja lokal. Retrieved from <u>https://www.borneonews.co.id/berita/93722-berharap-perusahaan-karet-di-gohong-serap-tenaga-kerja-lokal</u>
- Effendi, M. R. M (n.d.). Pengaruh upah buruh terhadap permintaan tenaga kerja di sector perkebunan karet rakyat. Orasi Bisnis Edisi ke 2. Politeknik Negri Sriwijaya: Palembang.
- Feriyanto, N., & Sriyana, J. (2016). Labor absorption under minimum wage policy in Indonesia. *Regional Science Inquiry*. 8(1), 11 21.
- Hamdani, T. (2017, May 5). 31,86% penduduk kerja Indonesia ada di sektor pertanian. Electronic Article:Okezone.com.
- Häuser, I., Martin, K., Germer, J., He, P., Blagodatskiy, S. ...& Liu, H. (2015). Environmental and socio-economic impacts of rubber cultivation in the Mekong region: challenges for sustainable land use. *CAB Reviews 2015*.
- Heriyanto, D. (2017). Efficiency analysis of rubber production factor in regency of Kampar, Riau Province. *Jurnal Dinamika Pertanian.* 33(2), 1-10.

- Kasman (2009). Pengembangan perkebunan karet dalam usaha peningkatan ekonomi daerah dan pendapatan petani di Provinsi Aceh. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan*, 10(2), 250 266.
- Kumar, M. (n. d.). Top 3 Theories of Wages (With Diagram). Retrieved from <u>http://www.economicsdiscussion.net/wages/top-3-theories-of-wages-with-diagram/7446</u>
- Las, I., & Mulyani, A. (2008). Potensi Sumber Daya Lahan dan Optimalisasi Pengembangan Komoditas Penghasil Bioenergi di Indonesia, 27(1), P. 31 -41.
- Liu, W., Hu, H., Ma, Y., & Li, H. (2006). Environmental and socioeconomic impacts of increasing rubber plantation in Menglun Township, Southwest China. *Mountain Research Development*. 26(3), 245 - 253.
- Lestariani, A., B. (2016). Dampak UMKM terhadap penyerapan tenaga kerja di Kota Blitar. Universitas Brawijaya: Malang.
- Mrnjavac, Ž., &Blažević, S. (2014). Is minimum wage a good policy for poor workers in Croatia?. *Management*. 19(1), 17 43.
- Naibaho, P. (2015). Analisis ekspor karet dan pengaruhnya terhadap PDRB di Provinsi Jambi. *E-Jurnal Perdagangan, Industri dan Moneter. 3*(1), 28-33.
- Nitisha (n.d.). Modern Theory of Wages: Demand & Supply of Labour. Retrieved from <u>http://www.economicsdiscussion.net/wages/modern-theory-of-</u> wages-demand-supply-of-labour/3907
- Nofriadi (2016). Analisis factor-faktor yang mempengaruhi produksi karet di Kecamatan Mestong Kabupaten Muaro Jambi (Studi kasus Desa Muaro Sebapo). *E-Jurnal Ekonomi Sumberdaya dan Lingkungan.* 5(1), 1-12.
- Pengembangan Industri Plastik dan Karet Hilir Prospektif. (n.d.). Retireved from <u>http://www.kemenperin.go.id/artikel/18225/Pengembangan-Industri-</u> <u>Plastik-dan-Karet-Hilir-Prospektif</u>. Kementrian Perindustrian Republik Indonesia.
- Penurunan Ekspor Tekan Manufaktur (2012). Retrieved from <u>https://ekonomi.kompas.com/read/2012/02/02/02573351/penurunan.ekspor.tekan.manufaktur</u>
- Penyerapan tenaga kerja turun, meski investasi tumbuh (2014). Retrieved from https://katadata.co.id/berita/2014/07/24/penyerapan-tenaga-kerja-turun-meski-investasi-tumbuh
- Purmiyanto (2010). Analisis Faktor Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Penyerapan Tenaga Kerja Pada Sektor Industri Manufaktur di Kota Malang. Retrieved from

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/50902736\_ANALISIS\_FAKTO R-

FAKTOR\_YANG\_MEMPENGARUHI\_PENYERAPAN\_TENAGA\_KE RJA\_PADA\_SEKTOR\_INDUSTRI\_MANUFAKTUR\_DI\_KOTA\_MAL ANG

- Pola penyebaran tanaman karet di Indonesia. (2015). Retrieved from https://www.kompasiana.com/suryadikenedy/552f9c236ea834bf7a8b4577/ pola-penyebaran-tanaman-karet-di-indonesia
- Produksi Karet ditarget Naik Petani Nilai tak Realistis (2015). Retrieved from http://kominfo.jatimprov.go.id/read/umum/43010
- Sriyana, J. (2014). Metode regresi data panel. Publisher: Yogyakarta Ekonisia.
- Sudibjo, N. E. (1999). Kajian Agroforestry dan Kontribusinya terhadap Pendapatan Rumah Tangga. Institut Pertanian Bogor, Indonesia. Southeast Asia Policy Research Working Paper No. 14.
- Suramnya Nasib Lebih dari 2 Juta Petani Karet (2016). Retrieved from https://tirto.id/suramnya-nasib-lebih-dari-2-juta-petani-karet-kip
- Syahputra, Y. R., Tarumun, S., & Yusri, J. (2014). Export competitiveness analysis of natural rubber Indonesia in the international market. *JomFaperta*. 1(2).
- Tambunan, J. (2015). Indonesia kaya akan sumber daya alam namun miskin sumber daya manusia (Article published independently). Indonesia.
- Unemployment in Indonesia (n. d.). Retrieved from <u>https://www.indonesia-investments.com/finance/macroeconomic-indicators/unemployment/item255</u>
- Vasques, F., J., A, Lee, J., N., & Newhouse, D. (2012). The Role of Sectoral Growth Paterns in Labor Market Development. A Background Paper for World Development Report on Jobs. World Bank Human Development Network, Washington, D. C.
- Widdyantoro, A. (2013). Pengaruh PDB, investasi, dan jumlah unit usaha terhadap penyerapan tenaga kerja usaha kecil dan menengah di Indonesia periode 2000 2011. UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta.

22

•